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## Abstract:

This documentation describes two types of schemes allowing to stabilize the numerical discretizations of the models: the semi-implicit scheme and the iterative centred-implicit scheme (sometimes called predictorcorrector scheme). An algorithmic description to different types of equations, and some technical information (organigramme) are provided.

## Résumé:

Cette documentation décrit deux types de schémas permettant de stabiliser les discrétisations numériques des modèles: le schéma semi-implicite et le schéma ICI (centré implicite itératif) encore appelé prédicteur-correcteur. On fournit une application de ces algorithmes à différents jeux d'équations, ainsi que des informations techniques (organigramme).
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## 1 Introduction.

## * Interest of semi-implicit and iterative centred-implicit schemes:

For both hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic models it is necessary to treat implicitly the linear terms source of (fast moving) gravity waves to ensure a good stability. Hence the resolution of equations involve the inversion of a linear system leading to a Helmholtz equation: inversion of such a system is more convenient to do in spectral space.
For non-hydrostatic models the semi-implicit scheme is generally not sufficient and some non-linear terms have also to be treated implicitly; for that one uses an iterative centred-implicit (abbreviated into "ICI") scheme. The iterative centred-implicit schemes are often called "predictor-corrector" schemes, but in a theoretical point of view one has normally to reserve this appellation for a subset of iterative centred-implicit schemes with only one iteration. The iterative centred-implicit scheme may have an incremental formulation or a non-incremental formulation. The one which is coded in ARPEGE/IFS and its LAM version (for both hydrostatic and nonhydrostatic models) and described is a non-incremental one. All the additional calculations generated by an iterative centred-implicit scheme are mainly done in the grid-point calculations and in the spectral transforms.

## * The different models described:

- 2D shallow-water model.
- 3D primitive equations model (denoted as HPE).
- 3D fully elastic NH model, with $\hat{Q}$ and $d$ or $d_{4}$ as NH prognostic variables (denoted as NHEE).
- 3D quasi elastic NH model, with $d_{4}$ as NH prognostic variable, and $\hat{Q}$ as diagnostic variable (denoted as NHQE).


## * Other restrictions of this documentation:

- In the non-hydrostatic models, denotation $d$ stands for vertical divergence if NVDVAR $=3$ and for $d_{4}$ if NVDVAR $=4$. In the NHEE system equations are valid for both NVDVAR $=3$ and NVDVAR $=4$. In the NHQE system equations are valid for NVDVAR $=4$ only.
- Atmosphere deep-layer effects are ignored in this documentation; radius is assumed to be equal to the mean radius denoted by $a$.


## 2 Denotations.

- L: number of layers of the model.
- $M$ is the mapping factor. $\bar{M}$ is a reference mapping factor for semi-implicit computations. $\bar{M}=c$ (stretching factor) if semi-implicit scheme with reduced divergence (LSIDG=.F. in YOMDYN). $\bar{M}=M$ (mapping factor) if semi-implicit scheme with unreduced divergence (LSIDG=.T. in YOMDYN).
- $a$ is the Earth mean radius.
- $\mathbf{V}$ is the horizontal geographical wind. Its zonal component is $U$. Its meridian component is $V$.
- $D$ is the unreduced divergence of horizontal wind, $D^{\prime}$ is the reduced divergence; $D=M^{2} * D^{\prime}$.
- $\zeta$ is the unreduced vorticity of horizontal wind, $\zeta^{\prime}$ is the reduced vorticity; $\zeta=M^{2} * \zeta^{\prime}$.
- $T$ is the temperature, $\tilde{T}$ is a modified temperature used in the NHQE model.
- $T^{*}$ is a vertically-constant reference temperature which is used in the semi-implicit scheme.
- $T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}$ is a cold vertically-variable reference temperature which is used in the semi-implicit scheme in the NH vertical divergence equation; it is recommended to have $T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}$ lower than the current temperature.
- $q$ is the humidity.
- $\Pi$ is the hydrostatic pressure, $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the hydrostatic surface pressure.
- $A, B$ define hydrostatic pressure on the $\eta$ levels: $\Pi=A+B \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$
- $\Pi^{*}$ is a reference hydrostatic pressure and $\Pi_{s}{ }^{*}$ is a reference hydrostatic surface pressure, which are used in the semi-implicit scheme. These reference quantities are vertically dependent and "horizontally" (i.e. on $\eta$ surfaces) constant. $\Delta \Pi^{*}$ are layer depths corresponding to a surface hydrostatic pressure equal to $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}$.
- $\Pi_{\text {sst }}$ is a reference hydrostatic pressure equal to the surface pressure of the standard atmosphere (variable VP00). Default value is 101325 Pa .
- $\Phi=g z$ is the geopotential height. $\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the surface geopotential (i.e. the orography).
- $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the Earth rotation angular velocity.
- $\mathbf{r}$ is the vector directed upwards, the length of which is the Earth radius $a$.
- $g$ is the gravity acceleration constant, assumed to be vertically constant in the current documentation.
- $R$ is the gas constant for air and $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ the gas constant for dry air.
- $c_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}}$ are respectively the specific heat at constant pressure for moist air and dry air.
- $c_{\mathrm{v}}$ and $c_{\mathrm{vd}}$ are respectively the specific heat at constant volume for moist air and dry air.
- $\kappa$ and $\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}$ are respectively $R / c_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{d}} / c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}}$.
- $\nabla$ is the unreduced first order horizontal gradient on $\eta$-surfaces; $\nabla^{\prime}$ is the reduced first order horizontal gradient; $\nabla=M * \nabla^{\prime}$.
- Non-hydrostatic variables:
- $p$ is the total pressure, $p_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the surface total pressure.
- $\hat{Q}$ is the pressure departure variable. Expression of $\hat{Q}$ is $\hat{Q}=\log \frac{p}{\Pi}$.
$-d$ is the vertical divergence.
- Variable $d_{4}=d+\mathrm{X}$ can be also used as prognostic variable.
- $\beta$ : tunable coefficient for the semi-implicit scheme (between 0 and 1 ).
- $\gamma, \tau, \nu, \mu, \mathbf{G}^{*}, \mathbf{S}^{*}, \mathbf{N}^{*}$ are generic denotations for linear operators (see section 4).
- The NHQE model also uses the vertical integral operator $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$, and its inverse $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}$.
- $\mathbf{L}^{*}, \mathbf{L}^{* *}, \mathbf{Q}^{*}, \mathbf{T}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{* *}$ are generic denotations for linear operators used in non-hydrostatic models (see section 4).
- The NHQE model also uses the vertical derivative operators $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ and there inverses.
- $H, C, N$ are intermediate constants used in the semi-implicit scheme of the NHEE and NHQE models. Definitions are respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=\left(R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\right) / g  \tag{1}\\
C=\sqrt{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / c_{\mathrm{vd}}}  \tag{2}\\
N=g / \sqrt{c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} T^{*}} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

- For a variable $X$ defined at full levels, $\langle X\rangle$ is the vector of coordinates $\left(X_{1} ; \ldots ; X_{l} ; \ldots ; X_{L}\right)$.
- $\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}$ is the vertical integration operator used in the case LVERTFE=.T. :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\eta=0}^{\eta=1} X d \eta \text { is discretised by }\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(\text {top }, \text { surf })}\langle X\rangle . \\
& \int_{\eta=0}^{\eta=\eta_{l}} X d \eta \text { is discretised by }\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(\text {top }, l)}\langle X\rangle . \\
& \int_{\eta=\eta_{l}}^{\eta=1} X d \eta \text { is discretised by }\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(l, \text { surf })}\langle X\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathcal{R}_{\text {deri }}$ is the vertical first-order derivative operator used in the case where VFE are also applied to derivatives.


## 3 General considerations.

### 3.1 Advection schemes.

* Explicit Eulerian equations: In Eulerian form of equations, the time dependency equation of a variable $X$ writes as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}=-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_{3} X+\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ is the 3D wind, $\nabla_{3}$ is the 3D gradient operator, $\mathcal{A}$ is the dynamical contribution, and $\mathcal{F}$ is the physical contribution. $X(t+\Delta t)$ is computed knowing $X(t-\Delta t)$ at the same grid point.

* Explicit semi-Lagrangian equations: In semi-Lagrangian form of equations, the time dependency equation of a variable $X$ writes as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X}{d t}=\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a three-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme (abbreviated into SL3TL scheme) $X(t+\Delta t)$ is computed at a grid point $F$ knowing $X(t-\Delta t)$ at the point $O$ (not necessary a grid point) where the same particle is at $t-\Delta t$. In a two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme (abbreviated into SL2TL scheme) $X(t+\Delta t)$ is computed at a grid point $F$ knowing $X(t)$ at the point $O$ (not necessary a grid point) where the same particle is at $t$.

### 3.2 Semi-implicit treatment of linear terms.

* Adding of a semi-implicit correction S: In all cases the linear terms source of gravity waves must be treated implicitly, in order to allow time-steps compatible with an operational use of the model. Expression of the linear terms is obtained assuming a definition of a reference state. The reference state is defined by a dry resting isotherm atmosphere in hydrostatic balance, reference orography is zero. Equations (4) and (5) become respectively (6) and (7):
- Eulerian scheme:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}=-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_{3} X+\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{S} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Semi-Lagrangian scheme:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X}{d t}=\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{S} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Discretisation of equations (6) and (7): Equations (6) and (7) give the following discretized equations, where $\Delta t$ is the time step, $\mathcal{L}$ is the linear term source of gravity waves, $\beta$ is a tunable parameter ( $\beta=0$ corresponds to an explicit formulation, $\beta=1$ to an implicit formulation); more details can be found in documentations (IDEUL) and (IDSL):
- Eulerian scheme (all computations are done at the same grid point):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{S}=-\beta \mathcal{L}^{t}+0.5 \beta \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}+0.5 \beta \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}  \tag{8}\\
X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}=X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t\left(-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_{3} X+\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F}\right)-2 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t}+\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

- Three-time level semi-Lagrangian (SL3TL) scheme (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{S}=-\beta \mathcal{L}^{t}+0.5 \beta \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}+0.5 \beta \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}  \tag{10}\\
X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}=X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F})-2 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t}+\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}$ is computed at the final grid point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $X^{t-\Delta t}$ and $\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}$ are computed at the origin point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $-2 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t}$ is computed as an average between the origin and final points of the trajectory, $\mathcal{A}$ is computed either at the medium point or as an average between the origin and final points of the trajectory.

- Two-time level semi-Lagrangian (SL2TL) scheme (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{S}=-\beta \mathcal{L}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}+0.5 \beta \mathcal{L}^{t}+0.5 \beta \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}  \tag{12}\\
X^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}=X^{t}+\Delta t(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F})-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}+0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}$ is computed at the final grid point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $X^{t}$ and $0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t}$ are computed at the origin point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are computed either at the medium point or as an average between the origin and final points of the trajectory.
$\mathcal{L}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}, \mathcal{L}^{t}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}$ are computed in grid point space. The right-hand side members of equations (9), (11) and (13) are computed in grid point space, then transformed into spectral space. Entering spectral space a system of equations of the following type must be solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{X}^{*} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a leap-frog scheme, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{L}^{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{X}^{*} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme, where $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ is known and $X^{t+\Delta t}$ is unknown.

### 3.3 Iterative centred-implicit schemes.

In some cases (especially in the non-hydrostatic models), the model with a semi-implicit treatment of linear terms may remain unstable, hence a treatment by an iterative centred-implicit scheme may be necessary. In the following description one sticks to non-incremental formulations.

* Algorithm: The total number of iterations is denoted by $N_{\text {siter }}$.
- The iteration number $(i=0)$ computes an estimation $X_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}$ of $X^{t+\Delta t}$ with a normal semi-implicit scheme.
- Iterations $(i>0)$ : the $i$-th iteration $(i>0)$ computes $X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}$ (after inversion of Helmholtz equation) knowing $X_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}$. The final value of $X^{t+\Delta t}$ is equal to $X_{\left(i=N_{\text {siter }}\right)}^{t+\Delta t}$.
- Horizontal diffusion is always done at the last iteration, it can be done optionally at the other iterations.
- This scheme is controlled by the key LPC_FULL=.T. .
- For unlagged physics, the physics has to be computed for the iteration $(i=0)$ only. For lagged physics, iterations 0 to $N_{\text {siter }}-1$ are adiabatic ones, iteration $N_{\text {siter }}$ is diabatic one.


