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## Abstract:

This documentation describes two types of schemes allowing to stabilize the numerical discretizations of the models: the semi-implicit scheme and the iterative centred-implicit scheme (sometimes called predictorcorrector scheme). An algorithmic description to different types of equations, and some technical information (organigramme) are provided.

Résumé:
Cette documentation décrit deux types de schémas permettant de stabiliser les discrétisations numériques des modèles: le schéma semi-implicite et le schéma ICI (centré implicite itératif) encore appelé prédicteur-correcteur. On fournit une application de ces algorithmes à différents jeux d'équations, ainsi que des informations techniques (organigramme).
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## 1 Introduction.

### 1.1 Interest of semi-implicit and iterative centred-implicit schemes.

For both hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic models it is necessary to treat implicitly the linear terms source of (fast moving) gravity waves to ensure a good stability; hence the resolution of equations involve the inversion of a linear system leading to a Helmholtz equation: inversion of such a system is more convenient to do in spectral space. For non-hydrostatic models the semi-implicit scheme is generally not sufficient (especially for a two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme) and some non-linear terms have also to be treated implicitly; for that one uses an iterative centred-implicit (abbreviated into "ICI") scheme. The iterative centred-implicit schemes are often called "predictor-corrector" schemes, but in a theoretical point of view one has normally to reserve this appellation for a subset of iterative centred-implicit schemes with only one iteration. The iterative centred-implicit scheme can have an incremental formulation or a non-incremental formulation. The one which is coded in ARPEGE/ALADIN (for both hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic models) and which will be retained and described is a non-incremental one. All the additional calculations generated by an iterative centred-implicit scheme are mainly done in the grid-point calculations and in the spectral transforms.

### 1.2 Distributed memory.

Some distributed memory features are now introduced in the code and will be briefly described. For convenience one uses some generic appellations.

- Expression "DM-local" for a quantity means "local to the couple of processors (proca,procb)": each processor has its own value for the quantity. Expression "DM-local computations" means that the computations are done independently in each processor on "DM-local" quantities, leading to results internal to each processor, which can be different from a processor to another one.
- Expression "DM-global" for a quantity means that it has a unique value available in all the processors. Expression "DM-global computations" means that the computations are either done in one processor, then the results are dispatched in all the processors, or the same computations are done in all the processors, leading to the same results in all the processors.
- In a routine description the mention "For distributed memory computations are DM-local" means that all calculations done by this routine are DM-local; the mention "For distributed memory computations are DM-global" means that all calculations done by this routine are DM-global; when no information is provided it means that a part of calculations are DM-local and the other part is DM-global.
- Expression "main" processor currently refers to the processor number 1: (proca,procb) $=(1,1)$.


### 1.3 The different models described.

- 2D shallow-water model.
- 3D primitive equations model (denoted as HYD).
- 3D NH model with $\hat{Q}$ and $d$ or $d_{4}$ NH prognostic variables (denoted as NH-PDVD).
- 3D NH model with $\Phi$ and $g w$ NH prognostic variables (denoted as NH-GEOGW).


### 1.4 Other restrictions of this documentation.

- In the non-hydrostatic model with $\hat{Q}$ and $d$ or $d_{4}$ NH prognostic variables, only the option with $\hat{Q}$ and $d$ as prognostic variables (options NPDVAR $=2$, NVDVAR=3) is currently described. The choice of $d_{4}$ as prognostic variable NVDVAR $=4$ does not change the expression of linear terms.
- Linear systems are written for thin layer equations: in practical, they don't change for deep layer equations because the radius $r$ is linearised around a reference value equal to $a$.
For the (Wood and Staniforth, 2003) NH deep-layer system, hydrostatic pressures $\left(\Pi, \Pi_{s}\right)$ must be replaced by mass-integrated coordinates $\left(\tilde{\Pi}, \tilde{\Pi}_{s}\right)$ in the linear system.


### 1.5 Modifications since cycle 41.

## 2 Notations.

- $M$ is the mapping factor. $\bar{M}$ is a reference mapping factor for semi-implicit computations. $\bar{M}=c$ (stretching factor) if semi-implicit scheme with reduced divergence (LSIDG=.F. in YOMDYN). $\bar{M}=M$ (mapping factor) if semi-implicit scheme with unreduced divergence (LSIDG=.T. in YOMDYN).
- $a$ is the Earth mean radius.
- $r$ is the radius. The reference value of $r$ for the linearisation is the mean radius $a$. In the thin layer equations, $r=a$ everywhere. In the $\mathbf{L V E R C O R}=\mathrm{T}$ (White and Bromley, 1995) deep-layer equations, $r$ is replaced by a pseudo-radius $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ depending only on the hydrostatic pressure. All the equations involving the radius will be written with the denotation $r$.
- $\mathbf{V}$ is the horizontal geographical wind. Its zonal component is $U$. Its meridian component is $V$.
- $D$ is the unreduced divergence of horizontal wind, $D^{\prime}$ is the reduced divergence. $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are linked by the relationship $D=(a / r) * M^{2} * D^{\prime}$.
- $\zeta$ is the unreduced vorticity of horizontal wind, $\zeta^{\prime}$ is the reduced vorticity. $\zeta$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ are linked by the relationship $\zeta=(a / r) * M^{2} * \zeta^{\prime}$.
- $w$ is the $z$-coordinate vertical velocity: $w=\frac{d z}{d t}$.
- $T$ is the temperature. $T^{*}$ is a vertically-constant reference temperature which is used in the semi-implicit scheme and in some non-hydrostatic equations. Default value is 300 K or 350 K according to configuration. If $\mathbf{L S P R T}=$.T. (use of virtual temperature in spectral transforms instead of real temperature), $T^{*}$ is used as a reference virtual temperature (same default value).
$T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}$ is a cold vertically-constant reference temperature which is used in the semi-implicit scheme in the NH vertical divergence equation; it is recommended to have $T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}$ lower than the current temperature.
- $q$ is the humidity.
- $\Pi$ is the hydrostatic pressure, $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the hydrostatic surface pressure. $\Pi^{*}$ is a reference hydrostatic pressure and $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}$ is a reference hydrostatic surface pressure, which are used in the semi-implicit scheme and in some non-hydrostatic equations. These reference quantities are vertically dependent and "horizontally" (i.e. on $\eta$ surfaces) constant. Default value of $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}$ is generally between 800 hPa and $1000 \mathrm{hPa} . \Delta \Pi^{*}$ are layer depths corresponding to a surface hydrostatic pressure equal to $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}$.
- $\Pi_{\text {sst }}$ is a reference hydrostatic pressure equal to the surface pressure of the standard atmosphere (variable VP00). Default value is 101325 Pa .
- $\omega=\frac{d \Pi}{d t}$ is the total temporal derivative of the hydrostatic pressure (vertical velocity in hydrostatic pressure coordinate).
- $p$ is the pressure, $p_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the surface pressure.
- $\hat{Q}$ is the pressure departure variable. Expression of $\hat{Q}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}=\log \frac{p}{\Pi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $g z$ is the geopotential height.
- $\Phi$ is the total geopotential (equivalent height in the shallow-water model), $\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the surface geopotential (i.e. the orography). In the thin layer equations, $\Phi=g z . \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is assumed to be always equal to $g z_{\mathrm{s}}$. $\Phi^{*}$ is a reference equivalent height which is only used in the shallow-water model (semi-implicit scheme). Default value of $\Phi^{*}$ is $100000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg} . \Delta \Phi^{*}$ is a reference geopotential depth computed on model levels.
- $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the Earth rotation angular velocity.
- $\mathbf{r}$ is the vector directed upwards, the length of which is the Earth radius $a$.
- $g$ is the gravity acceleration constant, assumed to be vertically constant in the current documentation. For the (Wood and Staniforth, 2003) deep-layer NH equations with vertical variations of $g$, only the reference value of $g$ (vertically constant) is taken into account in the semi-implicit scheme.
- $R$ is the gas constant for air and $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ the gas constant for dry air.
- $c_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the specific heat at constant pressure for air and $c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}}$ is the specific heat at constant pressure for dry air.
- $c_{\mathrm{v}}$ is the specific heat at constant volume for air and $c_{\mathrm{vd}}$ is the specific heat at constant volume for dry air.
- $\nabla$ is the unreduced first order horizontal gradient on $\eta$-surfaces. $\nabla^{\prime}$ is the reduced first order horizontal gradient. These two operators are linked by the relationship $\nabla=(a / r) * M * \nabla^{\prime}$.
- $D_{3}$ is the true 3D divergence. In the thin layer equations, expression of $D_{3}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{3}=\nabla \mathbf{V}+\frac{p}{\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \eta} R T} \nabla \Phi\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \eta}\right)-\frac{g p}{\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \eta} R T}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $d$ is the vertical divergence. In the thin layer equations, the relationship between $d$ and the height-coordinate vertical velocity $w$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=-\frac{g p}{\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \eta} R_{\mathrm{d}} T}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Variable $d_{4}=d+\frac{p}{\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \eta} R T} \nabla \Phi\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \eta}\right)$ can be also used as prognostic variable.
- $L$ : number of layers of the model.
- $A, B$ define hydrostatic pressure on the $\eta$ levels ( $\Pi=A+B \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$, where $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the hydrostatic surface pressure).
- $\beta$ coefficient for the semi-implicit scheme (between 0 and 1 ).
- $\gamma, \tau, \nu, \mu, \partial^{*}, \mathbf{L}^{*}, \mathbf{G}^{*}, \mathbf{S}^{*}, \mathbf{N}^{*}, \mathbf{Q}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ are generic notations for linear operators (see subsection 4.2).
- $H, C, N$ are intermediate constants used in the semi-implicit scheme of the non-hydrostatic model. Definitions are respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{g}  \tag{4}\\
C=\sqrt{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}}  \tag{5}\\
N=\frac{g}{\sqrt{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}} T^{*}}} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

- For a variable $X$ defined at full levels, $\langle X\rangle$ is the vector of coordinates $\left(X_{1} ; \ldots ; X_{l} ; \ldots ; X_{L}\right)$.
- $\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}$ is the vertical integration operator used in the case LVERTFE=.T. :
$-\int_{\eta=0}^{\eta=1} X d \eta$ is discretised by $\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(\text {top }, \text { surf })}\langle X\rangle$.
$-\int_{\eta=0}^{\eta=\eta_{l}} X d \eta$ is discretised by $\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(t o p, l)}\langle X\rangle$.
$-\int_{\eta=\eta_{l}}^{\eta=1} X d \eta$ is discretised by $\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(l, \text { surf })}\langle X\rangle$.
- $\mathcal{R}_{\text {deri }}$ is the vertical first-order derivative operator used in the case where VFE are also applied to derivatives.


## 3 General considerations.

### 3.1 Advection schemes.

* Explicit Eulerian equations: In Eulerian form of equations, the time dependency equation of a variable $X$ writes as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}=-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_{3} X+\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ is the 3D wind, $\nabla_{3}$ is the 3D gradient operator, $\mathcal{A}$ is the dynamical contribution, and $\mathcal{F}$ is the physical contribution. $X(t+\Delta t)$ is computed knowing $X(t-\Delta t)$ at the same grid point.

* Explicit semi-Lagrangian equations: In semi-Lagrangian form of equations, the time dependency equation of a variable $X$ writes as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X}{d t}=\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a three-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme (abbreviated into 3TL SL scheme) $X(t+\Delta t)$ is computed at a grid point $F$ knowing $X(t-\Delta t)$ at the point $O$ (not necessary a grid point) where the same particle is at $t-\Delta t$. In a two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme (abbreviated into 2TL SL scheme) $X(t+\Delta t)$ is computed at a grid point $F$ knowing $X(t)$ at the point $O$ (not necessary a grid point) where the same particle is at $t$.

### 3.2 Semi-implicit treatment of linear terms (case where there is no iterative centred-implicit scheme).

## * Adding of a semi-implicit correction: In all cases the linear terms source of gravity waves must

 be treated implicitly, in order to allow time-steps compatible with an operational use of the model. Expression of the linear terms is obtained assuming a definition of a reference state. The reference state is defined by a dry resting isotherm atmosphere in hydrostatic balance, reference orography is zero. Equations (7) and (8) become respectively (9) and (10):- Eulerian scheme:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}=-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_{3} X+\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F}+[\text { SIcorrection }] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Semi-Lagrangian scheme:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X}{d t}=\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F}+[\text { SIcorrection }] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Discretisation of equations (9) and (10): Equations (9) and (10) give the following discretized equations, where $\Delta t$ is the time step, $\mathcal{B}$ is the linear term source of gravity waves, $\beta$ is a tunable parameter ( $\beta=0$ corresponds to an explicit formulation, $\beta=1$ to an implicit formulation):
- Eulerian scheme:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\text { SIcorrection }]=-\beta \mathcal{B}^{t}+\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}+\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}}  \tag{11}\\
X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}=X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t\left(-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_{3} X+\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F}\right)-2 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t}+\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

all computations are done at the same grid point.

- Three-time level semi-Lagrangian (3TL SL) scheme (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\text { SIcorrection }]=-\beta \mathcal{B}^{t}+\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}+\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}}  \tag{13}\\
X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}=X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F})-2 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t}+\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}$ is computed at the final grid point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $X^{t-\Delta t}$ and $\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}$ are computed at the origin point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $-2 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t}$ is computed as an average between the origin and final points of the trajectory, $\mathcal{A}$ is computed either at the medium point or as an average between the origin and final points of the trajectory. If there is a uncentering factor $\epsilon$ replace $\Delta t$ by $(1-\epsilon) \Delta t$ for terms at the origin point, $\Delta t$ by $(1+\epsilon) \Delta t$ for terms at the final point. For more details see documentation (IDSL) about the semi-Lagrangian scheme.

- Two-time level semi-Lagrangian (2TL SL) scheme (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\text { SIcorrection }]=-\beta \mathcal{B}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}+\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{B}^{t}+\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}=X^{t}+\Delta t(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{F})-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}+0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}$ is computed at the final grid point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $X^{t}$ and $0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t}$ are computed at the origin point of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory, $-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are computed either at the medium point or as an average between the origin and final points of the trajectory. If there is a first-order uncentering factor $\epsilon$ replace $\Delta t$ by $(1-\epsilon) \Delta t$ for terms at the origin point, $\Delta t$ by $(1+\epsilon) \Delta t$ for terms at the final point. For more details see documentation (IDSL) about the semi-Lagrangian scheme.
$\mathcal{B}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}, \mathcal{B}^{t}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}$ are computed in grid point space. The right-hand side members of equations (12), (14) and (16) are computed in grid point space, then transformed into spectral space. Entering spectral space a system of equations of the following type must be solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{X}^{*} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a leap-frog scheme, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \beta \Delta t \mathcal{B}^{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{X}^{*} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme, where $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ is known and $X^{t+\Delta t}$ is unknown. Now the spectral computations to solve this system of equations are described for a primitive equations 3 D model, a 2 D shallow water model and several NH 3D models.

