



Background Error Statistics with a Mesoscale Model over Antarctica

Yann MICHEL

¹Météo-France, CNRM/GMAP

 $^2 {\rm work}$ accomplished while at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR/MMM)

CONCORDIASI Workshop

29-31 March 2010

Numerical Weather Prediction over Antarctica with WRF/AMPS

AMPS is a version of WRF regional model adapted to the polar physics of Antarctica. Data assimilation is performed for the two nested 45 km and 15 km resolution domains.



Yann MICHEL

Background Error Statistics over Antarctica

Data assimilation and Background Error

Variational assimilation minimizes a cost function:

$$J(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{v})^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{v})$$

where the background error covariance matrix **B** is usually too large (~ 10^{14}) to be either stored or estimated.

B is modeled through a sequence of operators (Control Variable Transform) describing the *average* covariances of background errors.

In WRFVAR, the formulation is the sequence of four transforms:

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{B}^{1/2} \chi = \mathbf{U}_p \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{ih}} \mathbf{S} \chi$$

- \mathbf{U}_p describes locally-averaged physical balances of errors between variables \longrightarrow use of grid-point statistical regressions,
- \mathbf{U}_v describes domain-averaged vertical autocorrelations \longrightarrow use of Empirical Orthogonal Functions
- U_{ih} describes locally-averaged horizontal autocorrelations \longrightarrow use of inhomogeneous recursive filters,
- **S** describes locally-averaged variances.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Data assimilation and Background Error

Variational assimilation minimizes a cost function:

$$J(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{v})^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{v})$$

where the background error covariance matrix ${\bf B}$ is usually too large (~ 10¹⁴) to be either stored or estimated.

B is modeled through a sequence of operators (Control Variable Transform) describing the *average* covariances of background errors.

In WRFVAR, the formulation is the sequence of four transforms:

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{B}^{1/2} \chi = \mathbf{U}_p \mathbf{U}_v \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{ih}} \mathbf{S} \chi$$

- U_p describes locally-averaged physical balances of errors between variables → use of grid-point statistical regressions,
- \mathbf{U}_v describes domain-averaged vertical autocorrelations \longrightarrow use of Empirical Orthogonal Functions
- $\bullet~U_{\rm ih}$ describes locally-averaged horizontal autocorrelations \longrightarrow use of inhomogeneous recursive filters,
- $\bullet~{\bf S}$ describes locally-averaged variances.

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

1 Introduction

- **2** The Physical Transform
- **③** Vertical Correlations
- **4** Horizontal Correlations
- **5** Variances



-

The balance \mathbf{U}_p aims to represent the cross-correlations between errors that are linked with atmospheric dynamics

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi \\ t \\ Ps \\ rh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi_u \\ t_u \\ Ps_u \\ rh \end{pmatrix}$$

The balance represents geostrophic coupling between wind and mass fields, surface friction effects, and tracer-like relationships.

This matrices are computed from local or domain-averaged regressions.

Latitude-binning



The balance \mathbf{U}_p aims to represent the cross-correlations between errors that are linked with atmospheric dynamics

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi \\ t \\ Ps \\ rh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi_u \\ t_u \\ Ps_u \\ rh \end{pmatrix}$$

The balance represents geostrophic coupling between wind and mass fields, surface friction effects, and tracer-like relationships.

This matrices are computed from local or domain-averaged regressions.

Latitude-binning



The balance \mathbf{U}_p aims to represent the cross-correlations between errors that are linked with atmospheric dynamics

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi \\ t \\ Ps \\ rh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi_u \\ t_u \\ Ps_u \\ rh \end{pmatrix}$$

The balance represents geostrophic coupling between wind and mass fields, surface friction effects, and tracer-like relationships.

This matrices are computed from local or domain-averaged regressions.

Latitude-binning



The balance \mathbf{U}_p aims to represent the cross-correlations between errors that are linked with atmospheric dynamics

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi \\ t \\ Ps \\ rh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi_u \\ t_u \\ Ps_u \\ rh \end{pmatrix}$$

The balance represents geostrophic coupling between wind and mass fields, surface friction effects, and tracer-like relationships.

This matrices are computed from local or domain-averaged regressions.

Latitude-binning



The balance \mathbf{U}_p aims to represent the cross-correlations between errors that are linked with atmospheric dynamics

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi \\ t \\ Ps \\ rh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{I} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi_u \\ t_u \\ Ps_u \\ rh \end{pmatrix}$$

The balance represents geostrophic coupling between wind and mass fields, surface friction effects, and tracer-like relationships.

This matrices are computed from local or domain-averaged regressions.

Latitude-binning







< • • • **•**

3 1 3





Figure: Cross-covariances $\chi - \psi$ at 30 S

Decrease of $\chi - \psi$ balance at the equator is well known and linked with decrease of geostrophy.







Figure: Cross-covariances $\chi - \psi$ at 90 S

Decrease of $\chi - \psi$ balance may be explained by topography effects over the Antarctic plateau.

A (1) × (2) × (3) ×

The $t - \psi$ balance





Figure: Cross-covariances $t-\psi$ at 90 S

Temperature inversion through radiative cooling in clear sky conditions ? How do the cross-covariances compare with classical results (Derber and Bouttier 1999, Berre 2000, Ingleby 2001...)?