## * Discretisation of algorithm:

- First iteration $(i=0)$ : One has to start from the discretisations of equations for a model with no iterative centred-implicit formulation (see documentations (IDEUL) and (IDSL)). For a leap-frog scheme the calculations are the same ones. For a SL2TL scheme, $(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L})_{(i=0)}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}$ is assumed to be equal to $(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L})^{t}$ if no extrapolation is done (case LNESC $=$.T.), and to a combination of $(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L})^{t}$ and $(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{L})^{t-\Delta t}$ if extrapolation is done. For a SL2TL case with no uncentering factor that yields the following discretisations (physics is assumed to be unlagged):
- no extrapolation:

$$
\left(X_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}\right)_{F}=\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t}\right]_{F}+\left[X^{t}+0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t}+0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i=0)}
$$

$(O(i=0)$ and $F$ are respectively the origin and final points of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory), which can be rewritten:

$$
\left(X_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}\right)_{F}=\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t}\right]_{F}+\left[X^{t}+0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i=0)}
$$

- extrapolation: discretisation is identical to the case with no iterative centred-implicit scheme and extrapolation; the RHS terms other than $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{t}$ can be replaced by a "spatio-temporal" average; see documentation (IDSL).
- Following iterations $(i>0)$ : The general iteration writes (no uncentering, unlagged physics):
- Eulerian scheme ( $A D V$ stands for advection terms):

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t} \\
=X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t A D V^{t}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}\right]+\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}
\end{gathered}
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}=\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}+\left[X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t A D V^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}\right]
$$

- SL3TL (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}} \\
=X_{O(i)}^{t-\Delta t}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}\right]_{O(i)}+\left[\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}\right]_{O(i)}
\end{gathered}
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
\left[X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}=X_{O(i)}^{t-\Delta t}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}\right]_{O(i)}
$$

The iterative centred-implicit algorithm also applies to re-compute the semi-Lagrangian trajectory (see documentation (IDSL) for more details), the position of the origin point at the $i$-th (resp $i-1$-th) iteration is $O(i)$ (resp. $O(i-1)$ ).

- SL2TL (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}} \\
=X_{O(i)}^{t}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t}\right]_{O(i)}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i)}
\end{gathered}
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
\left[X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}=X_{O(i)}^{t}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i)}
$$

The iterative centred-implicit algorithm also applies to re-compute the semi-Lagrangian trajectory (see documentation (IDSL) for more details), the position of the origin point at the $i$-th (resp $i-1$-th) iteration is $O(i)$ (resp. $O(i-1)$ ).

* Cheap version of this algorithm: In a semi-Lagrangian scheme, it is possible not to iterate the position of the origin point $O$ (i.e $O(i)=O(i=0)$ ): this cheap version is activated if LPC_CHEAP=.T. . It is coded only for a non-extrapolating SL2TL scheme. In this case the quantity to be interpolated (i.e. $\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O}$ ) needs to be interpolated at the predictor step only. It is then stored in a buffer and reused at the corrector steps without any interpolation.


### 3.4 Introduction of uncentering for semi-Lagrangian schemes.

Averages along the semi-Lagrangian trajectory will be weighted by $(1-\epsilon)$ at the origin point and $(1+\epsilon)$ at the final point. If the uncentering coefficient $\epsilon$ is horizontally constant the algorithms remain valid, replacing $\beta$ by $(1+\epsilon) \beta$.

### 3.5 Limited area models (ALADIN, AROME).

Particular features for LAM models are not described in detail, only brief comments are mentioned. Most parts of this documentation remain valid, the main differences with ARPEGE/IFS are:

- The LAM model shallow-water model is not coded.
- Option LIMPF=.T. is not coded.
- Option LESIDG=.T. replaces LSIDG=.T., and is available only with the tilted-rotated Mercator projection. See (IDESIDG) about its implementation.
- Some spectral calculations are done in routines named ESP..SI which are LAM counterparts of SP..SI routines. The algorithm is the same as in global model but the truncation of the spectral representation is elliptic and not triangular.


### 3.6 Finite elements on the vertical.

The option with finite element vertical discretisations is coded for the hydrostatic model and partly for the NH models. For VFE, the main modifications in the semi-implicit scheme are the following ones:

- The discretisation of $\Pi, \alpha$ and $\delta$ at full levels is different.
- The model avoids as possible to compute quantities at half levels; all vertical integrals directly provide quantities at full levels.
- The vertical integrals contained in some linear operators ( $\gamma, \tau$ and $\nu$ ) are discretised differently, as a matricial multiplication with special coefficients (contained in the matrix $\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}$ ) computed in the setup code under SUVERTFE; the vertical integration is done by routine VERINT.
- In NH models there are also vertical derivatives with a specific treatment.


## 4 Prognostic variables and quantities involved in the semiimplicit scheme.

### 4.1 Prognostic variables, and some denotations.

Prognostic variables can be split into different classes:

- 3D variables, the equation RHS of which has a non-zero adiabatic contribution and a non-zero semiimplicit correction contribution. They are called "GMV" in the code ("GMV" means "grid-point model variables"). This class of variables includes the components of the horizontal wind $\mathbf{V}$, temperature $T$, and the two additional non-hydrostatic variables in a non-hydrostatic model. Details about equations of the NH variables in the NHEE model (choice of the two additional prognostic variables, discretisations, linearisation for semi-implicit scheme) can be found for example in (IDNHPB).
- 3D "conservative" variables. The equation RHS of these variables has a zero adiabatic contribution, only the diabatic contribution (and the horizontal diffusion contribution) can be non-zero. They are called "GFL" in the code ("GFL" means "grid-point fields"). This class of variables includes for example humidity $q$, liquid water, ice, cloud fraction, ozone, and some extra fields.
- 2D variables, the equation RHS of which mixes 3D and 2D terms, has a non-zero adiabatic contribution and a non-zero semi-implicit correction contribution. They are called "GMVS" in the code ("GMVS" means "grid-point model variables for surface"). This class of variables includes the logarithm of surface pressure (continuity equation).
Only the GMV and GMVS variables appear in the semi-implicit scheme. In the shallow-water 2D model, only GMV variables exist, this class of variables includes the components of the horizontal wind $\mathbf{V}$, and the equivalent height $\Phi-\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ (continuity equation).
Quantities and operators defined below may have different discretisations according to the fact that finite differences (VFD) or finite elements (VFE) are used for vertical discretisations in the model. The following abbreviations will be used:
- VFD0: finite differences (LVERTFE=.F.) using NDLNPR=0.
- VFD1: finite differences (LVERTFE=.F.) using NDLNPR=1.
- VFD2: finite differences (LVERTFE=.F.) using NDLNPR=2.
- VFD: finite differences (LVERTFE=.F.), any value for NDLNPR.
- VFE: vertical finite elements (LVERTFE=.T.).


### 4.2 Operators "alpha", "delta" and $Q^{*}$.

These operators are used for discretisations of some vertical integrals. They have a different expression according to the value of variables NDLNPR, LVERTFE.

- VFD0:
- For a layer $l$ between 2 and $L$ (and also $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is not zero), $\alpha^{*}$ and $\delta^{*}$ are discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{l}^{*}=1-\left(\Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*} / \Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}\right) \log \left(\Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*} / \Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*}\right)  \tag{16}\\
\delta_{l}^{*}=\log \left(\Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*} / \Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*}\right) \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

- For the layer $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is zero:
$* \alpha_{l=1}^{*}=1$ at METEO-FRANCE.
* $\alpha_{l=1}^{*}=\log (2)$ at ECMWF.
* $\delta_{l=1}^{*}$ has in theory an infinite value, but in the code it is computed with a top pressure equal to 0.1 Pa to provide a finite value.
- VFD1:
- For a layer $l$ between 2 and $L$ (and also $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is not zero), $\alpha^{*}$ and $\delta^{*}$ are discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{l}^{*} & =1-\sqrt{\Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*} / \Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}}=1-\Pi_{l}^{*} / \Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}  \tag{18}\\
\delta_{l}^{*} & =\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*} / \Pi_{l}^{*}=\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*} / \sqrt{\Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*} \Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Pi^{*}$ is discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{l}^{*}=\sqrt{\Pi_{{ }_{l}}^{*} \Pi^{*}{ }_{\bar{l}-1}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For the layer $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is zero:
* $\alpha_{l=1}^{*}=1$ and $\alpha_{l=0}^{*}=1$.
* $\delta_{l=1}^{*}=1+\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}$.
* $\Pi_{l=1}^{*}=\Delta \Pi_{l=1}^{*} / \delta_{l=1}^{*}$.
- VFD2: the only difference with VFD1 is the discretisation of $\delta_{l=1}^{*}$.

$$
\delta_{l=1}^{*}=1+\delta_{l=2}^{*}\left(\Delta \Pi_{l=1}^{*} / \Delta \Pi_{l=2}^{*}\right) \sqrt{\Pi_{l=2}^{*} / \Pi_{\bar{l}=1}^{*}}
$$

- VFE: for a layer $l$ between 1 and $L, \alpha^{*}$ and $\delta^{*}$ are discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{l}^{*}=\left(\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}\right) / \Pi_{l}^{*}  \tag{21}\\
\delta_{l}^{*}=\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*} / \Pi_{l}^{*} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Pi_{l}^{*}=A_{l}+B_{l} \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$. See documentation (IDEUL) for computation of $A_{l}$ and $B_{l}$ in this case. Formulae (21) and (22) provide finite values of $\alpha_{1}^{*}$ and $\delta_{1}^{*}$ even if the pressure at the top of the model is zero. $\alpha_{l}^{*}$ is not used in the SI scheme in this case because vertical integrals are directly provided at full levels without the intermediate state of half-level data.
$\mathbf{Q}^{*}$ is a diagonal matrix: diagonal coefficients are equal to $\delta^{*}-2 \alpha^{*}$ for levels 2 to $L$, and 0 for level 1 .

### 4.3 Vertical integrals and linear products.

These operators are used in both hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic models.

### 4.3.1 Linear operator " $\gamma$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart G*.

This operator is applied to temperature and pressure departure variable to compute linear term in momentum equation.

- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)$ is a discretisation of vertical integral: $\int_{\eta}^{1} \frac{1}{\Pi^{*}} \frac{\partial \Pi^{*}}{\partial \eta} Z d \eta$
- $\gamma Z=R_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)$
- VFE expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(l, \text { surf })}\left\langle\frac{Z \delta^{*}}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

- VFD expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\alpha_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\sum_{k=l+1}^{L} Z_{k} \delta_{k}^{*} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark: if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=. \mathrm{T} ., \mathbf{G}^{*}$ is applied to virtual temperature instead of real temperature.

### 4.3.2 Linear operator " $\tau$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart $\mathrm{S}^{*}$.

This operator is applied to divergence to compute linear term in temperature equation.

- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)$ is a discretisation of vertical integral: $\frac{1}{\Pi^{*}} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\partial \Pi^{*}}{\partial \eta} Z d \eta$
- $\tau Z=\left[\left(R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\right) / c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right]\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=. \mathrm{F}$.
- $\tau Z=\left[\left(R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}\right) /\left(R c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=$.T. (equivalent to apply a linear operator in a system of equations using virtual temperature).
- VFE expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\frac{\delta_{l}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}}\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(t o p, l)}\left\langle\frac{\Delta \Pi^{*} Z}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark: according to the expression of $\delta_{l}^{*}$ in this case, this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\frac{1}{\Pi_{l}^{*}}\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(t o p, l)}\left\langle\frac{\Delta \Pi^{*} Z}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle
$$

- VFD expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\left[\alpha_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\frac{\delta_{l}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \Delta \Pi_{k}^{*} Z_{k}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The NHQE model uses the linear operator $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}=\mathrm{I}-\left(1-\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \mathbf{S}^{*}=\mathrm{I}-\frac{1 / \kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1}{T^{*}} \tau \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in some cases its inverse $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}$.

### 4.3.3 Linear operator " $\nu$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart $\mathrm{N}^{*}$.

This operator is applied to divergence to compute linear term in continuity equation.

- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{N}^{*} Z\right)$ is a discretisation of vertical integral: $\frac{1}{\Pi^{*}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \Pi^{*}}{\partial \eta} Z d \eta$
- $\nu Z=\mathbf{N}^{*} Z$ (because use of $\log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ ).
- VFE expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{N}^{*} Z\right)=\frac{1}{\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}}\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(\text {top }, \text { surf })}\left\langle\frac{\Delta \Pi^{*} Z}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- VFD expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{N}^{*} Z\right)=\frac{1}{\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \Delta \Pi_{l}^{*} Z_{l} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3.4 Linear operator " $\mu$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart I.

This operator is applied to $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ to compute linear term in momentum equation.

- I is the identity matrix: $\mathrm{I} Z=Z$.
- $(\mu Z)=R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} Z$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=$.F.
- $(\mu Z)=\left(R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} / R\right) T^{*} Z$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=$.T. (equivalent to apply a linear operator in a system of equations using virtual temperature).


### 4.3.5 Definition of constraint C1 in the NHEE model.

Continuous equations ensure the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}^{*} \mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{G}^{*}+\mathbf{N}^{*}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the vertical discretisation used, this identity may or may not be matched by the discretised operators. Definition of "constraint C1" is: the discretised operators matches equation (30).

- VFD0, VFD2 and VFE: constraint C1 is not ensured.
- VFD1: constraint C1 is ensured.

In the NHEE model, constraint C1 SHOULD be ensured if one wants the complete elimination of variables in order to provide a "one variable" Helmholtz equation computing the vertical divergence. This is why the VFD1 is preferred to VFD0 when using VFD discretisation. For VFE, Helmholtz equation treatment requires adaptations to take account of the fact that constraint C 1 is not ensured.

When constraint C 1 is not ensured, we introduce the dimensionless quantity $C O R$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C O R=\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}} \gamma \tau-\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} c_{\mathrm{pd}}} \gamma-\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau+\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{c_{\mathrm{Pd}}} \nu=\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{c_{\mathrm{Pd}}}\left[\mathbf{G}^{*} \mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{G}^{*}+\mathbf{N}^{*}\right] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 Vertical derivatives and mixed operators for NHEE model.

### 4.4.1 Linear operator " $\partial *$ ".

It is defined by:

$$
\partial^{*} Z=\Pi^{*} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \Pi^{*}}
$$

### 4.4.2 Linear operators $L^{*}$ and $L^{* *}$.

This operator, called Laplacian operator, is applied to the pressure departure variable to compute linear term in the vertical divergence equation (NHEE model).

- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)$ is the vertical double derivative $\partial^{*}\left(\partial^{*}+\mathrm{I}\right) Z=\left[\Pi^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{*}}\left(\frac{\partial \Pi^{*} Z}{\partial \Pi^{*}}\right)\right]$.
- VFD expression of this discretisation is:
- Layers 2 to $L-1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\mathbf{A}_{l}^{*} Z_{l-1}+\mathbf{B}_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\mathbf{C}_{l}^{*} Z_{l+1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressions of $\mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{B}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{A}_{l}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}{\delta_{l}^{*}\left(\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}\right)}  \tag{33}\\
\mathbf{B}_{l}^{*}=-\frac{1}{\delta_{l}^{*}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}+\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}}\right)  \tag{34}\\
\mathbf{C}_{l}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}}{\delta_{l}^{*}\left(\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}\right)} \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that equation (32) can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\mathbf{A}_{l}^{*}\left(Z_{l-1}-Z_{l}\right)+\mathbf{C}_{l}^{*}\left(Z_{l+1}-Z_{l}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Layer 1: The quantity $Z$ to which is applied $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ is assumed to be zero at the top of the model, that means that the term $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}\left(Z_{0}-Z_{1}\right)$ has to be replaced by $-\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*} Z_{1}$. In practical, $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}$ has to be set to zero if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero, and the general formula valid for any non-zero top pressure is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{\mathrm{top}}^{*}}{\delta_{1}^{*}\left(\Pi_{1}^{*}-\Pi_{\mathrm{top}}^{*}\right)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}$ matches the general expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{2}^{*}}{\delta_{1}^{*}\left(\Pi_{2}^{*}-\Pi_{1}^{*}\right)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}_{1}^{*}=-\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This upper condition is stable at least when the top hydrostatic pressure is zero.
That leads to the following formula for $\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{1}=-\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*} Z_{1}+\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}\left(Z_{2}-Z_{1}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Layer $L$ : The quantity $Z$ to which is applied $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ is assumed to be constant below the full level $l=L$, that means that the term $\mathbf{C}_{L}^{*}\left(Z_{L+1}-Z_{L}\right)$ has to be replaced by 0 . In practical, $\mathbf{C}_{L}^{*}$ is set to zero. $\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}$ matches the general expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{L-1}^{*}}{\delta_{L}^{*}\left(\Pi_{L}^{*}-\Pi_{L-1}^{*}\right)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Application of formula (36) would lead to $\mathbf{B}_{L}^{*}=-\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}$ but we actually use the formula (32) with a slightly different expression for $\mathbf{B}_{L}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}_{L}^{*}=-\frac{\Pi_{L}^{*}}{\delta_{L}^{*}\left(\Pi_{L}^{*}-\Pi_{L-1}^{*}\right)} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

That leads to the following formula for $\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{L}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{L}=\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}\left(Z_{L-1}-Z_{L}\right)-\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}\left(\Pi_{L}^{*} / \Pi_{L-1}^{*}-1\right) Z_{L} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

- VFE expression of this discretisation in the NHEE model: this topic is still in progress, at least two solutions have been implemented:
- one option uses a second-order derivative operator $\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {dderi }}\right]$ (case LVFE_LAPL_HALF=F).
- one option mixes a first-order VFE derivative operator [ $\mathcal{R}_{\text {deri }}$ ] and a first-order VFD derivative operator (case LVFE_LAPL_HALF=T).
- Remarks for NHEE model:
- stability is ensured only if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero.
- VFD1 expressions have been chosen in order to match "constraint C2" (definition of "constraint C2" will be given below, in paragraph explaining linear operator $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ ).

To use a vertical dependent $T_{a}^{*}$ and to make formulae simpler, it is more convenient to use $\mathbf{L}^{* *}$ :

$$
\mathbf{L}^{* *}=\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathbf{a}}^{*}\right) \mathbf{L}^{*}
$$

### 4.4.3 Linear operators $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{* *}$, and definition of "constraint C 2 ".

General definition of $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ uses a combination of linear operators $\mathbf{L}^{*}, \tau$ and $\gamma$.
Definition of $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ writes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\frac{g^{2} \mathbf{L}^{*}\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau \gamma-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}} T^{*}} \tau-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}} \gamma\right)}{R_{\mathrm{d}} N^{2} C^{2}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using definitions for $C$ and $H$ (see equations (2) and (1)) this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}\left(T^{*} c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau \gamma-c_{\mathrm{Pd}_{\mathrm{d}}} C^{2} \tau-C^{2} T^{*} \gamma\right)}{H^{2} N^{2} C^{2}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\mathbf{G}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{*}$ this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\frac{g^{2} \mathbf{L}^{*}\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} \mathbf{G}^{*}-\left(c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / c_{\mathrm{Vd}}\right) \mathbf{S}^{*}-\left(c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / c_{\mathrm{vd}}\right) \mathbf{G}^{*}\right)}{N^{2} C^{2}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now introduce definition of "constraint C2":

- Strong constraint $\mathrm{C} 2: \mathrm{T}^{*}$ is the identity matrix.
- Weak constraint C2: $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ matches (47):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\left(I+\mathbf{L}^{*} \mathbf{Q}^{*}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and does not depart too much from identity matrix.
Continuous equations ensure "strong" constraint C2. In some cases this is possible to match "weak" constraint C 2 in discretised equations, and from now "constraint C2" will mean "weak constraint C2".
According to the vertical discretisation used, "constraint C2" may or may not be matched by the discretised operators.

- VFD0, VFD2 and VFE: constraint C2 is not ensured.
- VFD1: constraint C2 is ensured.
- We can notice that either C1 and C2 are both ensured, or none of C1 and C2 are ensured.
- Elimination between equations to obtain Helmholtz equation does not assume that constraint C 2 is ensured (use of formula (44)).
- Discretisations of $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ matching constraint C2 or close to constraint C 2 are preferred, in order to ensure numerical stability.

Discretisation:

- VFD1: constraint C2 is ensured, and formula (47) is used. In this case, $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ is a tri-diagonal operator, like $\mathbf{L}^{*}$. Expression of the elements of the associated matrix is:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}{ }_{(l, l)}=1-\frac{1}{\delta_{l}^{*}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}+\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}}\right)\left(\delta_{l}^{*}-2 \alpha_{l}^{*}\right)  \tag{48}\\
\mathbf{T}^{*}{ }_{(l, l-1)}=\frac{1}{\delta_{l}^{*}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}\right)\left(\delta_{l-1}^{*}-2 \alpha_{l-1}^{*}\right)  \tag{49}\\
\mathbf{T}^{*}{ }_{(l, l+1)}=\frac{1}{\delta_{l}^{*}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}}{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}}\right)\left(\delta_{l+1}^{*}-2 \alpha_{l+1}^{*}\right) \tag{50}
\end{gather*}
$$

with some particular expressions at the top and the bottom.

- VFD0, VFD2 and VFE: constraint C2 is not ensured. Formula (44) is used (use VFE or VFD0 discretisations of $\left.\gamma, \tau, \mathbf{L}^{*}\right)$.

To use a vertical dependent $T_{a}^{*}$ and to make formulae simpler, it is more convenient to use $\mathbf{T}^{* *}$ :

$$
\mathbf{T}^{* *}=\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right) \mathbf{T}^{*}
$$

### 4.5 Vertical derivatives and mixed operators for NHQE model.

### 4.5.1 Definition of constraint C2.

Definition is slightly different from the NHEE one. The weak C2 constraint says that operators like $\mathbf{L}^{*}, \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}, \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ are tridiagonal ones. When C 2 constraint is matched, there are some properties between $\mathbf{L}^{*}, \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}, \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ which allow to compute for example $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ without inverting matrices.
Constraint C2 requires VFD2 discretisation.

### 4.5.2 Linear operator $\partial^{*}$.

It is defined by:

$$
\partial^{*} Z=\Pi^{*} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \Pi^{*}}
$$

Its calculation may be required in a subset of cases. VFD discretisation applied to a full level quantity $Z$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial^{*} Z\right]_{l}=\alpha_{l}^{*}\left(\mathbf{A}_{l}^{*} Z_{l-1}+\mathbf{B}_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\mathbf{C}_{l}^{*} Z_{l+1}\right)+\delta_{l}^{*} \mathbf{A}_{l}^{*}\left(Z_{l}-Z_{l-1}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quantities $\mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{B}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ are those used in calculation of $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ (they match $\mathbf{A}^{*}+\mathbf{B}^{*}+\mathbf{C}^{*}=0$ ).

- For layers 2 to $L-1$ this is equivalent to write:

$$
\left[\partial^{*} Z\right]_{l}=\left(\delta_{l}^{*}-\alpha_{l}^{*}\right) \mathbf{A}_{l}^{*}\left(Z_{l}-Z_{l-1}\right)+\alpha_{l}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{l}^{*}\left(Z_{l+1}-Z_{l}\right)
$$

- For layer 1: $\mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{B}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ have specific values (see below), $Z_{0}$ is replaced by 0 .
- For layer $L: \mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{B}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ have specific values (see below), $Z_{L+1}$ is replaced by 0 .

Applying $\partial^{*}$ at half level quantities is simpler:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial^{*} Z\right]_{l}=\frac{Z_{\bar{l}}-Z_{\bar{l}-1}}{\delta_{l}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks:

- VFD2: calculation is not necessary. The two possible ways to compute $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}$ (using and not using $\partial^{*}$ ) must give the same result, provided formula (52) is used.
- VFD for vertical derivatives, other cases (for example VFE) for vertical integrals: study is still in progress, use formula (52) for the time being.
- VFE for vertical derivatives: this case has not been yet studied nor coded.