### 3.3 Iterative centred-implicit schemes and combination with semi-implicit schemes.

### 3.3.1 Purpose.

In some cases (especially in the non-hydrostatic models), the model with a semi-implicit treatment of linear terms may remain unstable, hence a treatment by an iterative centred-implicit scheme may be necessary. In the following description one sticks to non-incremental formulations.

### 3.3.2 Iterative centred-implicit scheme.

* Algorithm: The total number of iterations is denoted by $N_{\text {siter }}$.
- The iteration number $(i=0)$ computes an estimation $X_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}$ of $X^{t+\Delta t}$ with a normal semi-implicit scheme. Horizontal diffusion can be done optionally at this stage.
- Iterations $(i>0)$ : the $i$-th iteration $(i>0)$ computes $X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}$ (after inversion of Helmholtz equation) knowing $X_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}$. Horizontal diffusion is always done at the last iteration, it can be done optionally at the other iterations. The final value of $X^{t+\Delta t}$ is equal to $X_{\left(i=N_{\text {siter }}\right)}^{t+\Delta t}$.
- This scheme is controlled by the key LPC_FULL=.T. . and is coded in the Eulerian scheme and the two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme only. It is recommended for the non-hydrostatic model with a SL2TL scheme to ensure stability.
- For unlagged physics, the physics has to be computed for the iteration $(i=0)$ only. For lagged physics, iterations 0 to $N_{\text {siter }}-1$ are adiabatic ones, iteration $N_{\text {siter }}$ is diabatic one.


## * Discretisation of algorithm:

- First iteration $(i=0)$ : One has to start from the discretisations of equations for a model with no iterative centred-implicit formulation (see documentations (IDEUL) and (IDSL)). For a leap-frog scheme the calculations are the same ones. For a two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme, $(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B})_{(i=0)}^{t+0.5 \Delta t}$ is assumed to be equal to $(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B})^{t}$ if no extrapolation is done (case LNESC=.T.), and to $1.5(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B})^{t}-0.5(\mathcal{A}-\beta \Delta t \mathcal{B})^{t-\Delta t}$ if extrapolation is done (case LNESC=.F.). For a SL2TL case with no uncentering factor that yields the following discretisations (physics is assumed to be unlagged):
- no extrapolation:

$$
\left(X_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}\right)_{F}=\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t}\right]_{F}+\left[X^{t}+0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t}+0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i=0)}
$$

$(O(i=0)$ and $F$ are respectively the origin and final points of the semi-Lagrangian trajectory), which can be rewritten:

$$
\left(X_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i=0)}^{t+\Delta t}\right)_{F}=\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t}\right]_{F}+\left[X^{t}+0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i=0)}
$$

- extrapolation: discretisation is identical to the case with no iterative centred-implicit scheme and conventional extrapolation (type LSETTLS=.F.); the RHS terms other than $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{t}$ can be replaced by a "spatio-temporal" average; see documentation (IDSL).
- Following iterations $(i>0)$ : The general iteration writes (no uncentering, unlagged physics):
- Eulerian scheme ( $A D V$ stands for advection terms):

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t} \\
=X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t A D V^{t}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}\right]+\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}
\end{gathered}
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}=\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}+\left[X^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t A D V^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}\right]
$$

- Three-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}} \\
=X_{O(i)}^{t-\Delta t}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}\right]_{O(i)}+\left[\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}\right]_{O(i)}
\end{gathered}
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
\left[X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}=X_{O(i)}^{t-\Delta t}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-\Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[\Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t-\Delta t}+2 \Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t-\Delta t}\right]_{O(i)}
$$

The iterative centred-implicit algorithm also applies to re-compute the semi-Lagrangian trajectory (see documentation (IDSL) for more details), the position of the origin point at the $i$-th (resp $i-1$-th) iteration is $O(i)$ (resp. $O(i-1)$ ).

- Two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme (without uncentering factor):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\qquad X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F} \\
=X_{O(i)}^{t}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t}\right]_{O(i)}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i)} \\
\text { which can be rewritten: } \\
\quad\left[X_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}=X_{O(i)}^{t}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}-0.5 \Delta t \beta \mathcal{B}_{(i-1)}^{t+\Delta t}\right]_{F}+\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O(i)}
\end{gathered}
$$

The iterative centred-implicit algorithm also applies to re-compute the semi-Lagrangian trajectory (see documentation (IDSL) for more details), the position of the origin point at the $i$-th (resp $i-1$-th) iteration is $O(i)$ (resp. $O(i-1)$ ). Remark: when the iterative centred-implicit scheme is used, the stable extrapolation LSETTLS $=$.T. is never involved.

* Cheap version of this algorithm: In a semi-Lagrangian scheme, it is possible not to iterate the position of the origin point $O$ (i.e $O(i)=O(i=0)$ ): this cheap version is activated if LPC_CHEAP=.T. . It is coded only for a non-extrapolating SL2TL scheme. In this case the quantity to be interpolated (i.e. $\left[0.5 \Delta t \mathcal{A}^{t}+\Delta t \mathcal{F}^{t}\right]_{O}$ ) needs to be interpolated at the predictor step only. It is then stored in a buffer and reused at the corrector steps without any interpolation.


### 3.4 Introduction of uncentering for semi-Lagrangian schemes.

Averages along the semi-Lagrangian trajectory will be weighted by $(1-\epsilon)$ at the origin point and $(1+\epsilon)$ at the final point. If the uncentering coefficient $\epsilon$ is horizontally constant the algorithms remain valid, replacing $\beta$ by $(1+\epsilon) \beta$.

### 3.5 Limited area models (ALADIN, AROME).

Particular features for LAM models are not described in detail, only brief comments are mentioned. Concerning the semi-implicit and iterative centred-implicit algorithms one can consider that the major part of this documentation is still valid for LAM models; the main differences with ARPEGE/IFS are:

- The LAM model shallow-water model is not coded.
- Option LESIDG=.T. replaces LSIDG=.T., and is available only with the tilted-rotated Mercator projection. This option is useful only when the horizontal variations of the mapping factor are significant. See (IDESIDG) about its implementation.
- Option LIMPF=.T. is not coded.
- In the hydrostatic (resp. NH) model, spectral part of the semi-implicit scheme is performed in routine ESPCSI instead of SPCSI (resp. ESPNHSI instead of SPNHSI); the algorithm is the same as in global model but the truncation of the spectral representation is elliptic and not triangular.


### 3.6 Finite elements on the vertical.

The option with finite element vertical discretisations is coded for the hydrostatic model and partly for the NH models. For VFE, the main modifications in the semi-implicit scheme are the following ones:

- The discretisation of $\Pi, \alpha$ and $\delta$ at full levels is different.
- The model avoids as possible to compute quantities at half levels; all vertical integrals directly provide quantities at full levels.
- The vertical integrals contained in some linear operators ( $\gamma, \tau$ and $\nu$ ) are discretised differently, as a matricial multiplication with special coefficients (contained in the matrix $\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}$ ) computed in the setup code under SUVERTFE; the vertical integration is done by routine VERINT.
- In NH models there are also vertical derivatives with a specific treatment. The NH-GEOGW model is coded only with VFE (for integrals and derivatives) because this is the only way to keep all the prognostic variables at full levels. The spectral part of the semi-implicit scheme is not designed to mix half level and full level variables.
- In the NH-PDVD model, it is possible for example:
- to use VFE only in the explicit model, and to keep the finite differences vertical discretisation in the linear model (that allows to ensure constraints C 1 and C 2 , see below).
- to use VFE in both linear and non linear terms, at least for LGWADV=T.


## 4 Prognostic variables and quantities involved in the semiimplicit scheme.

### 4.1 Prognostic variables.

Prognostic variables can be split into different classes:

- 3D variables, the equation RHS of which has a non-zero adiabatic contribution and a non-zero semiimplicit correction contribution. They are called "GMV" in the code ("GMV" means "grid-point model variables"). This class of variables includes the components of the horizontal wind $\mathbf{V}$, temperature $T$, and the two additional non-hydrostatic variables in a non-hydrostatic model. Details about equations of the NH variables in the NH-PDVD model (choice of the two additional prognostic variables, discretisations, linearisation for semi-implicit scheme) can be found for example in (IDNHPB).
- 3D "conservative" variables. The equation RHS of these variables has a zero adiabatic contribution, only the diabatic contribution (and the horizontal diffusion contribution) can be non-zero. They are called "GFL" in the code ("GFL" means "grid-point fields"). This class of variables includes for example humidity $q$, liquid water, ice, cloud fraction, ozone, and some extra fields.
- 2D variables, the equation RHS of which mixes 3 D and 2 D terms, has a non-zero adiabatic contribution and a non-zero semi-implicit correction contribution. They are called "GMVS" in the code ("GMVS" means "grid-point model variables for surface"). This class of variables includes the logarithm of surface pressure (continuity equation).
Only the GMV and GMVS variables appear in the semi-implicit scheme. In the shallow-water 2D model, only GMV variables exist, this class of variables includes the components of the horizontal wind $\mathbf{V}$, and the equivalent height $\Phi-\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ (continuity equation).


### 4.2 Quantities used for vertical discretisations and linear operators.

The following quantities and operators may have different discretisations according to the fact that finite differences (FD) or finite elements (VFE) are used for vertical discretisations in the model. The following abbreviations will be used:

- FD0: finite differences (LVERTFE=.F.) using NDLNPR=0.
- FD1: finite differences (LVERTFE=.F.) using NDLNPR=1.
- FD: finite differences (LVERTFE=.F.), any value for NDLNPR.
- VFE: vertical finite elements (LVERTFE=.T.).


### 4.2.1 Operators "alpha" and "delta".

These operators are used for discretisations of some vertical integrals. They have a different expression according to the value of variables NDLNPR, LVERTFE.

- FD0:
- For a layer $l$ between 2 and $L$ (and also $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is not zero), $\alpha^{*}$ and $\delta^{*}$ are discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{l}^{*}=1-\frac{\Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}} \log \left(\frac{\Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}}{\Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*}}\right)  \tag{19}\\
\delta_{l}^{*}=\log \left(\frac{\Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}}{\Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*}}\right) \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

- For the layer $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is zero:
* $\alpha_{l=1}^{*}=1$ at METEO-FRANCE.
* $\alpha_{l=1}^{*}=\log (2)$ at ECMWF.
* $\delta_{l=1}^{*}$ has in theory an infinite value, but in the code it is computed with a top pressure equal to 0.1 Pa to provide a finite value.
- FD1:
- For a layer $l$ between 2 and $L$ (and also $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is not zero), $\alpha^{*}$ and $\delta^{*}$ are discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{l}^{*}=1-\sqrt{\frac{\Pi_{\bar{l}-1}^{*}}{\Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}}}=1-\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{l}^{*}=\frac{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}}=\frac{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}}{\sqrt{\Pi_{l-1}^{*} \Pi_{l}^{*}}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Pi^{*}$ is discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{*}{ }_{l}=\sqrt{\Pi^{*}{ }_{l} \Pi^{*}{ }_{l-1}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation $\alpha_{\bar{l}-1}^{*}$ is used for quantity $1-\frac{\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}}$ instead of notation $\beta_{l}^{*}$ of (Bubnová et al., 1995).

- For the layer $l=1$ if the pressure at the top of the model is zero:
* $\alpha_{l=1}^{*}=1$ and $\alpha_{\bar{l}=0}^{*}=1$.
* $\delta_{l=1}^{*}=1+c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R_{\mathrm{d}}$.
* $\Pi_{l=1}^{*}=\Delta \Pi_{l=1}^{*} / \delta_{l=1}^{*}$.
- VFE: for a layer $l$ between 1 and $L, \alpha^{*}$ and $\delta^{*}$ are discretised as follows at full levels:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{l}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{\bar{l}}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}}  \tag{24}\\
\delta_{l}^{*}=\frac{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Pi_{l}^{*}=A_{l}+B_{l} \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}$. See documentation (IDEUL) for computation of $A_{l}$ and $B_{l}$ in this case. Formulae (24) and (25) provide finite values of $\alpha_{1}^{*}$ and $\delta_{1}^{*}$ even if the pressure at the top of the model is zero. $\alpha_{l}^{*}$ is not used in the SI scheme in this case because vertical integrals are directly provided at full levels without the intermediate state of interlayer data.

### 4.2.2 Vertical integrals and linear products.

These operators are used in both hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic models.

* Linear operator " $\gamma$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart G*: this operator is applied to temperature and pressure departure variable to compute linear term in momentum equation.
- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)$ is a discretisation of vertical integral: $\int_{\eta}^{1} \frac{1}{\Pi^{*}} \frac{\partial \Pi^{*}}{\partial \eta} Z d \eta$
- $\gamma Z=R_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)$
- VFE expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(l, \text { surf })}\left\langle\frac{Z \delta^{*}}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

- FD expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{G}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\alpha_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\sum_{k=l+1}^{L} Z_{k} \delta_{k}^{*} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark: if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=$. T., $\mathbf{G}^{*}$ is applied to virtual temperature instead of real temperature.

* Linear operator " $\tau$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart $\mathbf{S}^{*}$ : this operator is applied to divergence to compute linear term in temperature equation.
- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)$ is a discretisation of vertical integral: $\frac{1}{\Pi^{*}} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\partial \Pi^{*}}{\partial \eta} Z d \eta$
- $\tau Z=\left[\left(R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\right) / c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right]\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=. \mathrm{F}$.
- $\tau Z=\left[\left(R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}\right) /\left(R c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=$.T. (equivalent to apply a linear operator in a system of equations using virtual temperature).
- VFE expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\frac{\delta_{l}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}}\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(t o p, l)}\left\langle\frac{\Delta \Pi^{*} Z}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark: according to the expression of $\delta_{l}^{*}$ in this case, this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\frac{1}{\Pi_{l}^{*}}\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(t o p, l)}\left\langle\frac{\Delta \Pi^{*} Z}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle
$$

- FD expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\left[\alpha_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\frac{\delta_{l}^{*}}{\Delta \Pi_{l}^{*}} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \Delta \Pi_{k}^{*} Z_{k}\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Linear operator " $\nu$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart $\mathbf{N}^{*}$ : this operator is applied to divergence to compute linear term in continuity equation.
- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{N}^{*} Z\right)$ is a discretisation of vertical integral: $\frac{1}{\Pi^{*}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \Pi^{*}}{\partial \eta} Z d \eta$
- $\nu Z=\mathbf{N}^{*} Z$ (because use of $\log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ ).
- VFE expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{N}^{*} Z\right)=\frac{1}{\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}}\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {inte }}\right]_{(\text {top }, \text { surf })}\left\langle\frac{\Delta \Pi^{*} Z}{\Delta \eta}\right\rangle \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

- FD expression of this discretisation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{N}^{*} Z\right)=\frac{1}{\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \Delta \Pi_{l}^{*} Z_{l} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Linear operator " $\mu$ ", and its dimensionless counterpart I: this operator is applied to $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ to compute linear term in momentum equation.
- I is the identity matrix: $\mathrm{I} Z=Z$.
- $(\mu Z)=R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} Z$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=. \mathrm{F}$.
- $(\mu Z)=\left(R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} / R\right) T^{*} Z$ if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=. \mathrm{T}$. (equivalent to apply a linear operator in a system of equations using virtual temperature).