Some similarities with mid-latitude band balance

- Strong geostrophic coupling ψt and ψP_s
- Surface friction (Ekman) $\psi \chi$

Some new features

- Geostrophic coupling weaker over steep slopes,
- Change of sign of $\langle \psi, t \rangle$ over the plateau (temperatures inversions?)
- Coupling between temperature and divergence exhibits some latudinal variation (*link with large scale convergence associated with katabatic winds?*)

An inhomogeneous formulation is required to take into account this features.

1 Introduction

- **2** The Physical Transform
- **③** Vertical Correlations
- **4** Horizontal Correlations
- **5** Variances



-

Vertical Correlations



Figure: Vertical correlations for ψ and χ_u (Domain 1)

 $\psi-{\rm correlations}$ are generally positive with a local widening at the trop opause.

 χ_u -correlations are much sharper and have a negative lobe that is consistent with the fact that total divergence is \sim zero.



Figure: Vertical correlations for t_u and rh (Domain 1)

rh-correlations are found to be sharp, with partial decorrelation between upper-air and boundary layer errors.

1 Introduction

- **2** The Physical Transform
- **③** Vertical Correlations
- Horizontal Correlations
- **5** Variances



Sample background errors are decomposed on the EOF modes of the average vertical covariances. Their horizontal correlations are prescribed through the use of recursive filters (Purser *et. al.*, 2003a,b).

Recursive filters are a fast $\mathcal{O}(N)$ grid smoothing technique that can be applied to correlation modeling. Their inhomogeneous version has two advantages:

- Representation of the spatial variations of background error lengthscales
- Use of large grids featuring high map projection factors.



Figure: Inhomogeneous recursive filters over AMPS domain with a map factor and P_s lengthscales $r \to r = r \to r = r$ Sample background errors are decomposed on the EOF modes of the average vertical covariances. Their horizontal correlations are prescribed through the use of recursive filters (Purser *et. al.*, 2003a,b).

Recursive filters are a fast $\mathcal{O}(N)$ grid smoothing technique that can be applied to correlation modeling. Their inhomogeneous version has two advantages:

- Representation of the spatial variations of background error lengthscales
- Use of large grids featuring high map projection factors.



Figure: Inhomogeneous recursive filters over AMPS domain with a map factor and P_s lengthscales, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{R} Sample background errors are decomposed on the EOF modes of the average vertical covariances. Their horizontal correlations are prescribed through the use of recursive filters (Purser *et. al.*, 2003a,b).

Recursive filters are a fast $\mathcal{O}(N)$ grid smoothing technique that can be applied to correlation modeling. Their inhomogeneous version has two advantages:

- Representation of the spatial variations of background error lengthscales
- Use of large grids featuring high map projection factors.



Figure: Inhomogeneous recursive filters over AMPS domain with a map factor and P_s lengthscales $r \to r = r \to r = r$

Lengthscales estimates

A new economical estimate of lengthscales is performed through the computation of the ratio of variance a field over the variance of the Laplacian:

$$L = \left(8\frac{V(\psi)}{V(\xi)}\right)^{1/4}$$

Inhomogeneous recursive filters require the lengthscales maps to be smooth (e.g. filtered) to work properly.

Lengthscales geographical variations

For balanced variables, geostrophic scaling may be written

$$\Delta L = \frac{N}{f_0} \Delta Z$$

 ΔZ , $1/f_0 \searrow$ going poleward such that one expects $\Delta L \searrow$ going poleward. Data density and topography effects may be important as well.

14



Figure: ψ local lengthscale (km)

Figure: Ps_u local lengthscale (km)

1 Introduction

- **2** The Physical Transform
- **③** Vertical Correlations
- **4** Horizontal Correlations

5 Variances







Figure: ψ local variance rescaling factor Figure: t_u local variance rescaling factor

Background error modeling

A newly developed formulation of \mathbf{B} in WRFVAR allows main climatological *inhomogeneities* to be represented for the balance, lengthscales and variances parts.

Antarctic Background error

- Application to the Antarctic region with WRFVAR/AMPS shows strong similarities with mid-latitude estimates. However *interesting differences* can be pointed out, and related to special properties of this region (strong topography, boundary layer, sea/ice).
- Local lengthscale estimates show 'geostrophic' inhomogeneity for ψ and rh, as well as *local* inhomogeneity for χ_u , t_u , Ps_u (featuring a local maximum over the plateau).
- Local variances are higher in storm tracks (ψ , rh, Ps_u) or in contrary over the plateau (t_u), or more complicated (χ_u)

Background error modeling

A newly developed formulation of \mathbf{B} in WRFVAR allows main climatological *inhomogeneities* to be represented for the balance, lengthscales and variances parts.

Antarctic Background error

- Application to the Antarctic region with WRFVAR/AMPS shows strong similarities with mid-latitude estimates. However *interesting differences* can be pointed out, and related to special properties of this region (strong topography, boundary layer, sea/ice).
- Local lengthscale estimates show 'geostrophic' inhomogeneity for ψ and rh, as well as *local* inhomogeneity for χ_u , t_u , Ps_u (featuring a local maximum over the plateau).
- Local variances are higher in storm tracks (ψ , rh, Ps_u) or in contrary over the plateau (t_u), or more complicated (χ_u)

More details (including more on inhomogeneous \mathbf{B} -modeling and more on the differences obtained when comparing \mathbf{B} over domain 1 and 2) in

Michel Y. and Auligné T. (2010) Inhomogeneous Background Error Modeling and Estimation over Antarctica. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, In Press.

Thank you for your attention.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Physical Transform
- **3** Vertical Correlations
- 4 Horizontal Correlations
- 5 Variances

6 Summary