### 4.5.3 Linear operator $L^{*}$.

For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)$ is the vertical double derivative $\partial^{*}\left(\partial^{*}+\mathrm{I}\right) Z=\left[\Pi^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{*}}\left(\frac{\partial \Pi^{*} Z}{\partial \Pi^{*}}\right)\right]$.
NHQE discretisation slightly differs from the NHEE discretisation near the top and bottom.
Discretisation:

- VFD expression of this discretisation is:
- Layers 3 to $L-1$ : formulae (32), (33), (34), (35), (36) remain valid.
- Layers 2: formulae (36) and (35) remain valid; $\mathbf{A}_{2}^{*}$ has a specific NHQE discretisation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{2}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{1}^{*}}{\delta_{2}^{*}\left(\Pi_{2}^{*} / \delta_{1}^{*}-\Pi_{1}^{*}\left(\alpha_{2}^{*}-\delta_{2}^{*}\right)\right)} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Layer 1: formulae (40) and (37) remain valid; $\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}$ has a specific NHQE discretisation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{2}^{*}}{\Pi_{2}^{*}-\Pi_{1}^{*} \delta_{1}^{*}\left(\alpha_{2}^{*}-\delta_{2}^{*}\right)} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Layer $L$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{L}=\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}\left(Z_{L-1}-Z_{L}\right)-\mathbf{C}_{L}^{*} Z_{L} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}$ matches the general expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{L-1}^{*}}{\delta_{L}^{*}\left(\Pi_{L}^{*}-\Pi_{L-1}^{*}\right)} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Discretisation of $\mathbf{C}_{L}^{*}$ writes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{L}^{*}=\kappa_{\mathrm{d}} \frac{\Pi_{\text {surf }}^{*}}{\delta_{L}^{*}\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}} \Pi_{\text {surf }}^{*}+\left(1-\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \Pi_{L}^{*}\right)} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

- VFE expression of this discretisation in the NHQE model: this topic is still in progress, and no code is provided for the time being.
- Remark for NHQE model: stability is ensured only if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero.


### 4.5.4 Linear operator $L_{\kappa}^{*}$.

This operator, is applied to the pressure departure variable to compute linear term in the vertical divergence equation.
For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)$ is the vertical double derivative $\partial^{*}\left(\partial^{*}+\kappa_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{I}\right) Z$.
$\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)$ can also be written:

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*} Z=\mathbf{L}^{*} Z+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right) \partial^{*} Z
$$

Using VFD discretisation of $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ and $\partial^{*}$, VFD discretisation of $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}$ (valid even if constraint C 2 is not matched) writes:

$$
\left[\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*} Z\right]_{l}=\left[1+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right)\left(\alpha_{l}^{*}-\delta_{l}^{*}\right)\right] \mathbf{A}_{l}^{*}\left[Z_{l-1}-Z_{l}\right]+\left[1+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right) \alpha_{l}^{*}\right] \mathbf{C}_{l}^{*}\left[Z_{l+1}-Z_{l}\right]
$$

For VFD2 case, and only in this case, $\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)$ matches the following identity (constraint C 2$)$ :

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*} Z=\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} \mathbf{L}^{*} Z
$$

To use a vertical dependent $T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}$ and to make formulae simpler, it is more convenient to use $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ :

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}=\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right) \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}
$$

### 4.5.5 Linear operator $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}$.

Its discretisation is required only for case VFD2: we invert a triangular matrix.
We recall the VFD discretisation of $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$.

$$
\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=Z_{l}+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right)\left[\alpha_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\frac{\delta_{l}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \Delta \Pi_{k}^{*} Z_{k}\right]
$$

We assume that $Y_{l}=\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} Z\right)_{l}$ is known for every level $l$; the unknown is $Z_{l}$.

- Let us start by $Z_{l=1}$, using:

$$
\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} Z\right)_{l=1}=Z_{l=1}+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right) \alpha_{l=1}^{*} Z_{l=1}
$$

Using identity $\alpha_{l=1}^{*}=1$, that yields:

$$
Z_{l=1}=\left(1 / \kappa_{\mathrm{d}}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} Z\right)_{l=1}
$$

- To compute $Z_{l}$ one assumes that $Z_{k}$ has been computed for $k$ from 1 to $l-1$; on starts from:

$$
\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=Z_{l}+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right)\left[\alpha_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\frac{\delta_{l}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \Delta \Pi_{k}^{*} Z_{k}\right]
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
Z_{l}=\frac{1}{1+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right) \alpha_{l}^{*}}\left[\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} Z\right)_{l}-\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right)\left(\frac{\delta_{l}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \Delta \Pi_{k}^{*} Z_{k}\right)\right]
$$

### 4.5.6 Linear operators $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$.

* Case VFD2: In this case we use properties matched with constraint C2 to compute them, without computing $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}$.
The following properties are matched:
- $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ is a tridiagonal matrix.
- $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}$ is a tridiagonal matrix.
- $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}=\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right) \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}$ by définition.
- $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}=\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} \mathbf{L}^{*}$ (constraint C2).
- $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}=\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right) \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} \mathbf{L}^{*}$ (constraint C 2 and définition of $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}\right)$.
- But $\mathbf{L}^{* *}$ is not equal to $\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right) \mathbf{L}^{*}$, because $\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ are not commutative.
- $\left(c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}\right) \mathbf{L}^{*}\left[\gamma \tau+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right]=-\left[\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{L}^{*} \mathbf{Q}^{*}\right]$ (constraint C 2 ).
- Inverting above formulae yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L}^{*-1}=-\left(\left(c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}\right)\left[\gamma \tau+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right]+\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right)(\text { constraint } \mathrm{C} 2) . \\
& \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}=-\left(\left(c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}\right)\left[\gamma \tau+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right]+\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right) \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}\left(T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*} / T^{*}\right)(\text { constraint C2). } \\
& \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}=-\left(\left(c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}\right)\left[\gamma \tau+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right]+\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right) \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}\left(T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*} / T^{*}\right) \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*} \text { (constraint C2). }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Finally, computation of $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}$ is needed to compute $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$.
- $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}$ are needed to compute $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$.
- Some of these formulae can be simplified for vertically-constant $T_{a}^{*}$.
- Calculation of operators $\partial^{*}, \mathbf{L}^{*}, \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{*}, \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ is not necessary.
* VFD discretisation for other cases: That occurs for example when VFE are applied to vertical integrals, and VFD are applied to vertical derivatives. Constraint C2 is not matched, calculations follow a different way.
We use definition of $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ :

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} Z=\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right) \mathbf{L}^{*} Z+\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{d}}-1\right)\left(T^{*} / T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right) \partial^{*} Z
$$

VFD discretisation has been studied above for $\mathbf{L}^{*} Z$ and $\partial^{*} Z$.
We then invert $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ by a linear algebra routine inverting matrices, to compute $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}$. Applying a right multiplication by $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ provides $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$.

* VFE discretisation: This case has not been yet studied nor coded.


## 5 Semi-implicit scheme and inversion of Helmholtz equation.

### 5.1 Preliminary remarks and general formalism for Helmholtz equation.

Equations are written for a leap-frog scheme (Eulerian scheme or SL3TL scheme). For a SL2TL scheme replace $\Delta t$ by $0.5 \Delta t$.

Denotation $d$ generally stands for $d_{4}$, in particuliar for the NHQE model.
Method for solving the semi-implicit system can be summarised as follows:

- Eliminate variables between the different equations, in order to obtain one equation (the Helmholtz equation) with one unknown. This unknown is generally $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$, sometimes $d_{t+\Delta t}$ (this is the case in the NHEE model but studies are in progress to be able to use $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ as unknown in the NHEE model too). The RHS of the Helmholtz equation (denoted $\mathcal{R}$ ) is computed.
- Solve the Helmholtz equation: this is generally done by inversion of a diagonal linear system (unstretched global model, LAM model with small domain), or by inversion of a penta-diagonal linear system (for example stretched global model).
- Retrieve the other variables.

When $\bar{M}=M$, some calculations involve spectral multiplications by $M^{2}$. This is done by the product of a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix (useful coefficients are computed in routine SUSMAP (resp. SUESMAP in LAM models) and stored in the array SCGMAP (resp. ESCGMAP in LAM models)) by a vector containing spectral coefficients. MXPTMA is the routine doing the product. For more details see section 7.

If $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ is the unknown of the Helmholtz equation, the Helmholtz equation has the following shape:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

An alternate way to write it shows a symmetric matricial operator in the LHS:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { В } \bar{M}^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\nabla^{\prime-2} \mathcal{R} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d_{t+\Delta t}$ is the unknown of the Helmholtz equation, the Helmholtz equation has the following shape:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { В } \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) d_{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{R} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

An alternate way to write it shows a symmetric matricial operator in the LHS:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { В } \bar{M}^{2}\right)\left[\nabla^{\prime 2} d_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathcal{R} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

B may be timestep-dependent; it must be positive definite. To solve the above Helmholtz equations one uses the diagonalisation of $B$. Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m$. For a given zonal wave number $m$ :

- The right-hand side member $\mathcal{R}$ is computed for all total wave numbers $n$. For spherical geometry $n$ varies between $m$ and $N_{\text {s }}$.
- The diagonalisation of B is used: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Q}$, where A is a diagonal $L * L$ matrix, the diagonal coefficients $a_{l}$ of which are stored in the array SIVP. $\mathbf{Q}$ is a $L * L$ matrix stored in the array SIMI, $\mathbf{Q}^{-1}$ is stored in the array SIMO. Note that B, Q, and the different linear operators defined in section 4 commute with the horizontal operators $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ and $M^{2}$. But $M^{2}$ does not commute with $\nabla^{\prime 2}$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation if $\bar{M}=c$ and if $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ is the unknown: algorithm is done according to the following steps:
- Do a multiplication by Q.
- Helmholtz equation (59) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Q} \mathcal{R} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right)$ is a diagonal matricial operator: spectral coefficients of the right-hand side member of (63) are simply divided by the diagonal coefficients of this matrix. That yields $\mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$.
- Multiplying by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ one obtains $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation if $\bar{M}=M$ and if $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ is the unknown: inversion of Helmholtz equation is more complicated because the left-hand side member of Helmholtz equation contains values of the divergence for all levels and five total wave numbers $(n-2$ to $n+2)$. Of course $M^{2}$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix, for a given zonal wave number $m$.
- Do a multiplication by Q.
- Equations (59) and (60) respectively become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Q} \mathcal{R}  \tag{64}\\
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Q} \nabla^{\prime-2} \mathcal{R} \tag{65}
\end{gather*}
$$

- Equation (65) is used for $m>0$. For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matricial operator. The factorisation LU of this matrix is computed, where L is a lower triangular tridiagonal matrix, U is a upper triangular tridiagonal matrix with coefficients equal to 1 on the main diagonal. All useful coefficients of $L, U$ are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the array SIHEG. The right-hand side member of (65) is computed, then multiplied by the inverse of the symmetric pentadiagonal operator ( $\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} M^{2} a_{l}$ ) (resolution of two tridiagonal triangular systems by routine MXTURS). That yields $\mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$.
- For the zonal wave number $m=0$ equation (64) is used rather than (65) to avoid a division by 0 for $(m, n)=(0,0)$. The only difference is that the pentadiagonal but non-symmetric operator $\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right)$ is factorised and inverted. All useful coefficients of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{U}$ are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the arrays SIHEG and SIHEG2.
- Multiplying by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ one obtains $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation if $\bar{M}=c$ and if $d_{t+\Delta t}$ is the unknown: algorithm is done according to the following steps:
- Do a multiplication by Q.
- Helmholtz equation (61) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \mathrm{Q} d_{t+\Delta t}=\mathrm{Q} \mathcal{R} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(I-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right.$ ) is a diagonal matricial operator: spectral coefficients of the right-hand side member of (66) are simply divided by the diagonal coefficients of this matrix. That yields $\mathrm{Q} d_{t+\Delta t}$.
- Multiplying by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ one obtains $d_{t+\Delta t}$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation if $\bar{M}=M$ and if $d_{t+\Delta t}$ is the unknown: inversion of Helmholtz equation is more complicated because the left-hand side member of Helmholtz equation contains values of $d$ for all levels and five total wave numbers $(n-2$ to $n+2)$. Of course $M^{2}$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix, for a given zonal wave number $m$.
- Do a multiplication by Q.
- Equations (61) and (62) respectively become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \mathrm{Q} d_{t+\Delta t}=\mathbf{Q} \mathcal{R}  \tag{67}\\
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q}\left[\nabla^{\prime 2} d_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathbf{Q} \mathcal{R} \tag{68}
\end{gather*}
$$

- Equation (68) is used for $m>0$. For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matricial operator. The factorisation LU of this matrix is computed, where L is a lower triangular tridiagonal matrix, U is a upper triangular tridiagonal matrix with coefficients equal to 1 on the main diagonal. All the useful coefficients of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{U}$ are computed in the set-up routine SUNHHEG and stored in the array SIHEG. The right-hand side member of (68) is computed, then multiplied by the inverse of the symmetric pentadiagonal operator $\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)$ (resolution of two tridiagonal triangular systems by routine MXTURS). That yields $\mathrm{Q}\left[\nabla^{\prime 2} d_{t+\Delta t}\right]$.
- For the zonal wave number $m=0$ equation (67) is used rather than (68) to avoid a division by 0 for $(m, n)=(0,0)$. The only difference is that the pentadiagonal but non-symmetric operator $\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right)$ is factorised and inverted. All useful coefficients of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{U}$ are computed in the set-up routine SUNHHEG and stored in the arrays SIHEG and SIHEG2.
- Multiplying by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$, then $\nabla^{\prime-2}$ (if $m>0$ ), one obtains $d_{t+\Delta t}$.