## * Relationship between these vertical operators and definition of constraint C1:

Continuous equations ensure the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}^{*} \mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{G}^{*}+\mathbf{N}^{*}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the vertical discretisation used, this identity may or may not be matched by the discretised operators. Definition of "constraint C1" is: the discretised operators match equation (32).

- FD0 and VFE: constraint C1 is not ensured.
- FD1: constraint C 1 is ensured.

In the NH-PDVD model, constraint C1 SHOULD be ensured if one wants the complete elimination of variables in order to provide a "one variable" Helmholtz equation computing the vertical divergence. This is why the FD1 is preferred to FD0 when using FD discretisation. For VFE, Helmholtz equation treatment requires adaptations to take account of the fact that constraint C 1 is not ensured.

When constraint C 1 is not ensured, we introduce the dimensionless quantity $C O R$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C O R=\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}} \gamma \tau-\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \gamma-\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau+\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \nu=\frac{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}{c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}}}\left[\mathbf{G}^{*} \mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{S}^{*}-\mathbf{G}^{*}+\mathbf{N}^{*}\right] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2.3 Vertical derivatives and mixed operators (NH models only).

These operators are used in non-hydrostatic models only.

* Linear operator " $\partial^{*}$ ": this operator is the following first-order derivative: $\partial^{*} Z=\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \log \Pi^{*}}$.

This operator is currently only used in the VFE NH-GEOGW scheme, discretisation involves the operator $\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {deri }}\right]$. Actually $\partial^{*}$ and $\partial^{*}+1$ appear, and we cannot exclude a priori the possibility to use two slightly different first order derivative operators, in order to ensure good properties for the product $\partial^{*}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)$ (see the following paragraph).

* Linear operator " $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ ": this operator, called Laplacian operator, is applied to the pressure departure variable to compute linear term in the vertical divergence equation (NH-PDVD model). It also appears in the NH-GEOGW scheme.
- For a variable $Z,\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)$ is the vertical double derivative $\left[\Pi^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{*}}\left(\frac{\partial \Pi^{*} Z}{\partial \Pi^{*}}\right)\right]$; this is equivalent to write $\mathbf{L}^{*}=\partial^{*}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)$.
- FD expression of this discretisation is:
- Layers 2 to $L-1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\mathbf{A}_{l}^{*} Z_{l-1}+\mathbf{B}_{l}^{*} Z_{l}+\mathbf{C}_{l}^{*} Z_{l+1} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressions of $\mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{B}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{A}_{l}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}{\delta_{l}^{*}\left(\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}\right)}  \tag{35}\\
\mathbf{B}_{l}^{*}=-\frac{1}{\delta_{l}^{*}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}+\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}}\right)  \tag{36}\\
\mathbf{C}_{l}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}}{\delta_{l}^{*}\left(\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}\right)} \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that equation (34) can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{l}=\mathbf{A}_{l}^{*}\left(Z_{l-1}-Z_{l}\right)+\mathbf{C}_{l}^{*}\left(Z_{l+1}-Z_{l}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Layer 1: The quantity $Z$ to which is applied $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ is assumed to be zero at the top of the model, that means that the term $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}\left(Z_{0}-Z_{1}\right)$ has to be replaced by $-\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*} Z_{1}$. In practical, $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}$ has to be set to zero if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero, and the general formula valid for any non-zero top pressure is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{\text {top }}^{*}}{\delta_{1}^{*}\left(\Pi_{1}^{*}-\Pi_{\text {top }}^{*}\right)} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}$ matches the general expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{2}^{*}}{\delta_{1}^{*}\left(\Pi_{2}^{*}-\Pi_{1}^{*}\right)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}_{1}^{*}=-\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This upper condition is stable at least when the top hydrostatic pressure is zero. That leads to the following formula for $\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{1}=-\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*} Z_{1}+\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}\left(Z_{2}-Z_{1}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Layer $L$ : The quantity $Z$ to which is applied $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ is assumed to be constant below the full level $l=L$, that means that the term $\mathbf{C}_{L}^{*}\left(Z_{L+1}-Z_{L}\right)$ has to be replaced by 0 . In practical, $\mathbf{C}_{L}^{*}$ is set to zero. $\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}$ matches the general expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}=\frac{\Pi_{L-1}^{*}}{\delta_{L}^{*}\left(\Pi_{L}^{*}-\Pi_{L-1}^{*}\right)} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Application of formula (38) would lead to $\mathbf{B}_{L}^{*}=-\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}$ but we actually use the formula (34) with a slightly different expression for $\mathbf{B}_{L}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}_{L}^{*}=-\frac{\Pi_{L}^{*}}{\delta_{L}^{*}\left(\Pi_{L}^{*}-\Pi_{L-1}^{*}\right)} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

That leads to the following formula for $\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{L}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} Z\right)_{L}=\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}\left(Z_{L-1}-Z_{L}\right)-\mathbf{A}_{L}^{*}\left(\frac{\Pi_{L}^{*}}{\Pi_{L-1}^{*}}-1\right) Z_{L} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

- VFE expression of this discretisation in the NH-PDVD model: this topic is still in progress, at least two solutions have been implemented:
- one option uses a second-order derivative operator $\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {dderi }}\right]$ (case LVFE_LAPL_HALF $=\mathrm{F}$ ).
- one option mixes a first-order VFE derivative operator $\left[\mathcal{R}_{\text {deri }}\right]$ and a first-order FD derivative operator (case LVFE_LAPL_HALF=T).
- VFE expression of this discretisation in the NH-GEOGW model: it is currently computed as the product of two first-order derivatives $\left(\mathbf{L}^{*}=\partial^{*}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)\right)$.
- Remarks for NH-PDVD model:
- stability is ensured only if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero.
- FD1 expressions have been chosen in order to match "constraint C2" (definition of "constraint C2" will be given below, in paragraph explaining linear operator $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ ).


## * Linear operator "T*" for NH-PDVD model, and definition of "constraint C2":

General definition of $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ uses a combination of linear operators $\mathbf{L}^{*}, \tau$ and $\gamma$.
Definition of $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ writes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\frac{g^{2} \mathbf{L}^{*}\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau \gamma-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}} T^{*}} \tau-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}} \gamma\right)}{R_{\mathrm{d}} N^{2} C^{2}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using definitions for $C$ and $H$ (see equations (5) and (4)) this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}\left(T^{*} c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau \gamma-c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} C^{2} \tau-C^{2} T^{*} \gamma\right)}{H^{2} N^{2} C^{2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\mathbf{G}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{*}$ this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\frac{g^{2} \mathbf{L}^{*}\left(\mathbf{S}^{*} \mathbf{G}^{*}-\left(c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / c_{\mathrm{vd}}\right) \mathbf{S}^{*}-\left(c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} / c_{\mathrm{vd}}\right) \mathbf{G}^{*}\right)}{N^{2} C^{2}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now introduce definition of "constraint C2":

- Strong constraint $\mathrm{C} 2: \mathrm{T}^{*}$ is the identity matrix.
- Weak constraint C2: $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ matches (49):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=\left(I+\mathbf{L}^{*} \mathbf{Q}^{*}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and does not depart too much from identity matrix. $\mathbf{Q}^{*}$ is a diagonal matrix equal to $\delta^{*}-2 \alpha^{*}$.
Continuous equations ensure "strong" constraint C2. In some cases this is possible to match "weak" constraint C 2 in discretised equations, and from now "constraint C2" will mean "weak constraint C2".
According to the vertical discretisation used, "constraint C2" may or may not be matched by the discretised operators.

- FD0 and VFE: constraint C2 is not ensured.
- FD1: constraint C 2 is ensured.
- We can notice that either C1 and C2 are both ensured, or none of C1 and C2 are ensured.
- Elimination between equations to obtain Helmholtz equation does not assume that constraint C2 is ensured (use of formula (46)).
- Discretisations of $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ matching constraint C 2 or close to constraint C 2 are preferred, in order to ensure numerical stability.

Discretisation:

- FD1: constraint C2 is ensured, and formula (49) is used. In this case, $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ is a tri-diagonal operator, like $\mathbf{L}^{*}$. Expression of the elements of the associated matrix is:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}{ }_{(l, l)}=1-\frac{1}{\delta_{l}^{*}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}+\frac{\Pi_{l}^{*}}{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}}\right)\left(\delta_{l}^{*}-2 \alpha_{l}^{*}\right)  \tag{50}\\
\mathbf{T}^{*}{ }_{(1,1)}=1  \tag{51}\\
\mathbf{T}_{(l, l-1)}=  \tag{52}\\
\mathbf{T}^{*}{ }_{(l, l+1)}=\frac{1}{\delta_{l}^{*}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}{\Pi_{l}^{*}-\Pi_{l-1}^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}}{\Pi_{l+1}^{*}-\Pi_{l}^{*}}\right)\left(\delta_{l-1}^{*}-2 \alpha_{l-1}^{*}\right)  \tag{53}\\
\left.{ }_{l+1}^{*}-2 \alpha_{l+1}^{*}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

with some particular expressions at the top and the bottom.
Discretisation of diagonal matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{*}$ is: $\mathbf{Q}^{*}{ }_{(l, l)}=\left(\delta_{l}^{*}-2 \alpha_{l}^{*}\right)$, and if the top hydrostatic pressure is zero, $\mathbf{Q}^{*}{ }_{(1,1)}=0$.

- FD0 and VFE: constraint C2 is not ensured. Formula (46) is used (use VFE or FD0 discretisations of $\gamma$, $\left.\tau, \mathbf{L}^{*}\right)$.


## 5 Semi-implicit scheme, no Coriolis term in the semi-implicit scheme.

Equations are written for a leap-frog scheme (Eulerian scheme of three-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme). For a two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme replace $\Delta t$ by $0.5 \Delta t$.

### 5.1 3D hydrostatic primitive equations model.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ for GMV and GMVS variables:
- Continuity equation $\left(X=\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Temperature equation $(X=T)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved: Equations are written for $\log \left(\Pi_{s}\right)$ as a prognostic variable for continuity equation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \bar{M}^{2} \nu D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{*}  \tag{58}\\
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}  \tag{59}\\
T_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \bar{M}^{2} \tau D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}^{*} \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}, \mathcal{T}^{*}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (17) and are available in spectral arrays ( $\mathbf{S P A} \mathbf{3 \%} \mathbf{S P}$, SPA3\%DIV, SPA3\%T) at the beginning of the spectral computations. Equations (58) to (60) yield (61) (Helmholtz equation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}^{*}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}=\gamma \tau+\mu \nu$ is a matricial operator $L * L$ (precomputed in routines SUDYN, SUBMAT and stored in the array SIB).
When $\bar{M}=M$ (cases LSIDG=.T. or $\mathbf{L E S I D G}=. T$.) it is more convenient to rewrite equation (61) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { В } M^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\nabla^{\prime-2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime}-\beta \Delta t\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}^{*}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows a symmetric matricial operator in the left hand side.

* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (58) to (60). Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m\left(|m|\right.$ varies between 0 and the truncation $\left.N_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and performed in the routine SPCSI before all horizontal diffusion schemes. For a given zonal wave number $m$ :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (61) is computed for all total wave numbers $n$ between $m$ and $N_{\mathrm{s}}$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case "reduced divergence" (case LSIDG=.F. and LESIDG=.F.) and method via a diagonalisation in the eigenmodes space.
- First the diagonalisation of B is used: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Q}$, where A is a diagonal $L * L$ matrix, the diagonal coefficients $a_{l}$ of which are stored in the array SIVP. Q is a $L * L$ matrix stored in the array SIMI, $\mathbb{Q}^{-1}$ is stored in the array SIMO. Note that the vertical operators $\nu, \mu, \tau, \gamma, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}$ commute with the horizontal operator $\nabla^{\prime 2}$.
- Helmholtz equation (61) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right) \mathbf{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\prime} *-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}^{*}\right)\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(I-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right)$ is a diagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$ : spectral coefficients of the right-hand side member of (63) are simply divided by the diagonal coefficients of this matrix. The result is then multiplied by Q.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case "unreduced divergence" (case LSIDG=.T. or LESIDG=.T.): in this case $\bar{M}=M$. Inversion of Helmholtz equation is more complicated than in the case of semi-implicit scheme with reduced divergence because the left-hand side member of Helmholtz equation contains values of the divergence for all levels and five total wave numbers $(n-2$ to $n+2)$. Of course $M^{2}$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix, for a given zonal wave number $m$. Pay attention to the fact that $M^{2}$ does not commute with the diagonal operator $\nabla^{\prime 2}$.
- First the diagonalisation of B is used: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Q}$, where A is a diagonal $L * L$ matrix, the diagonal coefficients $a_{l}$ of which are stored in the array SIVP. Q is a $L * L$ matrix stored in the array SIMI, $\mathbb{Q}^{-1}$ is stored in the array SIMO. Note that the vertical operators $\nu, \mu, \tau, \gamma, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}$ commute with the horizontal operators $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ and $M^{2}$.
- Helmholtz equation (61) (resp. (62)) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right) \mathbf{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}^{*}\right)\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

resp.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Q}\left(\nabla^{\prime-2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}^{*}\right)\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$. The factorisation LU of this matrix is computed, where L is a lower triangular tridiagonal matrix, U is a upper triangular tridiagonal matrix with coefficients equal to 1 on the main diagonal. All useful coefficients of L, U are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the array SIHEG.
- The right-hand side member of (65) is computed, then multiplied by the inverse of the symmetric pentadiagonal operator ( $\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} M^{2} a_{l}$ ) (resolution of two tridiagonal triangular systems by routine MXTURS). That yields $\mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$. Multiplying by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ one obtains $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$.
- For the zonal wave number $m=0$ equation (64) is used rather than (65) because, for the total wave number $n=0, \nabla^{\prime 2}$ is equivalent to a multiplication by 0 and $\nabla^{\prime-2}$ is equivalent to a division by 0 . The only difference is that the pentadiagonal but non-symmetric operator ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}$ ) is factorised and inverted. All useful coefficients of $L, U$ are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the arrays SIHEG and SIHEG2.
- Once known $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ equation (58) provides $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$ and equation (60) provides $T_{t+\Delta t}$. For the case LSIDG=.T. only (resp. LESIDG=.T. in LAM models), spectral multiplications by $M^{2}$ are performed by the product of a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix of dimensions $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$ (useful coefficients computed in routine SUSMAP (resp. SUESMAP in LAM models) and stored in the array SCGMAP (resp. ESCGMAP in LAM models)) by a vector containing spectral coefficients ( $m, n$ ) for $n$ varying from $|m|$ to $N_{\mathrm{s}}$.
- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.


