# Operational version of turbulence scheme TOUCANS

# Ivan Bašták Ďurán

ČHMÚ ONPP Prague, ivanbastak@gmail.com



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・





Framework of stability dependency functions



Scheme with prognostic TKE



Scheme with prognostic TKE and TTE/TPE



Third Order Moments parametrisation



Code organisation



Scores



# TOUCANS

- $\top$  Third
- O Order moments (TOMs)
- U Unified
- C Condensation
- A Accounting and
- N N-dependent
- S Solver (for turbulence and diffusion)

#### L Turbulent diffusion

# Turbulent flux

• 
$$\overline{w'\psi'} = -K_\psi rac{\partial ar{\psi}}{\partial z}$$
 -upper air

• 
$$\overline{w'\psi'}=C_\psi\sqrt{u^2+v^2}\left(\psi-\psi_s
ight)$$
 - surface layer



<sup>-</sup> - average, ' - fluctuation, u, v, w - wind components, z - height,  $\psi$  - diffused variable

#### └─Turbulent diffusion

# Diffused variables

- *u*, *v* horizontal wind components
- $q_t$  -total specific moisture

• 
$$s_{sL} = c_{pd} \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{c_{pv}}{c_{pd}} - 1 \right] q_t \right) T + g z - (L_v q_l + L_s q_i) - moist static energy$$

- K<sub>M</sub>, K<sub>H</sub> coefficients of turbulent diffusion for momentum and heat
- $C_D$ ,  $C_H$  drag coefficients for momentum and heat

 $q_{l/i}$  - specific humidity of air for liquid or ice water,  $L_{s/v}$  latent heat latent heat of sublimation/vaporization, g - acceleration of gravity, T - air temperature,  $c_{pd}$  and  $c_{pv}$  specific heat values for dry air and water vapour

L Turbulent diffusion

# Turbulent diffusion



L Turbulent diffusion

# Exchange coefficients

$$K_M = \frac{\nu^4}{C_\epsilon} L \chi_3 \sqrt{e_k}, \qquad K_H = C_3 \frac{\nu^4}{C_\epsilon} L \phi_3 \sqrt{e_k}$$

 χ<sub>3</sub>(Π, ν, C<sub>ε</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>λ</sub>), φ<sub>3</sub>(Π, ν, C<sub>ε</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>λ</sub>)
 - stability dependency functions
 (Bašták, Ď. I., J.-F. Geleyn , and F. Váňa , 2014)

• 
$$e_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{u'u'} + \overline{v'v'} + \overline{w'w'} \right)$$
  
- Turbulence Kinetic Energy

- $\Pi = \frac{e_t e_k}{e_t}$ ,  $e_t$  Turbulence Total Energy
  - based on Zilitinkevich et al.(2013)
- $\nu$ ,  $C_{\epsilon}$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $O_{\lambda}$  free parameters
- L length scale

L Turbulent diffusion

# Drag coefficients

$$C_{D} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{\ln\left(1+\frac{z}{z_{0}}\right)}\right)^{2} F_{M}(Ri_{B}),$$

$$C_{H} = \left(\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\ln\left(1+\frac{z}{z_{0}}\right)\ln\left(1+\frac{z}{z_{0h}}\right)}\right)^{2} F_{H}(Ri_{B})$$

$$F_{M}(Ri_{B}) = \chi_{3}(Ri_{B}) \cdot f(Ri_{B})$$

$$F_{H}(Ri_{B}) = C_{3}\frac{\phi_{3}(Ri_{B})}{\chi_{3}(Ri_{B})} \cdot F_{M}(Ri_{B})$$

$$Ri_{B} > 0 : f(Ri_{B}) = \chi_{3}(Ri_{B}) - C_{3}\phi_{3}(Ri_{B})Ri_{B}$$

$$Ri_{B} \leq 0 : f(Ri_{B}) = 1$$

 $Ri_B$  - bulk Richardson number,  $\kappa$  - Von Karman constant,  $z_{0/0h}$  - roughness lengths

Framework of stability dependency functions

### Stability functions $\chi_3, \phi_3$ :

- derived from TKE and TTE equations at equilibrium
- no existence of critical Ri Ricr
- anisotropy of turbulence:

• 
$$\frac{\partial \chi_3}{\partial R_i} \neq 0$$
  
•  $\phi_3 = \phi_Q(R_i) \left( 1 - \frac{2 O_\lambda e_k}{C_4 w'^2} \Pi \right), \frac{\partial \phi_Q}{\partial R_i} \neq 0$   
anisotropy energy conversion

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

valid for whole range of *Ri* 

(*Ri* -gradient Richardson number, *C*<sub>4</sub> - coefficient)

Framework of stability dependency functions

### Framework of stability functions:

- based on Cheng et al. (2002) scheme:
  - anisotropy included
  - with *Ri<sub>cr</sub>*
- modification that avoid existence of *Ri<sub>cr</sub>* result in general shape:

$$\chi_{3}(Ri) = \frac{1 - \frac{Ri_{f}}{R}}{1 - Ri_{f}} , \phi_{3}(Ri) = \frac{1 - \frac{Ri_{f}}{P}}{1 - Ri_{f}} ,$$
  
$$\phi_{Q}(Ri) = \frac{1 - \frac{Ri_{f}}{Q}}{1 - Ri_{f}} , \frac{Ri}{Ri_{f}} = \frac{P(R - Ri_{f})}{C_{3}R(P - Ri_{f})}$$