### 5.2 Semi-implicit scheme for 3D hydrostatic primitive equations model.

## * Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{L}$ for GMV and GMVS variables:

- Continuity equation $\left(X=\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=0 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Temperature equation $(X=T)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved: Equations are written for $\log \left(\Pi_{s}\right)$ as a prognostic variable for continuity equation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \bar{M}^{2} \nu D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{*}  \tag{73}\\
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}  \tag{74}\\
T_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \bar{M}^{2} \tau D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}^{*} \tag{75}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{*}, \mathcal{T}^{*}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (14) and are available in spectral arrays (YDSP\%SP, YDSP\%DIV, YDSP\%T) at the beginning of the spectral computations. Equations (73) to (75) yield (76) (Helmholtz equation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}=\gamma \tau+\mu \nu$ is a matricial operator $L * L$ (precomputed in routines SUDYN, SUBMAT and stored in the array SIB), and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}^{*}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (73) to (75). Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m$ and is performed in the routine SPCSI (ESPCSI for LAM models). For a given zonal wave number $m$ :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (76) is computed.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation is done according to an algorithm described in subsection 5.1.
- Once known $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ equation (73) provides $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$ and equation (75) provides $T_{t+\Delta t}$.
- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.


### 5.3 Semi-implicit scheme for 3D fully elastic nonhydrostatic primitive (NHEE) equations model.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{L}$ for GMV and GMVS variables:
- Continuity equation $\left(X=\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma T-T^{*}(\gamma \hat{Q})+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}\right] \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Temperature equation $(X=T)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left[\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}+d\right] \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Pressure departure variable equation $(X=\hat{Q})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\left[\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}+d\right)-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vertical divergence equation $(X=d)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}\left(\mathbf{L}^{* *} \hat{Q}\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved: Equations are written for $\log \left(\Pi_{s}\right)$ as a prognostic variable for continuity equation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{*}  \tag{84}\\
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}-T^{*}\left(\gamma \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}  \tag{85}\\
\hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t\left[\frac{c_{\mathrm{P}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+d_{t+\Delta t}\right)-\frac{c_{\mathrm{pd}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}  \tag{86}\\
d_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}\left(\mathbf{L}^{* *} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}  \tag{87}\\
T_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left[\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+d_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathcal{T}^{*} \tag{88}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}, \mathcal{T}^{*}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (14) and are available in spectral arrays (YDSP\%SP,
YDSP\%DIV, YDSP\%SPD, YDSP\%SVD, YDSP\%T) at the beginning of spectral computations.

* Elimination of variables: All the constants and the vertical operators commute with $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ and $\bar{M}$.
- Elimination of $T, \hat{Q}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ between equations (84), (85), (86) and (88) leads to equation (89):

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\left\{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right)\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\right)+\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}} \gamma+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right\} \bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right. \\
&\left.+\left\{-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \frac{c_{\mathrm{pd}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right)+\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}} \gamma\right\} d_{t+\Delta t}\right] \\
&=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right) \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}-\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \mathcal{P}^{*}\right] \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}$ is defined by equation (90):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right) \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}-\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \mathcal{P}^{*}\right] \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the relationship $c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}}-c_{\mathrm{vd}}=R_{\mathrm{d}}$, the definition of $C$ and we isolate the term $C O R$ (see equation (31)): this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(C^{2}-T^{*} \gamma\right)\right] d_{t+\Delta t}+\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(C^{2}(1+C O R)\right) \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quantity $C O R$ is zero when the constraint "C1" is fulfilled. In the other cases, $C O R$ is generally non-zero but weak ( $C O R \ll 1$ ).

- Elimination of $\hat{Q}$ between equations (86) and (87) leads to equation (92):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{t+\Delta t}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2}\left[\frac{\mathbf{L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{Pd}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\frac{C^{2}}{H^{2}} \mathbf{L}^{* *} d_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}=\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}+\beta \Delta t\left[-\frac{g}{H} \mathbf{L}^{* *} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}\right] \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

- When $C O R=0$, elimination of $D^{\prime}$ between equations (91) and (92) leads to Helmholtz equation (96):

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2}\left(\bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}+\frac{\mathrm{L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\right)-\beta^{4} \Delta t^{4} N^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} \mathbf{T}^{* *}\right] d_{t+\Delta t}} \\
& =\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *} \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{I}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} N^{2} \mathbf{T}^{* *}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] d_{t+\Delta t}} \\
=\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}\right] \tag{95}
\end{gather*}
$$

* Helmholtz equation: We can notice that Helmholtz equation (95) writes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { В } \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) d_{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{R} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=C^{2}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{I}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} N^{2} \mathbf{T}^{* *}\right) \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{* *}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{Pd}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}\right] \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we compare with the LHS of the Helmholtz equation in the hydrostatic case we can notice four things:

- The unknown is $d_{t+\Delta t}$.
- The order of $\bar{M}^{2}$ and $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ is inverted.
- B now depends on $\Delta t$, it must be recomputed each time the timestep is changed.
- $B$ is now a tridiagonal matrix (at least for VFD discretisation).
* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (84) to (88). Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m$ and is performed in the routine SPNHSI (ESPNHSI in LAM model). For a given zonal wave number $m$ :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (96) is computed. We can do some remarks:
- During the elimination of variables between the equations (84) to (88) we have to perform matricial multiplications by ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}$ ) and $\bar{M}^{2}$. When $\bar{M}$ is horizontally constant (case $\mathbf{L S I D G}=. \mathrm{F} ., \mathbf{L E S I D G}=. \mathrm{F}$. ) these operators are purely diagonal; when $\bar{M}=M$ these operators are pentadiagonal and matricial products require additional calls to routine MXPTMA.
- The matricial operator ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2}\left(C^{2} / H^{2}\right) \mathbf{L}^{* *}$ ) which appears in the RHS of (96) and also in B is pre-computed in routine SUNHSI and is stored in the array SIFAC. Its inverse is stored in the array SIFACI.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation is done according to an algorithm described in subsection 5.1.
- Once known $d_{t+\Delta t}$ equation (91), which can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]^{-1}\left[\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(-T^{*} \gamma+C^{2}\right) d_{t+\Delta t}\right] \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$. Note that when $\bar{M}$ is constant, $\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]$ is a purely diagonal operator, but when $\bar{M}=M\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]$ is a pentadiagonal operator which has to be inverted by a LU method (all useful coefficients of $L, U$ are computed in the set-up routine SUNHHEG and are stored in SIHEGB and SIHEGB2) and that introduces additional calls to routines MXTURS or MXTURE. For $m>0$ we prefer to invert the symmetric operator $\left[\nabla^{\prime-2}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]$.

- After calculation of $\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$, equation (88) yields $T_{t+\Delta t}$, equation (86) yields $\hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}$, and equation (84) yields $\log \Pi_{s t+\Delta t}$.
- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.
* Case where the constraint C1 is not matched (non zero $C O R$ ): An iterative algorithm has been implemented, which can briefly described as follows:
- The total number of iterations is NITERHELM.
- For the predictor step, we replace $C O R$ by 0 and we do the eliminations and the inversion of the Helmholtz equation like previously described.
- For the corrector step, the term containing $C O R$ is put in the RHS, it is multiplied by $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ at the previous iteration. The LHS is unchanged, so the elimination and the Helmholtz solving can be done like in the predictor step. We rather take as unknowns the increments between the current iteration and the predictor step, that allows to simplify the calculation of the RHS for the corrector step.


### 5.4 Semi-implicit scheme for 3D fully elastic nonhydrostatic primitive (NHEE) equations model: an alternate way to solve it.

The linear system to be solved is the same one, but elimination between equations (91) and (92) is now done on $d$ to obtain an Helmholtz equation with $D^{\prime}$ as unknown. This method, which leads to a simpler code, is planned to be described in a further version of this documentation. The code has not yet entered the current developpement cycle.

### 5.5 Semi-implicit scheme for 3D quasi elastic nonhydrostatic primitive (NHQE) equations model.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{L}$ for GMV and GMVS variables:
- Continuity equation $\left(X=\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma \tilde{T}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}\right] \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=0 \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Temperature equation $(X=\tilde{T})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vertical divergence equation $(X=d)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} \hat{Q}\right)=-\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} \hat{Q}\right) \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Closure equation, only valid for $d=d_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right)+d=0 \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}$ is the non-linear counterpart of $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ : defined with $\alpha, \delta, \Pi, R_{\mathrm{d}}, c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}$.

* System to be solved: Equations are written for $\log \left(\Pi_{s}\right)$ as a prognostic variable for continuity equation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{*}  \tag{106}\\
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma \tilde{T}_{t+\Delta t}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}  \tag{107}\\
d_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}  \tag{108}\\
\tilde{T}_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{T}^{*}  \tag{109}\\
\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)+d_{t+\Delta t}=\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}-\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}\right)\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right) \tag{110}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}, \mathcal{T}^{*}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (14) and are available in spectral arrays (YDSP\%SP, YDSP\%DIV, YDSP\%SVD, YDSP\%T) at the beginning of spectral computations.

* Elimination of variables and Helmholtz equation:
- Elimination of $d$ between equations (108) and (110) leads to equation (111):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)-\beta \Delta t \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}-\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}\right)\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation must be iterated:

- General iteration (i) writes:

$$
\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t,(i)}^{\prime}\right)-\beta \Delta t \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t,(i)}\right)=\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}-\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}\right)\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t,(i-1)}^{\prime}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}
$$

- Predictor step writes:

$$
\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t,(i=1)}^{\prime}\right)-\beta \Delta t \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t,(i=1)}\right)=\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}-\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}\right)\left(\bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}
$$

- Elimination of $\tilde{T}, d, \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ between equations $(106),(107)$ and (109) leads to equation (112):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2}\left(\gamma \tau+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right) \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+(\beta \Delta t) R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nabla^{\prime 2} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}^{*}-(\beta \Delta t) \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \mathcal{P}^{*}\right) \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Elimination of $\hat{Q}$ between equations (111) and (112) leads to Helmholtz equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \mathrm{~B} \quad \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t,(i)}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}_{(i)}^{\prime * *} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left(\gamma \tau+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right)-\frac{H^{2}}{\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2}} \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}=\mathrm{B} \operatorname{hyd}-\frac{H^{2}}{\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2}} \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}
$$

and:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{(i)}^{\prime * *}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-(\beta \Delta t) \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \mathcal{P}^{*}\right)-H^{2} \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \nabla^{\prime 2} \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}+H^{2} \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}-\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}\right)\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t,(i-1)}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We can notice that Helmholtz equation writes:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { В } \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) d_{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{R}
$$

with:

$$
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{D}_{(i)}^{\prime * *}
$$

## * Some remarks about Helmholtz equation:

- Helmholtz equation requires iterations on $D^{\prime}$. Due to memory distribution aspects, the loop doing iterations is in (E)SPCM.
- Recalculation of the other variables must be done only at the last iteration.
- Calculation of the non-linear term $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa} \bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t,(i-1)}^{\prime}$ requires grid-point space calculations (routine (E)SPNHQESI_PRODSKAP). Due to memory distribution aspects, (E)SPNHQESI_PRODSKAP is called from (E)SPCM and not from (E)SPNHQESI.
- For pure $\sigma$ vertical coordinate $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}=\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ and this is not necessary to iterate; this property is lost for hybrid coordinate.
- For predictor, $D_{t+\Delta t,(i=0)}^{\prime}$ can be replaced by $\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}$.
- For large timesteps, B converges towards the HPE one.
* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (106) to (110). Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m$ and is performed in the routine SPNHQESI (ESPNHQESI for LAM models). For a given zonal wave number $m$ :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (76) is computed. A subset of calculations must be done at each iteration $(i)$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation is done according to an algorithm described in subsection 5.1. It is done for all iterations.
- Once known $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$, the other variables are retrieved as follows:
- Equation (111) allows to retrieve $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}$, then $\hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\left(\right.$ multiplication by $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}\right)$.
- Equation (110) allows to compute $d_{t+\Delta t}$.
- Equation (106) allows to compute $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$.
- Equation (109) allows to compute $\tilde{T}_{t+\Delta t}$.