### 5.2 3D NH-PDVD model.

The code described is valid for options NPDVAR=2 and NVDVAR=3. For NVDVAR=4 the linear terms are the same as for NVDVAR $=3$.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ for GMV and GMVS variables:
- Continuity equation $\left(X=\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma T-T^{*}(\gamma \hat{Q})+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}\right] \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Temperature equation $(X=T)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left[\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}+d\right] \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Pressure departure variable equation $(X=\hat{Q})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\left[\frac{c_{\mathrm{pd}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}+d\right)-\frac{c_{\mathrm{Pd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vertical divergence equation $(X=d)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} \hat{Q}\right) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved: Equations are written for $\log \left(\Pi_{s}\right)$ as a prognostic variable for continuity equation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{*}  \tag{72}\\
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}-T^{*}\left(\gamma \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}  \tag{73}\\
\hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t\left[\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+d_{t+\Delta t}\right)-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}  \tag{74}\\
d_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left(\mathbf{L}^{*} \hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}  \tag{75}\\
T_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left[\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+d_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathcal{T}^{*} \tag{76}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}, \mathcal{T}^{*}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (17) and are available in spectral arrays $(\mathbf{S P A} \mathbf{3} \% \mathbf{S P}$, SPA3\%DIV, SPA3\%SPD, SPA3\%SVD, SPA3\%T) at the beginning of spectral computations.

* Elimination of variables: The following calculations are valid for both $\bar{M}$ horizontally constant and $\bar{M}$ horizontally variable. All the constants and the vertical operators commute with $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ and $\bar{M}$.
- Elimination of $T, \hat{Q}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ between equations (72), (73), (74) and (76) leads to equation (77):

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\left\{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right)\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{c_{\mathrm{Vd}}}\right)+\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}} \gamma+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right\} \bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right. \\
&\left.+\left\{-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \frac{c_{\mathrm{pd}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right)+\frac{R_{\mathrm{R}^{2}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}} \gamma\right\} d_{t+\Delta t}\right] \\
&=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right) \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}-\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \mathcal{P}^{*}\right] \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}$ is defined by equation (78):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\frac{\gamma}{R_{\mathrm{d}}}-1\right) \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}-\gamma \mathcal{T}^{*}-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \mathcal{P}^{*}\right] \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the relationship $c_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}-c_{\mathrm{vd}}=R_{\mathrm{d}}$, the definition of $C$ and we isolate the term $C O R$ (see equation (33)): this equation can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(C^{2}-T^{*} \gamma\right)\right] d_{t+\Delta t}+\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(C^{2}(1+C O R)\right) \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quantity $C O R$ is zero when the constraint "C1" is fulfilled.This is the case for the finite-difference vertical discretisation with NDLNPR=1. In the other cases, $C O R$ is generally non-zero but weak $(C O R \ll 1)$.

- Elimination of $\hat{Q}$ between equations (74) and (75) leads to equation (80):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{t+\Delta t}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left[\frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{Pd}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\frac{C^{2}}{H^{2}} \mathbf{L}^{*} d_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}=\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{*}+\beta \Delta t\left[-\frac{g}{H} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \mathbf{L}^{*} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}\right] \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

- When $C O R=0$, elimination of $D^{\prime}$ between equations (79) and (80) leads to Helmholtz equation (84):

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2}\left(\bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}+\frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)-\beta^{4} \Delta t^{4} N^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime} \frac{2}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathbf{T}^{*}\right] d_{t+\Delta t}} \\
& =\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{T_{a}^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{P}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)-\left(\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2}+\beta^{4} \Delta t^{4} N^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathbf{T}^{*}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] d_{t+\Delta t}} \\
& \quad=\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{T_{a}^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathrm{~L}^{*}\left(-c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

or:

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathbf{L}^{*} H^{2}\right.\right.}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{I}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} N^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathbf{T}^{*}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] d_{t+\Delta t}\right] .
$$

- In the LHS of equation (84), we denote by B the following matrix, by analogy with the hydrostatic model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=C^{2}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{I}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} N^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathbf{T}^{*}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LHS of equation (84) can be rewritten:

$$
\left[\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text { В } \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right]
$$

If we compare with the LHS of the Helmholtz equation in the hydrostatic case we can notice three things:

- The order of $\bar{M}^{2}$ and $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ is inverted (these two operator do not commute if $\bar{M}$ is not constant).
- B now depends on $\Delta t$, it must be recomputed each time the timestep is changed.
- B is now a tridiagonal matrix (at least in the LVERTFE=.F. discretisation).
- When $\bar{M}=M$ (case LSIDG=.T. or LESIDG=.T.) it is more convenient to rewrite equation (84) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{I}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} N^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathbf{T}^{*}\right) \bar{M}^{2}\right]\left[\nabla^{\prime 2} d_{t+\Delta t}\right] } \\
= & \left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{Pd}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}\right] \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

which shows a symmetric matricial operator in the left hand side.

* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (72) to (76). The algorithm has some similarities with what is done in the hydrostatic model. Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m\left(|m|\right.$ varies between 0 and the truncation $\left.N_{s}\right)$ and performed in the routine SPNHSI before all horizontal diffusion schemes. For a given zonal wave number $m$ :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (84) is computed for all total wave numbers $n$ between $m$ and $N_{\mathrm{s}}$. We can do some remarks:
- During the elimination of variables between the equations (72) to (76) we have to perform matricial multiplications by ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}$ ) and $\bar{M}^{2}$. When $\bar{M}$ is horizontally constant (case $\mathbf{L S I D G}=. \mathrm{F} ., \mathbf{L E S I D G}=. \mathrm{F}$. ) these operators are purely diagonal; when $\bar{M}=M$ these operators are pentadiagonal and matricial products require additional calls to routine MXPTMA.
- The matricial operator

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)
$$

which appears in the RHS of (84) and also in B is pre-computed in routine SUNHSI and is stored in the array SIFAC. Its inverse is stored in the array SIFACI.

- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case "constant $\bar{M}$ " (case LSIDG=.F., LESIDG=.F.) and method via a diagonalisation in the eigenmodes space.
- First the diagonalisation of B is used: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Q}$, where A is a diagonal $L * L$ matrix, the diagonal coefficients $a_{l}$ of which are stored in the array SIVP. $\mathbf{Q}$ is a $L * L$ matrix stored in the array SIMI, $\mathbf{Q}^{-1}$ is stored in the array SIMO. Note that the vertical operators $\nu, \mu, \tau, \gamma, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{L}^{*}, \mathbf{T}^{*}$ commute with the horizontal operator $\nabla^{\prime 2}$.
- Helmholtz equation (61) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \mathrm{Q} d_{t+\Delta t} \\
=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \mathrm{~L}^{*} \mathrm{H}^{2}\right.
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \mathrm{~L}^{*}\left(-c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}\right] 87\right)
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m:\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right)$ is a diagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$ : spectral coefficients of the right-hand side member of (87) are simply divided by the diagonal coefficients of this matrix. The result is then multiplied by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case " $\bar{M}=M$ " (case LSIDG=.T. or LESIDG=.T.): Inversion of Helmholtz equation is more complicated than in the case of semi-implicit scheme with constant $\bar{M}$ because the left-hand side member of Helmholtz equation contains values of $d$ for all levels and five total wave numbers $(n-2$ to $n+2)$. Of course $M^{2}$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix, for a given zonal wave number $m$. Pay attention to the fact that $M^{2}$ does not commute with the diagonal operator $\nabla^{\prime 2}$.
- First the diagonalisation of B is used: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Q}$, where A is a diagonal $L * L$ matrix, the diagonal coefficients $a_{l}$ of which are stored in the array SIVP. Q is a $L * L$ matrix stored in the array $\mathbf{S I M I}, \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$ is stored in the array SIMO. Note that the vertical operators $\nu, \mu, \tau, \gamma, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{L}^{*}, \mathbf{T}^{*}$ commute with the horizontal operators $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ and $M^{2}$.
- Helmholtz equation (84) (resp. (86)) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \mathrm{Q} d_{t+\Delta t}=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T_{a}^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \mathrm{~L}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1} \\
{\left[\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{T_{a}^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{Pd}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}\right]} \tag{88}
\end{gather*}
$$

resp.:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \bar{M}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q}\left[\nabla^{\prime 2} d_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T_{a}^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)^{-1} \\
{\left[\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{* *}+\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\left(-c_{\mathrm{Pd}} \tau+C^{2}\right) \bar{M}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}\right]} \tag{89}
\end{gather*}
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$. The factorisation LU of this matrix is computed, where L is a lower triangular tridiagonal matrix, U is a upper triangular tridiagonal matrix with coefficients equal to 1 on the main diagonal. All the useful coefficients of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{U}$ are computed in the set-up routine SUNHHEG and stored in the array SIHEG.
- The right-hand side member of (89) is computed, then multiplied by the inverse of the symmetric pentadiagonal operator ( $\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}$ ) (resolution of two tridiagonal triangular systems by routine MXTURS). That yields $\mathbf{Q}\left[\nabla^{\prime 2} d_{t+\Delta t}\right]$. Multiplying by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ then $\nabla^{\prime-2}$ one obtains $d_{t+\Delta t}$.
- For the zonal wave number $m=0$ equation (88) is used rather than (89) because, for the total wave number $n=0, \nabla^{\prime 2}$ is equivalent to a multiplication by 0 and $\nabla^{\prime-2}$ is equivalent to a division by 0 . The only difference is that the pentadiagonal but non-symmetric operator ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}$ ) is factorised and inverted. All useful coefficients of $L, U$ are computed in the set-up routine SUNHHEG and stored in the arrays SIHEG and SIHEG2.
- Once known $d_{t+\Delta t}$ equation (79), which can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]^{-1}\left[\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(-T^{*} \gamma+C^{2}\right) d_{t+\Delta t}\right] \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$. Note that when $\bar{M}$ is constant, $\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]$ is a purely diagonal operator, but when $\bar{M}=M\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]$ is a pentadiagonal operator which has to be inverted by a LU method (all useful coefficients of L, U are computed in the set-up routine SUNHHEG and are stored in SIHEGB and SIHEGB2) and that introduces additional calls to routines MXTURS or MXTURE. For $m>0$ we prefer to invert the symmetric operator $\left[\nabla^{\prime-2}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} C^{2} \bar{M}^{2}\right]$.

- After calculation of $\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ (which requires an additional call to routine MXPTMA if $\bar{M}=M$ ), equation (76) yields $T_{t+\Delta t}$, equation (74) yields $\hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}$, and equation (72) yields $\log \Pi_{s t+\Delta t}$. For the case LSIDG=.T. only (resp. LESIDG=.T. in LAM models), spectral multiplications by $M^{2}$ are performed by the product of a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix of dimensions $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$ (useful coefficients computed in routine SUSMAP (resp. SUESMAP in LAM models) and stored in the array SCGMAP (resp. ESCGMAP in LAM models)) by a vector containing spectral coefficients ( $m, n$ ) for $n$ varying from $|m|$ to $N_{\mathrm{s}}$.
- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.
* Case where the constraint C1 is not matched (non zero $C O R$ ): An iterative algorithm has been implemented, which can briefly described as follows:
- The total number of iterations is NITERHELM.
- For the predictor step, we replace $C O R$ by 0 and we do the eliminations and the inversion of the Helmholtz equation like previously described.
- For the corrector step, the term containing $C O R$ is put in the RHS, it is multiplied by $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ at the previous iteration. The LHS is unchanged, so the elimination and the Helmholtz solving can be done like in the predictor step. We rather take as unknowns the increments between the current iteration and the predictor step, that allows to simplify the calculation of the RHS for the corrector step (some terms become $0)$.
Some preliminary tests done with this iterative algorithm unfortunately show that it does not converge ( $C O R$ is too big, especially at the top of the model, and ill-conditioned).


### 5.3 3D NH-GEOGW model.

The code described is valid for NH prognostic variables $\Phi$ and $g w$ but linear terms do not change if taking $\Phi-B \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ or $g w-B g w_{\mathrm{s}}$.

## * Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ for GMV and GMVS variables:

- Continuity equation $\left(X=\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) \Phi\right] \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=0 \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Temperature equation $(X=T)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left[\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}-\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \partial^{*}(g w)\right] \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Geopotential equation $(X=\Phi)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\left[-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\nu-\frac{c_{\mathrm{P}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\right)\left(\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}\right)-(g w)\right] \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vertical velocity equation $(X=g w)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left[-R_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) T-\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)\left(\partial^{*} \Phi\right)\right] \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved: Equations are written for $\log \left(\Pi_{s}\right)$ as a prognostic variable for continuity equation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nu\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{*}  \tag{97}\\
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{t+\Delta t}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}+\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) \Phi_{t+\Delta t}\right]=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}  \tag{98}\\
T_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}\left[\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \partial^{*}\left(g w_{t+\Delta t}\right)\right]=\mathcal{T}^{*}  \tag{99}\\
\Phi_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t\left[-\left(g w_{t+\Delta t}\right)-R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\nu-\frac{c_{\mathrm{p}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\right)\left(\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\oplus^{*}  \tag{100}\\
g w_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left[-R_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) T_{t+\Delta t}-\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)\left(\partial^{*} \Phi_{t+\Delta t}\right)\right]=g \mathcal{W}^{*} \tag{101}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{*}, \mathcal{T}^{*}, \oplus^{*}, g \mathcal{W}^{*}$, correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (17) and are available in spectral arrays ( $\mathbf{S P A} \mathbf{3 \%} \mathbf{S P}$, SPA3\%DIV, SPA3\%T, SPA3\%SPD, SPA3\%SVD) at the beginning of spectral computations.
We can notice that this linear system contains vertical integrals and vertical derivatives; equation (101) uses something looking like the Laplacian operator $\mathbf{L}^{*}$.

