

Ozone measurements from IASI and MLS over Antarctica during 2008 and 2009 austral winters

L. EL AMRAOUI, V.-H. PEUCH, and J. BARRE

CNRM - GAME, Météo-France, Toulouse

CONCORDIASI WORKSHOP, 29-31 March 2010



□ The data assimilation system: MOCAGE-PALM

Validation of IASI analyses

Meteorological conditions during 2008 & 2009 austral winters

Chemical conditions

Ozone loss

Summary



Assimilation tool : MOCAGE

- Horizontal Configuration :
 - Global ($2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$) \rightarrow comprehensive schemes
 - Global $(0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}) \rightarrow$ Linear chemical schemes (O3, CO)

Vertical configuration :

- 47 levels : surface \rightarrow 5 hPa
- 60 levels : surface \rightarrow 0.1 hPa
- dynamical forcing :
 - ARPEGE (Météo-France NWP)
 - ECMWF
- chemical scheems :
 - RACMOBUS: detailed chemical scheme (tropo + strato)
 - CARIOLLE (Linear O3 strato)
 - CARIOLLE (Linear CO tropo + strato)



Assimilation tool : MOCAGE

- Horizontal Configuration :
 - Global $(2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}) \rightarrow$ comprehensive schemes
 - Global (0.5° x 0.5°) DLinear chemical schemes (03, CO)

Verticale configuration :

- 47 levels : surface \rightarrow 5 hPa
- 60 levels : surface \rightarrow 0.1 hPa
- dynamical forcing :
 - ARPEGE (Météo-France NWP)
 - ECMWF
- chemical scheems :
 - RACMOBUS: detailed chemical scheme (tropo + strato)
 - CARIOLLE (Linear O3 strato)
 - CARIOLLE (Linear CO tropo + strato)



Assimilation tool: PALM

- •Method: Variational : 3D-FGAT (First Guess at Appropriate Time)
- \rightarrow Minimisation of the cost function, J(x) (observations + model)

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[x(t_0) - x^b(t_0) \right]^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \left[x(t_0) - x^b(t_0) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \left[y(t_i) - H(x(t_i)) \right]^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \left[y(t_i) - H(x(t_i)) \right]$$
$$J(x) = J_{\mathbf{b}}(x) + J_{\mathbf{o}}(x)$$

Advantages of PALM: Modular Processes

 \rightarrow Flexibility (choice of the parameters)

It also takes into account the vertical correlation

- \rightarrow Characterization of different layers
- \rightarrow Assimilation of the total column has a direct impact on the vertical profile

IASI ozone Observations

- The pre-operational product L2 of the total column from EUMETSAT
- The selected pixels are not contaminated by the clouds at all
- Neither Averaging kernels, nor covariance matrices are available " !!!
 - → Difficulty to do a realistic assimilation exercise

characterization of the errors + vertical sensitivity of the measurements

□ Still now, we estimate the errors of IASI on the basis of the a posteriori self-consistency diagnostics (Chi-square) → BIG APPROXIMATION



Assimilation Results: 2008

O3 Aura/MLS Oct. 2008 Sep. 2008 10 NH 10 NH In terms of vertical profiles 100 \rightarrow In the NH, no systematic bias 2 4 6 8 10 10 TR TR In the TR, MLS overestimates Ozone 10. compared to IASI analyses In the SH IASI overestimates Ozone 100 10 → Fairly good agreement between IASI 10 SH SH 10 assimilated field and MLS up to 50 hPa 10

— O3 Assim. IASI



Assimilation Results: 2008

Assimilated IASI O3 @ 500K 20080912 Assimilated MLS O3 @ 500K

Positive bias in the core of the polar vortex

Negative bias at the edge of the vortex and in mid-latitudes

In general fairly good agreement between both fields for all assimilation period



Meteorological conditions: Minimum of temperature



- → 2008 & 2009 Antarctic winters were quite similar
- → The daily minimum of temperatures @ 50 hPa are practically of the same order as the 2000-2009 average
- → No evidence of interannual variability from one winter to another

Meteorological conditions: ozone hole area



- → the OHA is maximum during September and persists until the beginning of Dec
- \rightarrow 2008: the ozone hole area was greater than the average (1979-2009)
- \rightarrow 2009: globally, the polar vortex area was much smaller than that of 2008



Meteorological conditions: zonal wind



- The zonal means of the zonal wind for both winters are very comparable
- Some decelerations especially during August and October (minor warmings)
- \rightarrow No effect on the stability and the strength of the polar vortex





- The polar vortex is very stable during both winters
- The PV distribution indicate that the polar vortex was more large in 2008 than 2009 (in agreement with the Ozone hole area results)



→ Chlorine activation started in early July until the first week of October

- \rightarrow It is maximal during September and extends up to 600 K.
- → The chlorine deactivation back to Cl reservoirs started in early October (high HCl)



- \rightarrow Chlorine activation started in mid-July until the end of September
- \rightarrow Chlorine deactivation started in the end of September
- → Generally, chlorine activation was less pronounced compared to 2008





- \rightarrow O3 loss: most significant from the beginning of September
- \rightarrow Slightly more pronounced in 2008 than in 2009

Evolution of the polar vortex

Assimilated MLS (20090731)



Assimilated MLS (20091010)

OMI - 20090731



O3 Total Column (Aura/OMI)



Assimilated IASI (20090731)



Assimilated IASI (20091010)





Chemical ozone loss



- → The maximum of ozone loss is recorded by the end of September
- →Overestimation of the ozone loss by assimilated IASI / Assimilated MLS
- \rightarrow Slightly more important during 2008 than in 2009



Need to better characterize the error of IASI ozone measurements (EUMETSAT)

- \rightarrow comparison to other retrievals (LATMOS, LISA, LA ...)
- Need to validate the IASI ozone analyses over Antarctica (ozonesonde)

In relation with CONCORDIASI:

Use of ozonesonde measurements within the Assimilation system

 \square development of a limited domain centred over Antarctica with a high horizontal resolution (0.2° or 0.1°)