This is done at the last iteration only.

- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.


### 5.6 Semi-implicit scheme for 2D shallow-water model.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{L}$ :
- Continuity equation $(X=\Phi)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\Phi^{*} \bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime} \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}(\Phi) \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=0 \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \bar{M}^{2} \Phi^{*} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}^{*}  \tag{117}\\
& D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\Phi_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *} \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{H}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equations (14) and are available in spectral arrays (YDSP\%SP, YDSP\%DIV) at the beginning of the spectral computations. Equations (117) and (118) yield (119) (Helmholtz equation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { в } \nabla^{\prime} 2 \bar{M}^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=\Phi^{*}$ is a scalar (available in $\operatorname{SIVP}(1)$ ), and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\mathcal{H}^{*}\right) \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (117) and (118). Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m$ and is performed in routine $\mathbf{S P C 2}$. For a given zonal wave number $m$ :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (119) is computed.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation is done according to an algorithm described in subsection 5.1. Note that $B$ is the scalar variable $\Phi^{*}$, so no diagonalisation is required.
- Once known $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ equation (117) provides $\Phi_{t+\Delta t}$.
- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.


## 6 Inclusion of Coriolis term in the semi-implicit scheme.

Although this term is non linear, it may be added to linear terms in the semi-implicit scheme. Equations are written for a leap-frog scheme (Eulerian scheme or SL3TL scheme). For a SL2TL scheme replace $\Delta t$ by $0.5 \Delta t$. In ARPEGE/IFS this option is coded for both Eulerian and semi-Lagrangian schemes (3D model and shallowwater model). This option is available only in unstretched untilted spherical geometry, in setting LIMPF=.T. in namelist NAMDYN. The reason to use such option is better accuracy for long range forecasts (but not stability issues: LIMPF=.F. is as stable as LIMPF=.T. but may lead to worse scores for long-range forecasts).

This option is limited to global model with unstretched and untilted geometry. In unstretched and untilted global model Coriolis parameter $f=2 \Omega \sin \theta$ writes as a first degree polynomial of the sinus of computational sphere latitude. This property leads to invert pentadiagonal matrices in the algorithm which will be described. This simple property is lost in stretched or tilted geometry and in LAM models.

### 6.1 3D hydrostatic model.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{L}$ : Equations (70) and (71) become respectively:
- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-2 \nabla^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}) \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-2 \mathbf{k} \cdot\left[\nabla^{\prime} \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})\right] \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved: Equations (73) and (75) are unchanged. Equation (74) is replaced by the two following equations, for divergence and vorticity. Restriction to unstretched untilted global model allows to replace $D^{\prime}$ by $D, \zeta^{\prime}$ by $\zeta, \nabla^{\prime}$ by $\nabla$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\beta \Delta t(2 \nabla(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}))=\mathcal{D}^{*}  \tag{123}\\
\zeta_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t(2 \mathbf{k} \cdot[\nabla \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})])=\zeta^{*} \tag{124}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{D}^{*}, \zeta^{*}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (14) and are available in spectral arrays (YDSP\%DIV, YDSP\%VOR) at the beginning of the spectral computations.

* Divergence and vorticity in spherical geometry: For a vector $\mathbf{Y}$ of horizontal components $Y_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $Y_{\mathrm{y}}$, the divergence and vertical component of vorticity write as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \mathbf{Y}=\frac{1}{a \cos \theta}\left[\frac{\partial Y_{\mathrm{x}}}{\partial \lambda}+\frac{\partial\left(Y_{\mathrm{y}} \cos \theta\right)}{\partial \theta}\right]  \tag{125}\\
\mathbf{k} \cdot(\nabla \wedge \mathbf{Y})=\frac{1}{a \cos \theta}\left[\frac{\partial Y_{\mathrm{y}}}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{\partial\left(Y_{\mathrm{x}} \cos \theta\right)}{\partial \theta}\right] \tag{126}
\end{gather*}
$$

* Helmholtz equation: Using relations (125) and (126) in equations (123) and (124) lead to the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\beta \Delta t(-2 \Omega \sin \theta) \zeta_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t\left(\frac{2 \Omega \cos \theta}{a}\right) U_{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}^{*}  \tag{127}\\
\zeta_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t(2 \Omega \sin \theta) D_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t\left(\frac{2 \Omega \cos \theta}{a}\right) V_{t+\Delta t}=\zeta^{*} \tag{128}
\end{gather*}
$$

The four following expressions are used, for each complex spectral coefficient:

- Relationship between divergence and velocity potential $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\nabla^{2} \chi \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Relationship between vorticity and stream function $\psi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\nabla^{2} \psi \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

- (129) and (130) can be rewritten, for each complex spectral component:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{(m, n)} & =-\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}} \chi_{(m, n)}  \tag{131}\\
\zeta_{(m, n)} & =-\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}} \psi_{(m, n)} \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

- Relationship between $U, \psi$ and $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U a \cos \theta)_{(m, n)}=i m \chi_{(m, n)}+(n-1) e_{(m, n)} \psi_{(m, n-1)}-(n+2) e_{(m, n+1)} \psi_{(m, n+1)} \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Relationship between $V, \psi$ and $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(V a \cos \theta)_{(m, n)}=i m \psi_{(m, n)}-(n-1) e_{(m, n)} \chi_{(m, n-1)}+(n+2) e_{(m, n+1)} \chi_{(m, n+1)} \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{(0,0)}=0$ and $e_{(m, n)}=\sqrt{\left(n^{2}-m^{2}\right) /\left(4 n^{2}-1\right)}$.
Equations (131) to (134) allow to eliminate $U_{t+\Delta t}$ and $V_{t+\Delta t}$ in equations (127) and (128).

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right)-\beta \Delta t(2 \Omega(\sin \theta) \zeta)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)}}{n+1} \zeta_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}  \tag{135}\\
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] \zeta_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t(2 \Omega(\sin \theta) D)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}}{n} D_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)}}{n+1} D_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\zeta_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{136}
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplication by $\sin \theta$ is eliminated by using the following relationship valid for any variable $X$, in not stretched and not tilted geometry:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(\sin \theta) X]_{(m, n)}=e_{(m, n)} X_{(m, n-1)}+e_{(m, n+1)} X_{(m, n+1)} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (135) and (136) become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right)-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)}}{n+1} \zeta_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}  \tag{138}\\
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] \zeta_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} D_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)} n}{n+1} D_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}} \\
=\zeta_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{139}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\zeta$ is eliminated in equation (138) by using (139) in replacing $n$ by $n-1$ then by $n+1$. Equation (138) becomes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
\quad+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{S}}\right)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right) \\
\quad=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n) \frac{(n+1)}{n}}^{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*} \tag{140}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T_{t+\Delta t}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{s}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$ are eliminated by using equations (73) and (75). That leads to Helmholtz equation (141):

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{2}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
\quad=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}_{(m, n)}^{*}\right) \\
\quad+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n) \frac{(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*}} \tag{141}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (141) is solved in eigenmodes space. The right-hand side member needs a multiplication by a tridiagonal complex matrix, for each zonal wave number $m$. Inversion of Helmholtz equation is equivalent to invert a pentadiagonal complex matrix (done in routine SIMPLICO), for each zonal wave number $m$. All computations are currently (in cycle 46) done in SPCSI. For $m=0$ complex operators become real operators.

* Determination of other quantities at $t+\Delta t$ : Equation (140) is used to compute $\zeta_{t+\Delta t}$ (for each zonal wave number $m$, multiplication by a complex tridiagonal matrix). Then equation (73) is used to compute $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$ and equation (75) is used to compute $T_{t+\Delta t}$.


### 6.2 3D NHEE model: case with $d$ as unknown in Helmholtz equation.

Extending such an algorithm to the 3D NHEE model in not stretched and not tilted geometry is possible but not straightforward. Most difficulties come from the fact that the unknown in the Helmholtz equation is $d$ and not $D^{\prime}$.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{L}$ : Equations (79) and (80) become respectively:
- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma T-T^{*}(\gamma \hat{Q})+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}\right]-2 \nabla^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}) \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-2 \mathbf{k} \cdot\left[\nabla^{\prime} \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})\right] \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Helmholtz equation: Elimination of $T, \hat{Q}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ between equations (84), (86), (88), and the modified (85) is done like for the case LIMPF=.F. . We have now a 3 -equations system with the unknowns $d$ or $d_{4}, D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ (equation of $\zeta^{\prime}$ is identical to the hydrostatic one). Since LIMPF can work only with a not stretched not tilted spherical geometry, we omit the mapping factor and we replace $D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ by $D$ and $\zeta$ everywhere.

The relationships (129), (130), (129), (132), (131), (133), (134), (137) are still used, in the same manner as in the hydrostatic model. Using these relationships allows to replace the occurrences of $U$ and $V$ exactly as it is done in the hydrostatic model (calculations are not detailed) and to have only the spectral components of $d$ (or $d_{4}$ ), $D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$.

To simplify, we adopt the following denotations:

- $\mathrm{B}_{1}=-C^{2}$
- $\mathrm{BC}_{1}=-C^{2} * C O R$
- $\mathrm{B}_{2}=-C^{2}+T^{*} \gamma$
- $\mathrm{B}_{3}=-\frac{1}{H^{2}} \mathbf{L}^{* *}\left(-c_{\mathrm{Pd}} \tau+C^{2}\right)$
- $\mathrm{B}_{4}=-\frac{C^{2}}{H^{2}} \mathbf{L}^{* *}$

Equations for $d$ (or $d_{4}$ ), $D$ and $\zeta$ become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}+\mathrm{BC}_{1}\right)\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right] d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)} n}{n+1} \zeta_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}  \tag{144}\\
{\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right] d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}=\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* *}}  \tag{145}\\
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] \zeta_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} D_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)} n}{n+1} D_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}} \\
=\zeta_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{146}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\zeta$ is eliminated in equation (144) by using (146) in replacing $n$ by $n-1$ then by $n+1$ (exactly like we do in the hydrostatic model). Equation (144) becomes:

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\right.} & \frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}
\end{array}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}+\mathrm{BC}_{1}\right)\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right)
$$

The similarities with the hydrostatic model are the following ones:

- The $\zeta^{*}$ terms added to $\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}$ are the same ones than those added to $\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}$ in the hydrostatic Helmholtz equation. We introduce the following quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} \frac{(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*} \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

- In the LHS, the additional terms containing $\Omega$ (in factor of $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}, D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $\left.D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t}\right)$ are the same ones as in the hydrostatic model.

That means that some pieces of code present in the hydrostatic code (the call to SIMPLICO and most of the input dummy arguments of SIMPLICO) can be re-used with no significant change in the NH model.

The main difference with the hydrostatic model, which will provide an additional difficulty, is the elimination between the $D$ equation and the $d$ equation. We first assume that $C O R=0$ (constraint C 1 ) and, like in the case LIMPF $=\mathrm{F}$, we do the elimination in order to have an Helmholtz equation with $d$ as unknown.
Equation (145) is used three times, for the total wavenumbers $n-2, n$ and $n+2$ to do the elimination of $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}, D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}$. After this elimination, a left multiplication of the LHS and of the RHS by $\left[1+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]$ (which commutes with all the coefficients containing $\Omega$ ) is performed. We obtain an Helmholtz equation containing $d_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}, d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $d_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t}$ in the LHS:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} B \nabla^{2}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\right] d d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} d_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} d_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
=\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left(-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}+\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}\right) \tag{149}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}= \\
\left.\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \nabla^{2}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\right] \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* *} \\
\quad+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n-2)}^{* *}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n+2)}^{* *} \tag{150}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can first remark that the RHS of the Helmholtz equation requires an additional multiplication by a complex pentadiagonal matrix which is not present in the hydrostatic model. Such a multiplication is done in the routine SI_MXPTCO which re-uses some input dummy arguments of SIMPLICO. This multiplication computes a quantity which must be added to the $\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}$ term, before applying the inverse of $\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]$.