* Elimination of variables: The following calculations are valid for both $\bar{M}$ horizontally constant and $\bar{M}$ horizontally variable. All the constants and the vertical operators commute with $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ and $\bar{M}$.
We introduce the following denotations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \mathcal{P}^{*}+R_{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{T}^{*}+\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) \oplus^{*}\right]  \tag{102}\\
\mathcal{W}^{* *}=\mathcal{W}^{*}+\beta \Delta t \frac{g}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) \mathcal{T}^{*}+\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)\left(\partial^{*} \oplus^{*}\right)\right]  \tag{103}\\
\mathrm{B}_{1}=-\left[\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}}+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \nu\right]+\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)\left(\nu-\frac{c_{\mathrm{Pd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\right)\right]  \tag{104}\\
\mathrm{B}_{2}=\frac{R_{\mathrm{d}}}{c_{\mathrm{Vd}}} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} \partial^{*}+\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)  \tag{105}\\
\mathrm{B}_{3}=g^{2} \frac{R_{\mathrm{d}}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}}} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)-g^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)\left(\partial^{*}\left(\nu-\frac{c_{\mathrm{Pd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\right)\right)  \tag{106}\\
\mathrm{B}_{4}=-\frac{g^{2} T^{*}}{c_{\mathrm{vd}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{* 2}}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) \partial^{*}-\frac{g^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}}\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) \partial^{*} \tag{107}
\end{gather*}
$$

- Elimination of $T, \Phi$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ between equations (97), (99), (100), and (98) leads to equation (108):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] g w_{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Elimination of $T$ and $\Phi$ between equations (99), (100) and (101) leads to equation (109):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right] g w_{t+\Delta t}=g \mathcal{W}^{* *} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elimination which requires the least constraints is the one providing an Helmholtz equation with the unknown $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$. In this case the commutativity between $\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)$ and $\partial^{*}$ is a sufficient condition: it is ensured if we take exactly the same operator $\partial^{*}$ everywhere. Elimination of $g w_{t+\Delta t}$ leads to Helmholtz equation (110):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \text { В } \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}-\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] g \mathcal{W}^{* *} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left[-\mathrm{B}_{1}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right)\right] \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\bar{M}=M$ (case LSIDG=.T. or LESIDG=.T.) it is more convenient to rewrite equation (110) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{\prime-2}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \text { в } \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\nabla^{\prime-2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}-\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right] g \mathcal{W}^{* *} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows a symmetric matricial operator in the left hand side.
We can do some remarks:

- The product of $\bar{M}^{2}$ and $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ appears as $\nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}$, like in the hydrostatic model: this is because the elimination has been in order to have $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ as unknown.
- Like in the NH-PDVD model, B depends on $\Delta t$, it must be recomputed each time the timestep is changed.
- When $\Delta t$ becomes $0, \mathrm{~B}$ converges towards $-\mathrm{B}_{1}$.
- B contains vertical integrals, the Laplacian operator and first-order derivatives, and the inverse of a matrix containing the Laplacian term: in practical the positive definiteness of $B$ is not easy to match (this is true in continuous equations but this property is lost in the discretised equations as they are discretised in cycle 42 , for non-evanescent timesteps), and we have also some difficulties to specify the upper and lower boundary conditions for vertical derivatives.
* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (97) to (101). The algorithm has some similarities with what is done in the hydrostatic model and the NH-PDVD model. Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m\left(|m|\right.$ varies between 0 and the truncation $\left.N_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and performed in the routine SPNHSI_GEOGW before all horizontal diffusion schemes. For a given zonal wave number $m$ :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (110) is computed for all total wave numbers $n$ between $m$ and $N_{\mathrm{s}}$. We can do some remarks:
- The matricial operator $\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]$ which appears in the RHS of (110) and also in B is precomputed in routine SUNHSI and is stored in the array SIFAC. Its inverse is stored in the array SIFACI.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case "reduced divergence" (case LSIDG=.F. and LESIDG=.F.) and method via a diagonalisation in the eigenmodes space.
- First the diagonalisation of B is used: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Q}$, where A is a diagonal $L * L$ matrix, the diagonal coefficients $a_{l}$ of which are stored in the array SIVP. Q is a $L * L$ matrix stored in the array SIMI, $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ is stored in the array SIMO. Note that the vertical operators $\nu, \tau, \gamma, \partial^{*}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{Q}$ commute with the horizontal operator $\nabla^{\prime 2}$.
- Helmholtz equation (110) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}-\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] g \mathcal{W}^{* *}\right) \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right)$ is a diagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$ : spectral coefficients of the right-hand side member of (113) are simply divided by the diagonal coefficients of this matrix. The result is then multiplied by Q.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case "unreduced divergence" (case LSIDG=.T. or LESIDG=.T.): in this case $\bar{M}=M$. Inversion of Helmholtz equation is more complicated than in the case of semi-implicit scheme with reduced divergence because the left-hand side member of Helmholtz equation contains values of the divergence for all levels and five total wave numbers ( $n-2$ to $n+2$ ). Of course $M^{2}$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix, for a given zonal wave number $m$. Pay attention to the fact that $M^{2}$ does not commute with the diagonal operator $\nabla^{\prime 2}$.
- First the diagonalisation of B is used: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Q}$, where A is a diagonal $L * L$ matrix, the diagonal coefficients $a_{l}$ of which are stored in the array SIVP. Q is a $L * L$ matrix stored in the array SIMI, $\mathbb{Q}^{-1}$ is stored in the array SIMO. Note that the vertical operators $\nu, \tau, \gamma, \partial^{*}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{Q}$ commute with the horizontal operators $\nabla^{\prime 2}$ and $M^{2}$.
- Helmholtz equation (110) (resp. (112)) becomes, for each eigenmode $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right) \mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}-\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] g \mathcal{W}^{* *}\right) \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

resp.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right) \mathbf{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathbf{Q}\left(\nabla^{\prime-2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime * *}-\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right] g \mathcal{W}^{* *}\right) \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For each eigenmode $l$ and each zonal wave number $m:\left(\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$. The factorisation LU of this matrix is computed, where L is a lower triangular tridiagonal matrix, U is a upper triangular tridiagonal matrix with coefficients equal to 1 on the main diagonal. All useful coefficients of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{U}$ are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the array SIHEG.
- The right-hand side member of (115) is computed, then multiplied by the inverse of the symmetric pentadiagonal operator ( $\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} M^{2} a_{l}$ ) (resolution of two tridiagonal triangular systems by routine MXTURS). That yields $\mathrm{Q} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$. Multiplying by $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ one obtains $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$.
- For the zonal wave number $m=0$ equation (114) is used rather than (115) because, for the total wave number $n=0, \nabla^{\prime 2}$ is equivalent to a multiplication by 0 and $\nabla^{\prime-2}$ is equivalent to a division by 0 . The only difference is that the pentadiagonal but non-symmetric operator ( $\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}$ ) is factorised and inverted. All useful coefficients of $L, U$ are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the arrays SIHEG and SIHEG2.
- Once known $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$, and after calculation of $\bar{M}^{2} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ (which requires an additional call to routine MXPTMA if $\bar{M}=M$ ), equation (109) yields $g w_{t+\Delta t}$ (use matrix stored in SIFACI). Equation (97) yields $\log \Pi_{s t+\Delta t}$, equation (99) yields $T_{t+\Delta t}$, and equation (100) yields $\Phi_{t+\Delta t}$.
- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.
* Upper and lower boundary conditions for $\partial^{*}$. This point is not easy to solve in the linear model because these boundary conditions are not always well known. There is a possibility to ignore them: in this case they are hidden in the operator $\mathcal{R}_{\text {deri }}$, but we do not guarantee that they are always proper ones, especially at the bottom boundary. There is also possibility to specify them explicitly (use a $\mathcal{R}_{\text {deri }}$ operator which takes account of them). Here is currently the status of what is done:
- All first-order vertical derivatives are assumed to be 0 at the top and bottom: in particular, $\partial^{*} Z$ is assumed to be 0 at the top and bottom in $\left(\partial^{*}+1\right)\left(\partial^{*} Z\right)$.
- $\left(\nu-\frac{c_{\mathrm{pd}}}{R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}} \tau\right)$ : top condition equal to $\nu$, bottom condition equal to 0 .
- $\partial^{*}$ is assumed to match $\partial^{*} \nu=0$ (this is currently the case).
- For other quantities, top condition is assumed constant.
- Bottom condition is assumed constant for $T$.
- Bottom condition is not convenient to specify for $\Phi$ or $g w$ for calculations done in spectral space, because we are not assumed to have surface values (actually we have it for $\Phi$ but not for $g w$ ). This is one of the reasons (but not the only one) why it seems better to take the prognostic variables $\Phi-B \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $g w-B g w_{\mathrm{s}}$ : bottom conditions are 0 (but the assumption that the first-order vertical derivative is zero is not very good for this case!).


### 5.4 2D shallow-water model.

## * Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ :

- Continuity equation $(X=\Phi)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\Phi^{*} \bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime} \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}(\Phi) \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=0 \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \bar{M}^{2} \Phi^{*} D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}^{*}  \tag{119}\\
& D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\Phi_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *} \tag{120}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{H}^{*}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equations (17) and are available in spectral arrays (SPA3\%SP, SPA3\%DIV) at the beginning of the spectral computations. Equations (119) and (120) yield (121) (Helmholtz equation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \Phi^{*} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\mathcal{H}^{*}\right) \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\bar{M}=M$ (case LSIDG=.T.) it is more convenient to rewrite equation (121) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \Phi^{*} M^{2}\right) D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}=\nabla^{\prime-2} \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}-\beta \Delta t\left(\mathcal{H}^{*}\right) \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows a symmetric matricial operator in the left hand side.

* Spectral computations to solve system of equations (119) and (120). Algorithm works zonal wave number by zonal wave number $m\left(|m|\right.$ varies between 0 and the truncation $\left.N_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and performed in the routine SPC2 before all horizontal diffusion schemes. For a given zonal wave number m :
- After a preliminary memory transfer the right-hand side member of equation (121) is computed for all total wave numbers $n$ between $m$ and $N_{\mathrm{s}}$.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case "reduced divergence" (case LSIDG=.F.): For each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(1-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \Phi^{*} \nabla^{\prime 2} \bar{M}^{2}\right)$ is a diagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$. Spectral coefficients of the right-hand side member of (121) are simply divided by the diagonal coefficients of this matrix.
- Inversion of Helmholtz equation for the case "unreduced divergence" (case LSIDG=.T.): Inversion of Helmholtz equation is more complicated than in the case of semi-implicit scheme with reduced divergence because the left-hand side member of Helmholtz equation contains values of the divergence for all levels and five total wave numbers ( $n-2$ to $n+2$ ).
- For each zonal wave number $m$ : $\left(\nabla^{\prime}-2-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} M^{2} \Phi^{*}\right)$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matricial operator $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$. The factorisation LU of this matrix is computed, where $L$ is a lower triangular tridiagonal matrix, $U$ is a upper triangular tridiagonal matrix with coefficients equal to 1 on the main diagonal. All useful coefficients of $L, U$ are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the array SIHEG.
- The right-hand side member of (122) is multiplied by the inverse of the symmetric pentadiagonal operator ( $\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \Phi^{*} M^{2}$ ) (resolution of two tridiagonal triangular systems by routine MXTURS). That yields $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$.
- For the zonal wave number $m=0$ equation (121) is used preferably than (122) because, for the total wave number $n=0, \nabla^{\prime 2}$ is equivalent to a multiplication by 0 and $\nabla^{\prime-2}$ is equivalent to a division by 0 . The only difference is that the pentadiagonal but non-symmetric operator $\left(1-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \Phi^{*} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right)$ is factorised and inverted. All useful coefficients of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{U}$ are computed in the set-up routine SUHEG and stored in the arrays SIHEG and SIHEG2.
- Once known $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ equation (119) provides $\Phi_{t+\Delta t}$. For the case "unreduced divergence" (case LSIDG=.T.) only, spectral multiplications by $M^{2}$ are performed by the product of a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix of dimensions $\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right) *\left(N_{\mathrm{s}}+1-|m|\right)$ (useful coefficients computed in routine SUSMAP and stored in the array SCGMAP) by a vector containing spectral coefficients ( $m, n$ ) for $n$ varying from $|m|$ to $N_{\mathrm{s}}$.
- Semi-implicit scheme ends by a final memory transfer.


### 5.5 Plane geometry.

For 3D models, semi-implicit calculations are done in ESPCSI, ESPNHSI, ESPNHSI_GEOGW instead of SPCSI, SPNHSI and SPNHSI_GEOGW.
Option LSIDG=.T. has an equivalent LESIDG=.T. designed for LAM models, coded only for a tilted-rotated Mercator projection.

### 5.6 Shortcomings of the formulation of the semi-implicit scheme with "reduced divergence" (LSIDG=.F.) in case of stretching.

* ARPEGE/IFS: In the grid points computations for some equations (for example temperature and continuity equation in the 3 D hydrostatic model), the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ contains the reduced quantity ( $\bar{M}^{2} D^{\prime}$ ). This quantity is added to geographical quantities. That is no problem near the high resolution pole. This reduced quantity becomes very large near the low resolution pole: if the stretching coefficient is $c, \frac{\bar{M}^{2}}{M^{2}}=c^{4}$ at the low resolution pole, which is equal to 33.2 if $c=2.4$. Thus the order of magnitude of the semi-implicit correction tendency becomes too high and physically absurd in the low resolution zone (gravity waves are no longer treated implicitly). That leads to instabilities in regions of the low resolution zone with high orography, in adiabatic Eulerian runs, or in semi-Lagrangian runs with time-steps above the limit imposed by the Courant-FriedrichLevy condition. In Eulerian runs with physics, the combination of physics and small time-steps inhibits this instability (at least in the hydrostatic model), but scores are degraded, especially far from the high resolution pole. In order to avoid this instability, we have implemented a new formulation of the semi-implicit scheme which allows to avoid mixing of reduced and geographical quantities in the grid-point computations, and which gives an implicit treatment of the gravity waves everywhere on the sphere and not only near the high resolution pole. This formulation is a formulation with unreduced divergence (simply by replacing the quantity $\bar{M}$ by the mapping factor $M$ ).
Remark: in the deep layer equations, the implicit treatment involves in this case the quantity $M^{2} D^{\prime}$ and not $D$. The small residual term $D-M^{2} D^{\prime}=(a / r-1) M^{2} D^{\prime}$ has an explicit treatment.
* LAM models: The previous point is not an issue in most applications of LAM models where the mapping factor $M$ has small variations in the forecast domain (which is not too large). It can become an issue for large domains (use of large domains with a tilted-rotated Mercator projection), and option LESIDG becomes useful in this case.


## 6 Inclusion of Coriolis term in the semi-implicit scheme.

Although this term is non linear, it is added to linear terms in the semi-implicit scheme. Equations are written for a leap-frog scheme (Eulerian scheme of three-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme). For a two-time level semiLagrangian scheme replace $\Delta t$ by $0.5 \Delta t$. In ARPEGE/IFS this option is coded for both Eulerian and semiLagrangian schemes (3D model and shallow-water model). This option is available only in unstretched untilted spherical geometry, in setting LIMPF=.T. in namelist NAMDYN. The reason to use such option is accuracy for long range forecasts (but not stability issues: $\mathbf{L I M P F}=. \mathrm{F}$. is as stable as $\mathbf{L I M P F}=$. . . but may lead to worse scores for long-range forecasts).

### 6.1 Semi-implicit scheme including Coriolis term in the 3D hydrostatic model.

### 6.1.1 Thin layer equations.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ : Equations (55) and (56) become respectively:
- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-2 \nabla^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}) \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-2 \mathbf{k} \cdot\left[\nabla^{\prime} \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})\right] \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

* System to be solved: Equations (58) and (60) are unchanged. Equation (59) is replaced by the two following equations, for divergence and vorticity.

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{\prime 2}\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\beta \Delta t\left(2 \nabla^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})\right)=\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}  \tag{125}\\
\zeta_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}+\beta \Delta t\left(2 \mathbf{k} \cdot\left[\nabla^{\prime} \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})\right]\right)=\zeta^{\prime *} \tag{126}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathcal{D}^{*}, \zeta^{*}$ correspond to $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ defined in equation (17) and are available in spectral arrays (SPA3\%DIV, SPA3\%VOR) at the beginning of the spectral computations.

* Restriction to not stretched and not tilted model: Inclusion of Coriolis term in the semiimplicit scheme will be treated only in not stretched and not tilted geometry for different reasons, including the following considerations:
- In not stretched and not tilted geometry, Coriolis parameter $f=2 \Omega \sin \theta$ writes as a first degree polynomial of the sinus of computational sphere latitude. This property leads to invert pentadiagonal matrices in the algorithm which will be described.
- In stretched and not tilted geometry, Coriolis parameter $f=2 \Omega \sin \theta$ writes an homographical function of the sinus of computational sphere latitude. This property leads to invert full matrices in the algorithm which will be described (which in our case is very expensive in memory).
- In stretched and tilted geometry, Coriolis parameter $f=2 \Omega \sin \theta$ depends on the computational sphere longitude and latitude. This property leads to a coupling between all spectral coefficients and obliges to solve the semi-implicit system in the spectral space of geographical sphere, which is very expensive in memory and cost.
- There is another way to treat implicitly Coriolis term in the semi-Lagrangian scheme which works as well in the two-time level semi-Lagrangian scheme, replacing the prognostic variable $\mathbf{V}$ by $\mathbf{V}+2 \boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{r}$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the vertical vector from Earth centre to computational point ( $\mathbf{r}=a \mathbf{k}$ ), and keeping the semi-implicit scheme unchanged. Contrary to inclusion of Coriolis term in the semi-implicit scheme, this method does not increase difficulty in stretched or tilted geometry.
In not stretched and not tilted geometry, equations (125) and (126) become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\beta \Delta t(2 \nabla(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}))=\mathcal{D}^{*}  \tag{127}\\
\zeta_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t(2 \mathbf{k} .[\nabla \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})])=\zeta^{*} \tag{128}
\end{gather*}
$$

* Divergence and vorticity in spherical geometry: For a vector $\mathbf{Y}$ of horizontal components $Y_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $Y_{\mathrm{y}}$, the divergence and vertical component of vorticity write as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \mathbf{Y}=\frac{1}{a \cos \theta}\left[\frac{\partial Y_{\mathrm{x}}}{\partial \lambda}+\frac{\partial\left(Y_{\mathrm{y}} \cos \theta\right)}{\partial \theta}\right]  \tag{129}\\
\mathbf{k} \cdot(\nabla \wedge \mathbf{Y})=\frac{1}{a \cos \theta}\left[\frac{\partial Y_{\mathrm{y}}}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{\partial\left(Y_{\mathrm{x}} \cos \theta\right)}{\partial \theta}\right] \tag{130}
\end{gather*}
$$

* Helmholtz equation: Using relations (129) and (130) in equations (127) and (128) lead to the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\beta \Delta t(-2 \Omega \sin \theta) \zeta_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t\left(\frac{2 \Omega \cos \theta}{a}\right) U_{t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}^{*}  \tag{131}\\
\zeta_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t(2 \Omega \sin \theta) D_{t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t\left(\frac{2 \Omega \cos \theta}{a}\right) V_{t+\Delta t}=\zeta^{*} \tag{132}
\end{gather*}
$$

The four following expressions are used, for each complex spectral coefficient:

- Relationship between divergence and velocity potential $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\nabla^{2} \chi \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Relationship between vorticity and stream function $\psi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\nabla^{2} \psi \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

- (133) and (134) can be rewritten, for each complex spectral component:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{(m, n)} & =-\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}} \chi_{(m, n)}  \tag{135}\\
\zeta_{(m, n)} & =-\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}} \psi_{(m, n)} \tag{136}
\end{align*}
$$

- Relationship between $U, \psi$ and $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U a \cos \theta)_{(m, n)}=i m \chi_{(m, n)}+(n-1) e_{(m, n)} \psi_{(m, n-1)}-(n+2) e_{(m, n+1)} \psi_{(m, n+1)} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Relationship between $V, \psi$ and $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(V a \cos \theta)_{(m, n)}=\operatorname{im} \psi_{(m, n)}-(n-1) e_{(m, n)} \chi_{(m, n-1)}+(n+2) e_{(m, n+1)} \chi_{(m, n+1)} \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{(0,0)}=0$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{(m, n)}=\sqrt{\frac{n^{2}-m^{2}}{4 n^{2}-1}} \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (135) to (138) allow to eliminate $U_{t+\Delta t}$ and $V_{t+\Delta t}$ in equations (131) and (132).

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right)-\beta \Delta t(2 \Omega(\sin \theta) \zeta)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)}}{n+1} \zeta_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}  \tag{140}\\
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] \zeta_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t(2 \Omega(\sin \theta) D)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}}{n} D_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)}}{n+1} D_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\zeta_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{141}
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplication by $\sin \theta$ is eliminated by using the following relationship valid for any variable $X$, in not stretched and not tilted geometry:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(\sin \theta) X]_{(m, n)}=e_{(m, n)} X_{(m, n-1)}+e_{(m, n+1)} X_{(m, n+1)} \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (140) and (141) become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right)-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)} n}{n+1} \zeta_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{143}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] \zeta_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} D_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)} n}{n+1} D_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}} \\
=\zeta_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{144}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\zeta$ is eliminated in equation (143) by using (144) in replacing $n$ by $n-1$ then by $n+1$. Equation (143) becomes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \Omega \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
\quad+\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma T_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right) \\
\quad=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n) \frac{(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*}} \tag{145}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T_{t+\Delta t}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$ are eliminated by using equations (58) and (60). That leads to Helmholtz equation (146):

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta \Delta \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{2}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \Delta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
\quad=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}-\beta \Delta t \nabla^{2}\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}_{(m, n)}^{*}\right) \\
\quad+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{i \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} \frac{(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 n \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*} \tag{146}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (146) is solved in eigenmodes space. The right-hand side member needs a multiplication by a tridiagonal complex matrix, for each zonal wave number $m$. Inversion of Helmholtz equation is equivalent to invert a pentadiagonal complex matrix (done in routine SIMPLICO), for each zonal wave number $m$. All computations are currently (in cycle 42) done in SPCSI. For $m=0$ complex operators become real operators.

* Determination of other quantities at $t+\Delta t$ : Equation (145) is used to compute $\zeta_{t+\Delta t}$ (for each zonal wave number $m$, multiplication by a complex tridiagonal matrix). Then equation (58) is used to compute $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$ and equation (60) is used to compute $T_{t+\Delta t}$.


### 6.1.2 Deep layer equations (according to White and Bromley, 1995).

Equations (123) to (126) remain valid. Only the horizontal part of the Coriolis term ( $-2 \boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}$ ) can be included in the semi-implicit scheme. The term $(-2 \boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge W \mathbf{k})$ remains explicit. Equations (127) to (146) remain valid, replacing $D$ by $\left(r_{\mathrm{s}} / a\right) D, \zeta$ by $\left(r_{\mathrm{s}} / a\right) \zeta, \nabla$ by $\left(r_{\mathrm{s}} / a\right) \nabla$. The code of spectral computations is unchanged.

### 6.2 Semi-implicit scheme including Coriolis term in the 3D NH-PDVD model.

Extending such an algorithm to the 3D NH-PDVD model in not stretched and not tilted geometry is possible but not straightforward. Most difficulties come from the fact that the unknown in the Helmholtz equation is $d$ and not $D^{\prime}$.

### 6.2.1 Thin layer equations.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ : Equations (67) and (68) become respectively:
- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left[\gamma T-T^{*}(\gamma \hat{Q})+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \hat{Q}\right]-2 \nabla^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}) \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-2 \mathbf{k} \cdot\left[\nabla^{\prime} \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})\right] \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Helmholtz equation: Elimination of $T, \hat{Q}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ between equations (72), (74), (76), and the modified (73) is done like for the case LIMPF=.F. . We have now a 3 -equations system with the unknowns $d$ or $d_{4}, D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ (equation of $\zeta^{\prime}$ is identical to the hydrostatic one). Since LIMPF can work only with a not stretched not tilted spherical geometry, we omit the mapping factor and we replace $D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ by $D$ and $\zeta$ everywhere.

The relationships (133), (134), (133), (136), (135), (137), (138), (139), (142) are still used, in the same manner as in the hydrostatic model. Using these relationships allows to replace the occurrences of $U$ and $V$ exactly as it is done in the hydrostatic model (calculations are not detailed) and to have only the spectral components of $d$ (or $d_{4}$ ), $D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$.

To simplify, we adopt the following denotations (consistent with the ones used in the NH-GEOGW model):

- $\mathrm{B}_{1}=-C^{2}$
- $\mathrm{BC}_{1}=-C^{2} * C O R$
- $\mathrm{B}_{2}=-C^{2}+T^{*} \gamma$
- $\mathrm{B}_{3}=-\frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{1}{H^{2}} \mathbf{L}^{*}\left(-c_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tau+C^{2}\right)$
- $\mathrm{B}_{4}=-\frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{C^{2}}{H^{2}} \mathbf{L}^{*}$

Equations for $d$ (or $d_{4}$ ), $D$ and $\zeta$ become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}+\mathrm{BC}_{1}\right)\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right] d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}-\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)^{n}}}{n+1} \zeta_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}  \tag{149}\\
{\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right] d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}=\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* *}}  \tag{150}\\
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] \zeta_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}^{(n+1)}}{n} D_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)} n}{n+1} D_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}} \\
=\zeta_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{151}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\zeta$ is eliminated in equation (149) by using (151) in replacing $n$ by $n-1$ then by $n+1$ (exactly like we do in the hydrostatic model). Equation (149) becomes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}+\mathrm{BC}_{1}\right)\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1) \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t}}+\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right] d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t} \\
=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n) \frac{(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*}}
\end{gather*}
$$

The similarities with the hydrostatic model are the following ones:

- The $\zeta^{*}$ terms added to $\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}$ are the same ones than those added to $\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{*}$ in the hydrostatic Helmholtz equation. We introduce the following quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} \frac{(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*} \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

- In the LHS, the additional terms containing $\Omega$ (in factor of $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}, D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t}$ ) are the same ones as in the hydrostatic model.
That means that some pieces of code present in the hydrostatic code (the call to SIMPLICO and most of the input dummy arguments of SIMPLICO) can be re-used with no significant change in the NH model.

The main difference with the hydrostatic model, which will provide an additional difficulty, is the elimination between the $D$ equation and the $d$ equation. We first assume that $C O R=0$ (constraint C 1 ) and, like in the case LIMPF $=\mathrm{F}$, we do the elimination in order to have an Helmholtz equation with $d$ as unknown.

Equation (150) is used three times, for the total wavenumbers $n-2, n$ and $n+2$ to do the elimination of $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}, D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}$. After this elimination, a left multiplication of the LHS and of the RHS by $\left[1+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]$ (which commutes with all the coefficients containing $\Omega$ ) is performed. We obtain an Helmholtz equation containing $d_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}, d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $d_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t}$ in the LHS:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} B \nabla^{2}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\right] d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} d_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} d_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
=\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left(-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}+\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}\right) \tag{154}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}= \\
{\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \nabla^{2}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\right] \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n)}^{* *}} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n-2)}^{* *}+\frac{\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(m, n+2)}^{* *}}{} \tag{155}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can first remark that the RHS of the Helmholtz equation requires an additional multiplication by a complex pentadiagonal matrix which is not present in the hydrostatic model. Such a multiplication is done in the routine SI_MXPTCO which re-uses some input dummy arguments of SIMPLICO. This multiplication computes a quantity which must be added to the $\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* * *}$ term, before applying the inverse of $\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]$.

Once computed its RHS, equation (154) is solved in eigenmodes space. Inversion of Helmholtz equation is equivalent to invert a pentadiagonal complex matrix (done in routine SIMPLICO), for each zonal wave number $m$; the coefficients are the same ones as in the hydrostatic model, the only difference being the content of B (filled in the set-up), so this is transparent in routine SPNHSI. All computations are currently (in cycle 42) done in SPNHSI, by calling routine SIMPLICO. For $m=0$ complex operators become real operators.

Once computed $d_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$, equation (152) is used to retrieve $D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$. Equation (152) contains $D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}$, $D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ and $D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t}$, that means that we need a second complex matrix inversion (there was only one in the hydrostatic model). But we can notice that the coefficients in the LHS look like those present in the LHS of the Helmholtz equation:

- All the coefficients containing $\Omega$ are exactly the same ones of the Helmholtz equation.
- The coefficient $(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{2}$ of the Helmholtz equation is replaced by $-(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \nabla^{2}$, where $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ is already a (constant coefficients) diagonal matrix.
That means that, for this complex matrix inversion, routine SIMPLICO can be re-used without any change (the only input coefficient which changes is the one containing the eigenvalues).

Once computed $D_{t+\Delta t}$, equation (151) allows to retrieve $\zeta_{t+\Delta t}$, and this piece of calculations is identical to what is done in the hydrostatic model.