Once computed its RHS, equation (149) is solved in eigenmodes space. Inversion of Helmholtz equation is equivalent to invert a pentadiagonal complex matrix (done in routine SIMPLICO), for each zonal wave number $m$; the coefficients are the same ones as in the hydrostatic model, the only difference being the content of $B$ (filled in the set-up), so this is transparent in routine SPNHSI. All computations are currently (in cycle 46) done in SPNHSI, by calling routine SIMPLICO. For $m=0$ complex operators become real operators.

Once computed $d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$, equation (147) is used to retrieve $D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$. Equation (147) contains $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}$, $D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t}$, that means that we need a second complex matrix inversion (there was only one in the hydrostatic model). But we can notice that the coefficients in the LHS look like those present in the LHS of the Helmholtz equation:

- All the coefficients containing $\Omega$ are exactly the same ones of the Helmholtz equation.
- The coefficient $(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{2}$ of the Helmholtz equation is replaced by $-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \nabla^{2}$, where $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ is already a (constant coefficients) diagonal matrix.

That means that, for this complex matrix inversion, routine SIMPLICO can be re-used without any change (the only input coefficient which changes is the one containing the eigenvalues).

Once computed $D_{t+\Delta t}$, equation (146) allows to retrieve $\zeta_{t+\Delta t}$, and this piece of calculations is identical to what is done in the hydrostatic model.

* Determination of other quantities at $t+\Delta t$ : Retrieval of $T, \hat{Q}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ at $t+\Delta t$ is done exactly like in the LIMPF=F case.
* Combination with the NITERHELM algorithm when the constraint C1 is not matched: This is possible and now implemented.


### 6.3 3D NHEE model: case with $D^{\prime}$ as unknown in Helmholtz equation.

Method is more consistent with what is done in the hydrostatic and NHQE models. Description will be provided in a further version of this documentation. The code has not yet entered the current developpement cycle.

### 6.4 3D NHQE model.

Method is similar to the HPE equations, and calculations are not detailed. HPE calculations can be re-used, with the following replacements:

- When $\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ appear in equations, replace it by $\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\mu \hat{Q}\right)$.
- In the RHS of equation (141), replace:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}_{(m, n)}^{*}\right)
$$

by:
$\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}_{(m, n)}^{*}\right)-H^{2} \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \nabla^{\prime 2} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{*}+H^{2} \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \nabla^{\prime 2} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}\left[D_{t+\Delta t,(i-1)}^{\prime}\right]_{(m, n)}-H^{2} \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \nabla^{\prime}{ }^{2}\left[\mathbf{S}_{\kappa} D_{t+\Delta t,(i-1)}^{\prime}\right]_{(m, n)}$
$\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}$ is the non-linear counterpart of $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$.

### 6.5 2D shallow-water model.

Such an algorithm is also coded in the 2D shallow-water model in not stretched and not tilted geometry. Start from the HPE linear system, then:

- When $\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ appear in equations, replace it by $\Phi$.
- Replace $\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}_{(m, n)}^{*}\right)$ by $\mathcal{H}_{(m, n)}^{*}$ in equation (141).
- Once computed $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ equation (117) provides $\Phi_{t+\Delta t}$.


## 7 Spectral multiplications by polynomial expressions of the mapping factor.

* Expression of mapping factor $M$ in spectral space in spherical geometry. Let us denote by:
- $a_{\mathrm{c}}=0.5\left(c+\frac{1}{c}\right)$
- $b_{\mathrm{c}}=0.5\left(c-\frac{1}{c}\right)$
- $e_{(0,0)}=0$
- $e_{(m, n)}=\sqrt{\frac{n^{2}-m^{2}}{4 n^{2}-1}}$

Expression of $M$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=a_{\mathrm{c}}+b_{\mathrm{c}} \xi \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is the sinus of computational sphere latitude. Expression of $[M X]_{(m, n)}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[M X]_{(m, n)}=b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n)} X_{(m, n-1)}+a_{\mathrm{c}} X_{(m, n)}+b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n+1)} X_{(m, n+1)} \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy from (152) to retrieve the coefficients of spectral multiplication by any first degree polynomial of $M$. This is equivalent to a multiplication by a tridiagonal symmetric matrix in spectral space.

* Expression of $M^{2}$ in spectral space in spherical geometry.

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[M^{2} X\right]_{(m, n)}=b_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} X_{(m, n-2)}+2 a_{\mathrm{c}} b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n)} X_{(m, n-1)}+\left(a_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}+b_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\left(e_{(m, n)}^{2}+e_{(m, n+1)}^{2}\right)\right) X_{(m, n)}} \\
+2 a_{\mathrm{c}} b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n+1)} X_{(m, n+1)}+b_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} X_{(m, n+2)} \tag{153}
\end{gather*}
$$

This is equivalent to a multiplication by a pentadiagonal symmetric matrix in spectral space.

* Expression of $M$ and $M^{2}$ in spectral space in LAM models. This formula is only valid on a tilted-rotated Mercator projection, and it assumes that the reference latitude of the projection is at the middle of sub-domain $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{I}$.
If we assume that the plane coordinates will be not slanted relatively to the longitudes and latitudes of the Mercator projection, the mapping factor $M$ always depends only on the $y$ coordinate and never vary along the $x$ coordinate.

$$
M=\frac{1}{\cos \theta}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}}}=\cosh (y / a)
$$

where $\mu=\sin \theta$, the $y$ coordinate (this is an distance measured on the plane projection) assumes that $y=0$ at the apparent equator, and $a$ is the mean Earth radius. $M$ is not a low order polynomial function of $y$ so even in this case it needs to be approximated. The approximation used is, for a Fourier decomposition of $M^{2}$, to have only two harmonics.

## 8 Organigramme of the spectral part of the semi-implicit computations.

### 8.1 Set-up and control routines until STEPO.

CNTO ->

* SUOYOMA ->
- SUCTO
- SUDYNA
- SUGEOMETRY -> SUSMAP, SUESMAP, and so on
* SUOYOMB ->
- SUDYN ->
* SUALDYN
* SUALDYNB (global model) or SUELDYNB (LAM model).
* SUSI (hydrostatic model) ->
- GPHPRE
- SUBMAT -> SITNU and SIGAM
- EIGSOL, SCORDO
- MINV_CALLER
- SUHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only)
- SUEHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LESIDG=T only)
* SUNHSI (NHEE model) ->
- GPHPRE
- SUNHBMAT -> SISEVE and MINV_CALLER (NHEE model)
- EIGSOL, SCORDO
- MINV_CALLER
- SUNHHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only, NHEE model)
- SUENHHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LESIDG=T only, NHEE model)
* SUNHSI_TESTCONV (NHEE model)
* SUNHQESI (NHQE model) ->
- GPHPRE
- SUBMAT -> SITNU, SIGAM, SILKAPI
- EIGSOL, SCORDO
- MINV_CALLER
- SUHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only)
* CNT1 -> CNT2 -> CNT3 -> CNT4 ->
- SUHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only)
- SUEHEG $\rightarrow$ SUHER and SUHES (LESIDG=T only)
- SUNHSI (NHEE model) -> (see above)
- SUNHQESI (NHQE model) -> (see above)
- STEPO -> (see below)
* Adjoint code: For adjoint code STEPO is replaced by STEPOAD, organigramme is slightly different between CNT0 and STEPOAD. For example CNT3 is replaced by CNT3AD, CNT4 is replaced by CNT4AD.
* Tangent linear code: For tangent linear code STEPO is replaced by STEPOTL, organigramme is slightly different between CNT0 and STEPOTL. For example CNT3 is replaced by CNT3TL, CNT4 is replaced by CNT4TL


### 8.2 Direct code under STEPO or tangent linear code under STEPOTL.

```
STEPO or STEPOTL ->
    * SPCM ->
    - SPCIMPFINIT (LIMPF=T only)
    - TRMTOS (transposition routine for distributed memory)
    - SPCSI (hydrostatic model) ->
        * SITNU -> VERDISINT
        * SIGAM -> VERDISINT
        * SPCIMPFSOLVE (LIMPF=T only) -> TRSTOM, SIMPLICO, TRMTOS
        * MXMAOP
        * MXTURS, MXTURE, MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
    - SPNHSI (NHEE model) ->
        * SIDD -> SIGAM and SISEVE
        * SI_CCCOR
        * SISEVE -> VERDISINT
        * SITNU -> VERDISINT
        * SIMPLICO and SI_MXPTCO (LIMPF=T only)
        * MXMAOP
        * MXTURS, MXTURE, MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
        * SIGAM -> VERDISINT
    - SPNHQESI (NHQE model) ->
        * SITNU -> VERDISINT
        * SIGAM -> VERDISINT
        * SISKAP
        * SPCIMPFSOLVE (LIMPF=T only) -> TRSTOM, SIMPLICO, TRMTOS
```

```
    * MXMAOP
    * MXTURS, MXTURE, MXPTMA (LSIDG=T only)
    - SPNHQESI_PRODSKAP (NHQE model) -> not described
    - TRSTOM (transposition routine for distributed memory)
    - SPCIMPFPOST (LIMPF=T only)
    - SPCHOR (horizontal diffusion)
* SPC2M -> SPC2 ->
    - SIMPLICO (LIMPF=T only)
    - MXTURS, MXTURE, MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
    - BALADSM
```

In LAM models, ESPCM, ESPCSI, ESPNHSI, ESPNHQESI, ESPCHOR are called instead of SPCM, SPCSI, SPNHSI, SPNHQESI, SPCHOR.

### 8.3 Adjoint code under STEPOAD.

```
STEPOAD ->
    * SPCMAD ->
    - BRPTOB -> PE2SET
    - SPCHORAD (horizontal diffusion)
    - SPCIMPFPOSTAD (LIMPF=T only)
    - TRMTOS (transposition routine for distributed memory)
    - SPCSIAD (hydrostatic model) ->
        * SITNUAD -> VERINTAD
        * SIGAMAD -> VERINTAD
        * SPCIMPFSOLVEAD (LIMPF=T only) -> TRSTOM, SIMPLICOAD, TRMTOS
        * MXMAOP
        * MXTURS, MXTURE, MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
    - TRSTOM (transposition routine for distributed memory)
    - SPCIMPFINITAD (LIMPF=T only)
```

In LAM models, ESPCMAD, ESPCSIAD and ESPCHORAD are called instead of SPCMAD, SPCSIAD, SPNHSIAD and SPCHORAD.

### 8.4 Action done by these routines.

## * Set-up routines:

- SUCT0: computes 0-level control variables, and also some variables linked to dynamics.
- SUDYNA: set-up for dynamics, part A.
- SUDYN: set-up for dynamics, part B.
- SUSI: set-up for hydrostatic SI scheme.
- SUNHSI: set-up for NHEE SI scheme.
- SUNHSI_TESTCONV: test convergence necessary condition of the NITERHELM algorithm (NHEE SI scheme).
- SUNHQESI: set-up for NHQE SI scheme.
- GPHPRE: some reference vertical-dependent hydrostatic pressure quantities.
- SCORDO: reorders eigenvalues and eigenvectors in order to have ascending values of eigenvectors.
- SUBMAT, SUNHBMAT, SUNHQEBMAT: computes array SIB containing operator B respectively for 3D hydrostatic model, 3D NHEE model, 3D NHQE model.
- SUGEOMETRY: geometry set-up.
- SUSMAP: computes array SCGMAP containing coefficients for spectral multiplication by $M^{2}$.
- SUESMAP: computes array ESCGMAP containing coefficients for spectral multiplication by $M^{2}$ (LAM models, tilted-rotated Mercator projection).
- SUHEG (SUEHEG in LAM models): computes the LU factorisation of Helmholtz operator in case of semi-implicit scheme with unreduced divergence. Routine SUHEG (resp. SUEHEG) is called only if LSIDG=.T. (resp. LESIDG=.T.), for 3D hydrostatic model and 2D shallow-water model (and more generally when the elimination gives an Helmholtz equation with $D^{\prime}$ as unknown).
- SUNHHEG (resp. SUENHHEG in LAM models): the same as SUHEG (resp. SUEHEG in LAM models) but for the NHEE model.
- SUALDYNB (ARPEGE) or SUELDYNB (LAM models): allocation of some arrays used in the semiimplicit scheme.
* Control routines: CNT0, CNT1, CNT2, CNT3, CNT4, CNT3AD, CNT4AD, CNT3TL, CNT4TL, STEPO, STEPOAD, STEPOTL are control routines. STEPO (resp. STEPOAD, STEPOTL) manages one direct (resp. adjoint, tangent linear) integration timestep.