* Determination of other quantities at $t+\Delta t$ : Retrieval of $T, \hat{Q}$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ at $t+\Delta t$ is done exactly like in the $\mathbf{L I M P F}=\mathrm{F}$ case.
* Combination with the NITERHELM algorithm when the constraint C1 is not matched: This is possible and now implemented.


### 6.2.2 Deep layer equations (according to Wood and Staniforth, 2003).

Equations (147) to (151) remain valid. Only the horizontal part of the Coriolis term ( $-2 \boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}$ ) can be included in the semi-implicit scheme. The term $(-2 \boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge w \mathbf{k})$ remains explicit. Equations (152) to (154) remain valid, replacing $D$ by $(r / a) D, \zeta$ by $(r / a) \zeta, \nabla$ by $(r / a) \nabla$. The code of spectral computations is unchanged.

### 6.3 Semi-implicit scheme including Coriolis term in the 3D NH-GEOGW model.

### 6.3.1 Thin layer equations.

* Expression of the linear term $\mathcal{B}$ : Equations (92) and (93) become respectively:
- Divergence equation $\left(X=D^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-\nabla^{\prime 2}\left[R_{\mathrm{d}} T+R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*} \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\left(\partial^{*}+1\right) \Phi\right]-2 \nabla^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V}) \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vorticity equation $\left(X=\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=-2 \mathbf{k} \cdot\left[\nabla^{\prime} \wedge(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \mathbf{V})\right] \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other equations are unchanged.

* Restriction to not stretched and not tilted model: cf. what has been said for the hydrostatic model.
* Helmholtz equation: Elimination of $T, \Phi$ and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ between equations (97), (100), (99), and the modified (98) is done like for the case LIMPF=.F. . Elimination of $T$ and $\Phi$ in the (101) equation is done like for the case LIMPF=.F. . We have now a 3 -equations system with the unknowns $g w, D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ (equation of $\zeta^{\prime}$ is identical to the hydrostatic one). Since LIMPF can work only with a not stretched not tilted spherical geometry, we omit the mapping factor and we replace $D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ by $D$ and $\zeta$ everywhere.

The relationships (133), (134), (133), (136), (135), (137), (138), (139), (142) are still used, in the same manner as in the hydrostatic model. Using these relationships allows to replace the occurrences of $U$ and $V$ exactly as it is done in the hydrostatic model (calculations are not detailed) and to have only the spectral components of $g w$, $D^{\prime}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$.

At this stage the (101) equation is unchanged compared to its LIMPF=F form. Additional terms present in the divergence and vorticity equations (terms containing $\Omega$ ) are the same as the ones in the hydrostatic system: elimination of $\zeta$ terms into the divergence equation is done exactly as in the hydrostatic model.

We use the matrix denotations $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}$ defined by formulae (104) to (107). After some long calculations often identical of those of the hydrostatic model (elimination of $\zeta$ terms, see above), we obtain the following two-equations system, with unknowns $D^{\prime}$ and $g w$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\begin{array}{r}
\left.\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \nabla^{2}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t} \\
+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
+\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right] g w_{(m, n), t+\Delta t} \\
=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n) \frac{(n+1)}{n}} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*} \\
{\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \bar{M}^{2}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right] g w_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}=g \mathcal{W}_{(m, n)}^{* *}}
\end{array}\right.}
\end{gather*}
$$

After elimination of $g w_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ between both equations we obtain the following Helmholtz equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{I}-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)}^{2} \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)}^{2} \frac{(n)(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \mathrm{~B} \nabla^{2}\right] D_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}} \\
\quad+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)} D_{(m, n-2), t+\Delta t}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)^{2}}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} \frac{n}{(n+2)} D_{(m, n+2), t+\Delta t} \\
=\mathcal{D}_{(m, n)}^{* *}-\left[\mathrm{I}+(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{-1}\left[(\beta \Delta t)^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right] g \mathcal{W}_{(m, n)}^{* *} \\
\quad+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n-1) n}} e_{(m, n)} \frac{(n+1)}{n} \zeta_{(m, n-1)}^{*}+\frac{(2 \beta \Delta t \Omega)}{1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{(n+1)(n+2)}} e_{(m, n+1) \frac{n}{(n+1)} \zeta_{(m, n+1)}^{*}} \tag{160}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (160) is solved in eigenmodes space. The right-hand side member needs a multiplication by a tridiagonal complex matrix, for each zonal wave number $m$. Inversion of Helmholtz equation is equivalent to invert a
pentadiagonal complex matrix (done in routine SIMPLICO), for each zonal wave number $m$ : this calculation is similar to the one done in the hydrostatic model. All computations are currently (in cycle 42) done in SPNHSI_GEOGW. For $m=0$ complex operators become real operators.

Once computed $D_{t+\Delta t}$, retrieval of $g w_{t+\Delta t}$, then retrieval of $T_{t+\Delta t}, \Phi_{t+\Delta t}$, and $\log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}$ is done exactly like in the case $\mathbf{L I M P F}=\mathrm{F}$.

Retrieval of $\zeta$ uses the following equation (for each zonal wave number $m$, multiplication by a complex tridiagonal matrix, like in the hydrostatic model).

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-i \frac{2 \Omega \beta \Delta t m}{n(n+1)}\right] \zeta_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n)}(n+1)}{n} D_{(m, n-1), t+\Delta t}+\beta \Delta t \frac{2 \Omega e_{(m, n+1)} n}{n+1} D_{(m, n+1), t+\Delta t}} \\
=\zeta_{(m, n)}^{*} \tag{161}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 6.3.2 Deep layer equations (according to Wood and Staniforth, 2003).

Not coded.

### 6.4 Semi-implicit scheme including Coriolis term in the 2D shallow-water model.

Such an algorithm is also coded in the 2D shallow-water model in not stretched and not tilted geometry.

- Replace $\left(\gamma T+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)$ by $\Phi$ in equation (123).
- Replace $\left(\gamma T_{t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{t+\Delta t}\right)$ by $\Phi_{t+\Delta t}$ in equations (125), (127) and (131).
- Replace $\left(\gamma T_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}+\mu \log \left(\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}\right)$ by $\Phi_{(m, n), t+\Delta t}$ in equations (140), (143) and (145).
- Replace $\left(\gamma \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}^{*}+\mu \mathcal{P}_{(m, n)}^{*}\right)$ by $\mathcal{H}_{(m, n)}^{*}$ in equation (146).
- Once computed $D_{t+\Delta t}^{\prime}$ equation (119) provides $\Phi_{t+\Delta t}$.


### 6.5 Conclusion.

The simplest configuration to treat the case $\mathbf{L I M P F}=. \mathrm{T}$. is the case where the unknown is the horizontal divergence in the Helmoltz equation: this is the case in the hydrostatic model, the shallow-water model and in the NH-GEOGW model, and treatment of implicit Coriolis term is similar in all these cases, with only one call to SIMPLICO.

In NH models where this is $w$ or $d$ which is the unknown in the Helmoltz equation, treatment of implicit Coriolis term is more tricky, because we must solve a modified Helmoltz equation with additional $\Omega$ terms, then retrieve the horizontal divergence via a modified equation, then retrieve the horizontal vorticity. In such configurations we can expect two calls of SIMPLICO, and one call to SI_MXPTCO. This is the case in the NH-PDVD model.

## 7 Spectral multiplications by polynomial expressions of the mapping factor.

* Expression of mapping factor $M$ in spectral space in ARPEGE. Let us denote by:
- $a_{\mathrm{c}}=0.5\left(c+\frac{1}{c}\right)$
- $b_{\mathrm{c}}=0.5\left(c-\frac{1}{c}\right)$
- $e_{(0,0)}=0$
- $e_{(m, n)}=\sqrt{\frac{n^{2}-m^{2}}{4 n^{2}-1}}$

Expression of $M$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=a_{\mathrm{c}}+b_{\mathrm{c}} \xi \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is the sinus of computational sphere latitude. Expression of $[M X]_{(m, n)}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[M X]_{(m, n)}=b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n)} X_{(m, n-1)}+a_{\mathrm{c}} X_{(m, n)}+b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n+1)} X_{(m, n+1)} \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy from (163) to retrieve the coefficients of spectral multiplication by any first degree polynomial of $M$. This is equivalent to a multiplication by a tridiagonal symmetric matrix in spectral space.

* Expression of $M^{2}$ in spectral space in ARPEGE.

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[M^{2} X\right]_{(m, n)}=b_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} e_{(m, n)} e_{(m, n-1)} X_{(m, n-2)}+2 a_{\mathrm{c}} b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n)} X_{(m, n-1)}+\left(a_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}+b_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\left(e_{(m, n)}^{2}+e_{(m, n+1)}^{2}\right)\right) X_{(m, n)}} \\
+2 a_{\mathrm{c}} b_{\mathrm{c}} e_{(m, n+1)} X_{(m, n+1)}+b_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} e_{(m, n+1)} e_{(m, n+2)} X_{(m, n+2)} \tag{164}
\end{gather*}
$$

This is equivalent to a multiplication by a pentadiagonal symmetric matrix in spectral space.

* Expression of $M$ and $M^{2}$ in spectral space in LAM models. This formula is only valid on a tilted-rotated Mercator projection, and it assumes that the reference latitude of the projection is at the middle of sub-domain $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{I}$.
If we assume that the plane coordinates will be not slanted relatively to the longitudes and latitudes of the Mercator projection, the mapping factor $M$ always depends only on the $y$ coordinate and never vary along the $x$ coordinate.

$$
M=\frac{1}{\cos \theta}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}}}=\cosh (y / a)
$$

where $\mu=\sin \theta$, the $y$ coordinate (this is an distance measured on the plane projection) assumes that $y=0$ at the apparent equator, and $a$ is the mean Earth radius. $M$ is not a low order polynomial function of $y$ so even in this case it needs to be approximated. The approximation used is, for a Fourier decomposition of $M^{2}$, to have only two harmonics.

## 8 Order of spectral computations.

* ARPEGE/IFS: Spectral computations are done in the 3D model in the following order:
- Mass corrector computations (SPCMASCOR).
- Semi-implicit computations in the hydrostatic model (SPCSI).
- Semi-implicit computations in the NH-PDVD model (SPNHSI).
- Semi-implicit computations in the NH-GEOGW model (SPNHSI_GEOGW).
- Main horizontal diffusion scheme (SPCHOR).
- Nudging (i.e. linear relaxation towards a pre-defined state) (SPCHOR).

In the 2D model the semi-implicit computations and the main horizontal diffusion scheme are done in SPC2.

* LAM models: Spectral computations are done in the 3D model in the following order:
- Semi-implicit computations in the hydrostatic model (ESPCSI).
- Semi-implicit computations in the NH-PDVD model (ESPNHSI).
- Semi-implicit computations in the NH-GEOGW model (ESPNHSI_GEOGW).
- Spectral nudging (coupling) from a coarser model (ESPSC2R for temporal interpolation providing coupler at the current timestep, ESPCPL for spectral relaxation).
- Main horizontal diffusion scheme (ESPCHOR).


## * Case of iterative centred-implicit model

(option LPC_FULL=.T.): The inversion of Helmholtz equation is done at all iterations and horizontal diffusion can be done at the last iteration or at all iterations according to the value of key LRHDI_LASTITERPC.

## 9 Organigramme of the spectral part of the semi-implicit computations.

### 9.1 Set-up and control routines until STEPO.

CNTO ->

* SUOYOMA ->
- SUCTO
- SUDYNA
* SUOYOMB ->
- SUDYN ->
* SUALDYN
* SUALDYNB (global model) or SUELDYNB (LAM model).
* SUSMAP -> SUGMRE (global model)
* SUESMAP (LAM model)
* SUSI (hydrostatic model) ->
- GPHPRE
- SUBMAT -> SITNU and SIGAM
- EIGSOL
- SCORDO
- MINV
- SUHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only)
- SUEHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LESIDG=T only)
* SUNHSI (NH models) ->
- GPHPRE
- SUNHBMAT -> SISEVE and MINV (NH-PDVD model)
- SUNHBMAT_GEOGW -> SITNU, SIVDERI and MINV (NH-GEOGW model)
- EIGSOL
- SCORDO
- MINV
- SUNHHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only, NH-PDVD model)
- SUENHHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LESIDG=T only, NH-PDVD model)
- SUHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only, NH-GEOGW model)
* SUNHSI_TESTCONV (NH models)
* CNT1 -> CNT2 -> CNT3 -> CNT4 ->
- SUHEG $\rightarrow$ SUHER and SUHES (LSIDG=T only)
- SUEHEG -> SUHER and SUHES (LESIDG=T only)
- SUNHSI (NH model) -> (see above)
- SUENHSI (NH model) -> (see above)
- STEPO -> (see below)
* Adjoint code: For adjoint code STEPO is replaced by STEPOAD, organigramme is slightly different between CNT0 and STEPOAD. For example CNT3 is replaced by CNT3AD, CNT4 is replaced by CNT4AD.
* Tangent linear code: For tangent linear code STEPO is replaced by STEPOTL, organigramme is slightly different between CNT0 and STEPOTL. For example CNT3 is replaced by CNT3TL, CNT4 is replaced by CNT4TL.


### 9.2 Direct code under STEPO or tangent linear code under STEPOTL.

STEPO or STEPOTL ->

* SPCM ->
- SPCIMPFINIT (LIMPF=T only)
- TRMTOS (transposition routine for distributed memory)
- SPCSI (hydrostatic model) ->
* SITNU -> VERINT
* SIGAM -> VERINT
* SPCIMPFSOLVE (LIMPF=T only) -> TRSTOM, SIMPLICO, TRMTOS
* MXMAOP
* MXTURS and MXTURE (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
* MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
- SPNHSI (NH-PDVD model) ->
* SIDD -> SIGAM and SISEVE
* SI_CCCOR
* MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
* SISEVE -> VERDER
* SITNU -> VERINT
* SIMPLICO and SI_MXPTCO (LIMPF=T only)
* MXMAOP
* MXTURS and MXTURE (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
* SIGAM -> VERINT
- SPNHSI_GEOGW (NH-GEOGW model) ->
* SITNU -> VERINT
* SIVDERI -> VERDER
* SIMPLICO (LIMPF=T only)
* MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
* MXMAOP
* MXTURS and MXTURE (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
- TRSTOM (transposition routine for distributed memory)
- SPCIMPFPOST (LIMPF=T only)
- SPCHOR (horizontal diffusion)
* SPC2M -> SPC2 ->
- SIMPLICO (LIMPF=T only)
- MXTURS (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
- MXTURE (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
- MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
- BALADSM

In LAM models:

- ESPCM, ESPCSI, ESPNHSI, ESPNHSI_GEOGW, ESPCHOR are called instead of SPCM, SPCSI, SPNHSI, SPNHSI_GEOGW, SPCHOR.