## * Routines under STEPO, STEPOAD or STEPOTL:

- SPCSI: semi-implicit scheme spectral computations in the hydrostatic model.
- SPNHSI: semi-implicit scheme spectral computations in the NHEE model.
- SPNHQESI: semi-implicit scheme spectral computations in the NHQE model.
- SPNHQESI_PRODSKAP: semi-implicit scheme spectral computations in the NHQE model: code requiring grid-point calculations.
- SPC2: spectral space computations, including the semi-implicit scheme and all horizontal diffusion schemes in the 2D model.
- SPCM: distributed memory interface for SPCSI, SPNHSI and SPNHQESI.
- SPC2M: distributed memory interface for SPC2.
- Their LAM models counterparts have names ESPC.. instead of SPC...
- These routines have adjoints (same names + "AD").
- SPCIMPFINIT, SPCIMPFPOST, SPCIMPFSOLVE: contain code for LIMPF=T case.
- SITNU: computes linear applications by operators $\tau$ and $\nu$.
- SIGAM: computes a linear application by operator $\gamma$.
- VERDISINT: interface for vertical integrations or vertical derivatives (vertical finite element scheme).
- VERINT: does vertical integrations (vertical finite element scheme).
- VERDER: does vertical derivations (vertical finite element scheme).
- SI_CCCOR: computes $C O R$ (NHEE model).
- SISEVE: computes a linear application by operator $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ or $\mathbf{L}^{* *}$ in the NHEE model.
- SINHQE_SEVE: computes a linear application by operator $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ or $\mathbf{L}^{* *}$ in the NHQE model.
- SINHQE_VDERI: computes a linear application by operator $\partial^{*}$ in the NHQE model.
- SIDD: performs the elimination of $T, \log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\hat{Q}$ in the linear system of NHEE equations (in order to compute the RHS of the Helmholtz equation).
- SISKAP: computes $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ in the NHQE model.
- SISKAPI: computes $\mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*-1}$ in the NHQE model.
- SILKAP: computes $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *}$ in the NHQE model.
- SILKAPI: computes $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}$ and product $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$ in the NHQE model.
- BALADSM: solve linear balance equation in spectral space to convert vorticity into geopotential (used for vorticity 2D equation only).
* Linear algebra routines (project XLA/ALGOR):
- EIGSOL: finds eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix.
- MINV_CALLER: inverts a matrix (calls MINV with an additional rescaling).
- MXTURE: inverts a set of tridiagonal (lower or upper) triangular matrices.
- MXTURS: combines two calls to MXTURE to invert a set of symmetric pentadiagonal matrices, the decomposition LU of which is known.
- MXPTMA: products of a set of pentadiagonal matrices by a set of matrices or vectors.
- MXMAOP: matrix by matrix product.
- SIMPLICO: solves a set of set of complex pentadiagonal systems (in practical called when LIMPF=T).
- SI_MXPTCO: complex multiplications by a penta-diagonal matrix (in practical called when LIMPF=T).
- SUHER: performs the LU factorisations of a set of non symmetric pentadiagonal matrices.
- SUHES: performs the LU factorisations of a set of symmetric pentadiagonal matrices.
* Distributed memory routines (for ex. transposition routines) doing
communications between processors: the list of transposition and communication routines used in horizontal diffusion scheme is the following: TRSTOM, TRMTOS, BRPTOB and PE2SET; see documentation (IDDM) about distributed memory features for the action of these routines.


## 9 Other remarks.

### 9.1 Adjoint and tangent linear codes.

These codes have been updated for semi-implicit computations in cycle 46, for 3D hydrostatic model and 2D model. Use of both options LSIDG=.F. and LSIDG=.T. is possible for adjoint and tangent linear codes of semi-implicit scheme in cycle 46 (except for non-hydrostatic model). Notice that tangent linear of SPCSI is SPCSI itself.

### 9.2 Place of calculation of linear terms in grid-point space.

In the 3D model, we find such calculations in CPEULDYN for the Eulerian advection and in LACDYN (callees LASSIE, LANHSI, LANHQESI) for the semi-Lagrangian advection. Grid-point coupling also needs to compute these terms to add them to couplers (routine ESEIMPLS called by ECOUPL1).
In the 2D model, we find such calculations in CPG2 for the Eulerian advection and in LACDYNSHW for the semi-Lagrangian advection.

Linear term calculations are done according to the following pattern:

- one call to SITNU and two calls to SIGAM for 3D hydrostatic model.
- one call to SIPTP, two calls to SIDD, one call to SISEVE for 3D NHEE model.
- one call to SITNU, two calls to SIGAM, one call to SISKAP for 3D NHQE model.
- if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=\mathrm{T}$, a conversion for the $T$-equation linear term.
- if LIMPF=T, add Coriolis term to wind-equation linear term.

Timestep of calculation (given for predictor step):

- Eulerian advection: linear terms for $X(t-\Delta t)-2 X(t)$.
- SL3TL advection: linear terms for $X(t)$ and $X(t-\Delta t)$.
- SL2TL advection: linear terms for $X(t)$.
- Coupler: linear terms for coupler instant.


### 9.3 Place of inversion of semi-implicit scheme in spectral space.

- The semi-implicit calculations are done after mass corrector computations.
- The semi-implicit calculations are done before spectral nudging (LAM models).
- The semi-implicit calculations are done before horizontal diffusion.
- The semi-implicit calculations are done before nudging (climate models).
- If an ICI scheme is activated ( $\mathbf{L P C} \_F U L L=\mathbf{T}$ ), spectral semi-implicit calculations should be done at all iterations.


### 9.4 Some distributed memory features in spectral calculations.

- The total number of processors involved in the A-level parallelisation is NPRTRW.
- The total number of processors involved in the B-level parallelisation is NPRTRN.
- The total number of processors is NPROC=NPRTRW $*$ NPRTRN.
- One processor treats only a subset of zonal wave numbers.
- If $\mathbf{L S I D G}=$.T. or $\mathbf{L I M P F}=$.T. spectral part of the semi-implicit scheme is done zonal wave number by zonal wave number. A call to SPCSI currently treats only one zonal wave number (case LLONEM=.T.).
- In the other cases a call to SPCSI can treat several wave numbers: currently all the zonal wave numbers treated by the current processor (case LLONEM=.F.).
- All the NFLEVG layers are treated together, there is no subdivision into packets of NFLEVL layers when the second level of parallelisation is activated contrary to the horizontal diffusion. That means that additional transpositions (TRSTOM in the direct code) are necessary between the semi-implicit calculations of SPCSI and the horizontal diffusion calculations of SPCHOR to convert the fields from the NFLEVG structure required in the semi-implicit calculations to the NFLEVL structure required in the horizontal diffusion calculations.
- In LAM models the way of distributing ESPCSI is the same one as in SPCSI.


## 10 Precomputed module and namelist quantities.

These modules are auto-documented so description of each variable is provided in the code source. We can recall here the most important variables to know for each module:

- Modules for geometry:
- SPGEOM_MOD (spectral geometry).
- YOMVERT: all variables.
- YOMARG (0-level control, former command line) and YOMCT0 (0-level control):
- NCONF, LELAM (in NAMARG).
- LR3D, LR2D, LRSHW, LRVEQ.
- LNHEE and LNHQE (in NAMCT0), LNHDYN.
- LRPLANE (in NAMCTO).
- YOMCVER (vertical finite element discretisation keys): most of variables. Some of these variables are in namelist NAMCVER.
- YOMDIM, YOMDIMV and YOMDIMF (dimensioning): most of variables. Some of these variables are in namelist NAMDIM.
- YOMDYNA (adiabatic dynamics: first part):
- LPC_FULL, LPC_CHEAP (predictor-corrector scheme).
- LNESC, LNESCT, LNESCV, LSETTLS, LSETTLST, LSETTLSV (extrapolation).
- LAPRXPK, NDLNPR, RHYDR0 (vertical discretisation).
- LSLINLC2, LSLINL.
- NPDVAR, NVDVAR, ND4SYS, LNHX, LNHXDER (NH models).
- LGWADV, NGWADVSI, LRDBBC (treatment of vertical divergence equation in NH model).

Some of these variables are in namelist NAMDYNA.

- YOMDYN (adiabatic dynamics: second part). The following variables are attributes of YRDYN.
- LSIDG, BETADT ( $\beta$ ), RBT, RBTS2, NITERHELM, LIMPF (semi-implicit scheme).
$-\operatorname{REFGEO}, \operatorname{SIPR}\left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}\right)$, SITR $\left(T^{*}\right)$, SITRA $\left(T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}\right)$, SITRUB, SIPRUB, SITIME, SIRPRG $\left(R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\right)$, SIRPRN (equal to 1): reference values used in the semi-implicit scheme.
- VESL, XIDT.
- NSITER, NCURRENT_ITER, LRHDI_LASTITERPC (predictor-corrector scheme).
$-\operatorname{SIDELP}\left(\Delta \Pi^{*}\right)$, $\operatorname{SIRDEL}\left(1 / \Delta \Pi^{*}\right), \operatorname{SILNPR}\left(\delta^{*}\right), \operatorname{SIALPH}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$.
- SITLAF and SITLAH (full-level and half-level reference hydrostatic pressure).
$-\operatorname{SIDPHI}\left(\Delta \Phi^{*}\right)$.
- SIB (matrix B in Helmholtz equation).
- SIVP (eigenvalues $a_{l}$ of B ), SIMI ( $\mathbf{Q}=$ eigenvectors of B ), SIMO $\left(Q^{-1}\right)$.
- SIFAC: matricial operator for NHEE model only, i.e. I $-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2}\left(1 / H^{2}\right) \mathbf{L}^{* *}$.
- SIFACI: inverse of SIFAC.
- SIHEG:
* Hydrostatic model, NHQE model or 2D shallow-water model: for $m>0$ contains the nonzero diagonals of LU decomposition of $\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)$; for $m=0$, contains the non-zero diagonals of $L$ of the LU decomposition of ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}$ ).
* NHEE 3D model: for $m>0$ contains the non-zero diagonals of LU decomposition of ( $\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}$ ); for $m=0$ contains the non-zero diagonals of L of the LU decomposition of ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}$ ).
- SIHEG2:
* Hydrostatic model, NHQE model or 2D shallow-water model: for $m=0$ contains the non-zero diagonals of $U$ of the LU decomposition of $\left(I-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right)$.
* NHEE 3D model: for $m=0$ contains the non-zero diagonals of U of the LU decomposition of ( I $-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}$ ).
- SIHEGB for NHEE 3D model: for $m>0$ contains the non-zero diagonals of LU decomposition of $\left(\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C M^{2}\right)$; for $m=0$ contains the non-zero diagonals of L of the LU decomposition of ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C M^{2}$ ).
- SIHEGB2 for NHEE 3D model: for $m=0$ contains the non-zero diagonals of U of the LU decomposition of ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C M^{2}$ )
- SL_ILAPKSSI for NHQE 3D model: contains $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1}$ and product $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{* *-1} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{*}$.

Some of these variables are in namelist NAMDYN.

- YOMRIP (date and timestep related variables). The following variables are attributes of YRRIP. Some of these variables are in namelist NAMRIP.
- TSTEP, TDT (timestep).
- YEMDYN (LAM model dynamics): LESIDG, RTHRESIDG. RTHRESIDG is in namelist NEMDYN.
- YOMMP0 and YOMMP (distributed memory environment, see documentation (IDDM) for more details).
- YOMSP and YOMSP5 (spectral arrays).
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