### 9.3 Adjoint code under STEPOAD.

STEPOAD ->

* SPCMAD ->
- BRPTOB -> PE2SET
- SPCHORAD (horizontal diffusion)
- SPCIMPFPOSTAD (LIMPF=T only)
- TRMTOS (transposition routine for distributed memory)
- SPCSIAD (hydrostatic model) ->
* SITNUAD -> VERINTAD
* SIGAMAD -> VERINTAD
* SPCIMPFSOLVEAD (LIMPF=T only) -> TRSTOM, SIMPLICOAD, TRMTOS
* MXMAOP
* MXTURS and MXTURE (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
* MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
- [ SPNHSIAD (NH-PDVD model, not yet coded) ] ->
* SIDDAD -> SIGAMAD and SISEVEAD
* MXPTMA (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
* SISEVEAD
* SITNUAD -> VERINTAD
* MXMAOP
* MXTURS and MXTURE (LSIDG=T or LESIDG=T only)
* SIGAMAD -> VERINTAD
- TRSTOM (transposition routine for distributed memory)
- SPCIMPFINITAD (LIMPF=T only)

In LAM models:

- ESPCMAD, ESPCSIAD and ESPCHORAD are called instead of SPCMAD, SPCSIAD, SPNHSIAD and SPCHORAD.


### 9.4 Action done by these routines.

* Set-up routines:
- SUCT0: computes 0-level control variables, and also some variables linked to dynamics.
- SUDYNA: set-up for dynamics, part A.
- SUDYN: set-up for dynamics, part B.
- SUSI: set-up for hydrostatic SI scheme.
- SUNHSI: set-up for non-hydrostatic SI schemes.
- SUNHSI_TESTCONV: test convergence necessary condition of the NITERHELM algorithm (NHPDVD SI scheme).
- GPHPRE: some reference vertical-dependent hydrostatic pressure quantities.
- SCORDO: reorders eigenvalues and eigenvectors in order to have ascending values of eigenvectors.
- SUBMAT, SUNHBMAT, SUNHBMAT_GEOGW: computes array SIB containing operator B respectively for 3D hydrostatic model, 3D NH-PDVD model, 3D NH-GEOGW model.
- SUSMAP: computes array SCGMAP containing coefficients for spectral multiplication by $M^{2}$. SUSMAP calls intermediate routine SUGMRE.
- SUESMAP: computes array ESCGMAP containing coefficients for spectral multiplication by $M^{2}$ (LAM models, tilted-rotated Mercator projection).
- SUHEG (SUEHEG in LAM models): computes the LU factorisation of Helmholtz operator in case of semi-implicit scheme with unreduced divergence. Routine SUHEG (resp. SUEHEG) is called only if LSIDG=.T. (resp. LESIDG=.T.), for 3D hydrostatic model, 3D NH-GEOGW model and 2D shallowwater model (and more generally when the elimination gives an Helmholtz equation with $D^{\prime}$ as unknown).
- SUNHHEG (resp. SUENHHEG in LAM models): the same as SUHEG (resp. SUEHEG in LAM models) but for the NH-PDVD model.
- SUALDYNB (ARPEGE) or SUELDYNB (LAM models): allocation of some arrays used in the semiimplicit scheme.
* Control routines: CNT0, CNT1, CNT2, CNT3, CNT4, CNT3AD, CNT4AD, CNT3TL, CNT4TL, STEPO, STEPOAD, STEPOTL are control routines. STEPO (resp. STEPOAD, STEPOTL) manages one direct (resp. adjoint, tangent linear) integration timestep.


## * Routines under STEPO, STEPOAD or STEPOTL:

- SPCSI: semi-implicit scheme spectral computations in the hydrostatic model.
- SPNHSI (resp. SPNHSI_GEOGW): semi-implicit scheme spectral computations in the NH-PDVD (resp. NH-GEOGW) model.
- SPC2: spectral space computations, including the semi-implicit scheme and all horizontal diffusion schemes in the 2D model.
- SPCM: distributed memory interface for SPCSI and SPNHSI.
- SPC2M: distributed memory interface for SPC2.
- Their LAM models counterparts have names ESPC.. instead of SPC...
- These routines have adjoints (same names + "AD").
- SPCIMPFINIT, SPCIMPFPOST, SPCIMPFSOLVE: contain code for LIMPF=T case.
- SI_CCCOR: computes COR (NH-PDVD model).
- SITNU: computes a linear application by operator $\nu$ (see equations (31) and (30)).
- SIGAM: computes a linear application by operator $\gamma$ (see equations (27) and (26)).
- SISEVE: computes a linear application by operator $\mathbf{L}^{*}$ in the NH-PDVD model (see equation (34)).
- SIDD: performs the elimination of $T, \log \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\hat{Q}$ in the linear system of NH-PDVD equations (in order to compute the RHS of the Helmholtz equation).
- SIVDERI: computes a linear application by operator $\partial^{*}$.
- VERINT: does vertical integrations (vertical finite element scheme).
- VERDER: does vertical derivations (vertical finite element scheme).
- BALADSM: solve linear balance equation in spectral space to convert vorticity into geopotential (used for vorticity 2D equation only).


## * Linear algebra routines (project XLA/ALGOR):

- EIGSOL: finds eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix.
- MINV: inverts a matrix.
- MXTURE: inverts a set of tridiagonal (lower or upper) triangular matrices.
- MXTURS: combines two calls to MXTURE to invert a set of symmetric pentadiagonal matrices, the decomposition LU of which is known.
- MXPTMA: products of a set of pentadiagonal matrices by a set of matrices or vectors.
- MXMAOP: matrix by matrix product.
- SIMPLICO: solves a set of set of complex pentadiagonal systems (in practical called when LIMPF=T).
- SI_MXPTCO: complex multiplications by a penta-diagonal matrix (in practical called when LIMPF=T).
- SUHER: performs the LU factorisations of a set of non symmetric pentadiagonal matrices.
- SUHES: performs the LU factorisations of a set of symmetric pentadiagonal matrices.
* Distributed memory routines (for ex. transposition routines) doing communications between processors: the list of transposition and communication routines used in horizontal diffusion scheme is the following: TRSTOM, TRMTOS, BRPTOB and PE2SET; see documentation (IDDM) about distributed memory features for the action of these routines.


## 10 Other remarks.

* Adjoint and tangent linear codes: These codes have been updated for semi-implicit computations in cycle 42, for 3D hydrostatic model and 2D model. Use of both options LSIDG=.F. and LSIDG=.T. is possible for adjoint and tangent linear codes of semi-implicit scheme in cycle 42 (except for non-hydrostatic model). Notice that tangent linear of SPCSI is SPCSI itself.


## * Place of calculation of linear terms in grid-point space:

In the 3D model, we find such calculations in CPEULDYN for the Eulerian advection and in LACDYN (callees LASSIE, LANHSI and LANHSI_GEOGW) for the semi-Lagrangian advection. Grid-point coupling also needs to compute these terms to add them to couplers (routine ESEIMPLS called by ECOUPL1) In the 2D model, we find such calculations in CPG2 for the Eulerian advection and in LACDYNSHW for the semi-Lagrangian advection.
Linear term calculations are done according to the following pattern:

- 3D hydrostatic model:
- one call to SITNU.
- two calls to SIGAM.
- if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=\mathrm{T}$, a conversion for the $T$-equation linear term.
- if LIMPF=T, add Coriolis term to wind-equation linear term.
- 3D NH-PDVD model:
- one call to SIPTP.
- two calls to SIDD.
- one call to SISEVE.
- if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=\mathrm{T}$, a conversion for the $T$-equation linear term.
- if LIMPF $=$ T, add Coriolis term to wind-equation linear term.
- 3D NH-GEOGW model:
- one call to SITNU.
- several calls to SIVDERI.
- additional in-lined calculations.
- if $\mathbf{L S P R T}=\mathrm{T}$, a conversion for the $T$-equation linear term.
- if LIMPF=T, add Coriolis term to wind-equation linear term.
- timestep of calculation (given for predictor step):
- Eulerian advection: linear terms for $X(t-\Delta t)-2 X(t)$.
- 3TLSL advection: linear terms for $X(t)$ and $X(t-\Delta t)$.
- 2TLSL advection: linear terms for $X(t)$.
- coupler: linear terms for coupler instant.


## * Some distributed memory features:

- The total number of processors involved in the A-level parallelisation is NPRTRW.
- The total number of processors involved in the B-level parallelisation is NPRTRN.
- One processor treats only a subset of zonal wave numbers.
- If LSIDG=.T. or LIMPF=.T. spectral part of the semi-implicit scheme is done zonal wave number by zonal wave number. A call to SPCSI currently treats only one zonal wave number (case LLONEM=.T.).
- In the other cases a call to SPCSI can treat several wave numbers: currently all the zonal wave numbers treated by the current processor (case LLONEM=.F.).
- All the NFLEVG layers are treated together, there is no subdivision into packets of NFLEVL layers when the second level of parallelisation is activated contrary to the horizontal diffusion. That means that additional transpositions (TRSTOM in the direct code) are necessary between the semi-implicit calculations of SPCSI and the horizontal diffusion calculations of SPCHOR to convert the fields from the NFLEVG structure required in the semi-implicit calculations to the NFLEVL structure required in the horizontal diffusion calculations.
- In LAM models the way of distributing ESPCSI, ESPNHSI and ESPNHSI_GEOGW is the same one as in SPCSI, SPNHSI and SPNHSI_GEOGW.


## 11 Precomputed module and namelist quantities.

These modules are auto-documented so description of each variable is provided in the code source. We can recall here the most important variables to know for each module:

- Modules for geometry:
- SPGEOM_MOD (spectral geometry).
- YOMVERT: all variables.
- YOMARG (0-level control, former command line) and YOMCT0 (0-level control):
- NCONF, LELAM (in NAMARG).
- LR3D, LR2D, LRSHW, LRVEQ.
- LNHDYN (in NAMCTO).
- LRPLANE (in NAMCT0).
- YOMCVER (vertical finite element discretisation keys): most of variables. Some of these variables are in namelist NAMCVER.
- YOMDIM, YOMDIMV and YOMDIMF (dimensioning): most of variables. Some of these variables are in namelist NAMDIM.
- YOMDYNA (adiabatic dynamics: first part):
- LPC_FULL, LPC_CHEAP (predictor-corrector scheme).
- LNESC, LNESCT, LNESCV, LSETTLS, LSETTLST, LSETTLSV (extrapolation).
- LSLINLC1, LSLINLC2, LSLINL.
- LAPRXPK, NDLNPR, RHYDR0 (vertical discretisation).
- NPDVAR, NVDVAR, ND4SYS, LNH_PDVD, LNH_GEOGW, LNHX, LNHXDER, RC_PD1 (NH model).
- LGWADV, NGWADVSI, LRDBBC (treatment of vertical divergence equation in NH model).
- LVERCOR, LRWSDLW, LRWSDLR, LRWSDLR2, LRWSDLG, LCURVW (deep-layer equations).

Some of these variables are in namelist NAMDYNA.

- YOMDYN (adiabatic dynamics: second part). The following variables are attributes of YRDYN.
- LSIDG, BETADT ( $\beta$ ), RBT, RBTS2, NITERHELM, LIMPF (semi-implicit scheme).
- REFGEO, SIPR ( $\Pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$ ), SITR ( $T^{*}$ ), SITRA ( $T_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}$ ), SITRUB, SIPRUB, SITIME, SIRPRG $\left(R_{\mathrm{d}} T^{*}\right)$, SIRPRN (equal to 1): reference values used in the semi-implicit scheme.
- VESL, XIDT.
- NSITER, NCURRENT_ITER, LRHDI_LASTITERPC (predictor-corrector scheme).
$-\operatorname{SIDELP}\left(\Delta \Pi^{*}\right), \operatorname{SIRDEL}\left(1 / \Delta \Pi^{*}\right), \operatorname{SILNPR}\left(\delta^{*}\right), \operatorname{SIALPH}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$.
- SITLAF and SITLAH (full-level and half-level reference hydrostatic pressure).
$-\operatorname{SIDPHI}\left(\Delta \Phi^{*}\right)$.
- SIB (matrix B in Helmholtz equation).
- SIVP (eigenvalues $a_{l}$ of B ), SIMI ( $\mathrm{Q}=$ eigenvectors of B ), SIMO $\left(\mathrm{Q}^{-1}\right)$.
- SIFAC (matricial operator for NH model only)

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C^{2} \frac{T^{*}}{T_{a}^{*}} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{*}}{H^{2}}\right)
$$

- SIFACI: inverse of SIFAC.
- SIHEG:
* Hydrostatic model, NH-GEOGW model or 2D shallow-water model: for zonal wave numbers different from zero, contains the non-zero diagonals of LU decomposition of:

$$
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)
$$

for zonal wave number equal to 0 , contains the non-zero diagonals of L of the LU decomposition of:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right)
$$

* NH-PDVD 3D model: for zonal wave numbers different from zero, contains the non-zero diagonals of LU decomposition of:

$$
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2}\right)
$$

for zonal wave number equal to 0 , contains the non-zero diagonals of L of the LU decomposition of:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

- SIHEG2:
* Hydrostatic model, NH-GEOGW model or 2D shallow-water model: for zonal wave number equal to zero, contains the non-zero diagonals of $U$ of the $L U$ decomposition of:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} \nabla^{\prime 2} M^{2}\right)
$$

* NH-PDVD 3D model: for zonal wave number equal to zero, contains the non-zero diagonals of U of the LU decomposition of:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} a_{l} M^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

- SIHEGB for NH-PDVD 3D model: for zonal wave numbers different from zero, contains the non-zero diagonals of LU decomposition of:

$$
\left(\nabla^{\prime-2}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} C M^{2}\right)
$$

for zonal wave number equal to 0 , contains the non-zero diagonals of $L$ of the $L U$ decomposition of:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C M^{2}\right)
$$

- SIHEGB2 for NH-PDVD 3D model: for zonal wave number equal to zero, contains the non-zero diagonals of $U$ of the LU decomposition of:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}-\beta^{2} \Delta t^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} C M^{2}\right)
$$

Some of these variables are in namelist NAMDYN.

- YOMRIP (date and timestep related variables). The following variables are attributes of YRRIP. Some of these variables are in namelist NAMRIP.
- TSTEP, TDT (timestep).
- YEMDYN (LAM model dynamics): LESIDG, RTHRESIDG. RTHRESIDG is in namelist NEMDYN.
- YOMMP0 and YOMMP (distributed memory environment, see documentation (IDDM) for more details).
- YOMSP and YOMSP5 (spectral arrays).
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