 $0 < \lim_{\textit{Ri} \to \infty} \textit{P} = \textit{Ri}_{\textit{fc}} < 1, \ \textit{Ri}_{\textit{fc}} < \lim_{\textit{Ri} \to \infty} \textit{R} \equiv \textit{R}_{\infty} \leq 1, \ \textit{Ri}_{\textit{fc}} \leq \lim_{\textit{Ri} \to \infty} \textit{Q} \equiv \textit{Q}_{\infty} \leq 1.$ 

 $(Ri_{f} = Ri K_{H}/K_{M} - \text{flux Richardson number}, Ri_{fc} = \lim_{Ri \to \infty} Ri_{f} - \text{critical } Ri_{f})$ 

Framework of stability dependency functions

### Framework of stability functions:

- the turbulent scheme then depends on:
  - 4 free parameters  $(C_{\epsilon}, C_3, \nu, O_{\lambda})$ ,
    - following Schmidt and Schumann (1989) we assume:  $C_{\epsilon} = \pi \nu^2$
  - 3 "functional dependencies" (P, R, Q)
- 4 possible realisations:

	Model I	Model II	eeQNSE	eeEFB
Р	Const.	Const.	Const.	Ri fun.
R	Const.	Const.	Ri fun.	Ri fun.
Q	Const.	Ri fun.	Ri fun.	Ri fun.

 emulation and extension eeQNSE : of QNSE - Sukoriansky et al. (2005) eeEFB : of EFB - Zilitinkevich et al.(2013)

Scheme with prognostic TKE

Prognostic TKE - *e<sub>k</sub>* equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de_k}{dt} &= -g \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \rho \, K_{e_k} \frac{\partial e_k}{\partial z} \right) + I + II - \frac{2 \, e_k}{\tau_k} \\ I &= -\overline{u'w'} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \overline{v'w'} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}, \\ II &= E_{s_{sL}} \left( SCC \right) \overline{w's'_{sL}} + E_{q_t,s_{sL}} \left( SCC \right) \overline{w'q'_{sL}} \end{aligned}$$

- influence of moisture via  $E_{s_{sL}}(SCC)$  and  $E_{q_t,s_{sL}}(SCC)$  weights according to Marquet and Geleyn (2013)
- SCC Shallow Convection Cloudiness currently implicitly given by shallow convection parametrisation according to Geleyn (1987)
- SCC used also in turbulent diffusion of  $q_{I/i}$

 $(K_{e_k}$  - turbulent exchange coefficients for TKE,  $\tau_k = \frac{2L}{C_e \sqrt{e_k}}$  - dissipation time scale for TKE)

Scheme with prognostic TKE

└NWP implementation

Stability dependent adjustment for turbulent energy modelling - TKE:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de_k}{dt} &= -g \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \rho \, K_{e_k} \frac{\partial e_k}{\partial z} \right) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\tau_{\epsilon}} \left( \widetilde{e_k} - \widehat{e_k} \right)}_{C_k} \quad , \\ \widetilde{e_k} &= \frac{\tau_k}{2} \left( I + II \right), \quad K_{e_k} = \frac{\nu^2}{C_{\epsilon}} L \sqrt{\widehat{e_k}}, \\ K_M &= \frac{\nu^4}{C_{\epsilon}} L \chi_3(Ri) \sqrt{\widehat{e_k^+}}, \quad K_H = C_3 \frac{\nu^4}{C_{\epsilon}} L \phi_3(Ri) \sqrt{\widehat{e_k^+}} \end{aligned}$$

full level variables, half level variables

 $(\tau_{\epsilon} = 0.5\tau_{k}$  -relaxation time scale,  $\checkmark$  'overbrace' operator - interpolation from half levels to full levels,  $\frown$  'hat' operator - interpolation from full levels to half levels.)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ 日 ・ うらぐ

Scheme with prognostic TKE

└NWP implementation

# Stability dependent adjustment for turbulent energy modelling - TKE:

 enables a fully consistent treatment of the prognostic TKE

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

- enables longer times steps
- avoids difficulties with vertical staggering
   TKE placed on full levels

└─Scheme with prognostic TKE and TTE/TPE

# Prognostic TTE/TPE

- based on Zilitinkevich et al.(2013)
- addition of second prognostic turbulent energy: Turbulent Potential Energy (TPE), or Turbulent Total Energy(TTE) = TKE+TPE
- stability parameter based on energy ratio (linked to fluxes) rather than on local gradients (*Ri*)
- enables modelling of counter-gradient heat transport maintained by velocity shear in very stable stratification

 $\square$ Scheme with prognostic TKE and TTE/TPE

Ρ

rognostic TTE - 
$$e_t$$
 equation:  

$$\frac{de_t}{dt} = -g \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \rho \, K_{e_t} \frac{\partial e_t}{\partial z} \right) + I - \frac{2 \, e_t}{\tau_t}$$

$$\tau_t \equiv \tau_k \frac{C_4 (1 + \Pi)}{C_4 + 2 \, C_3 \Pi},$$

$$\Pi \equiv \frac{e_p}{e_k} = \frac{e_t}{e_k} - 1, \quad e_p = \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\overline{\theta'^2}}{2\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}},$$

$$Ri_f = \frac{\Pi}{\frac{C_4}{2 \, C_3} + \Pi},$$

$$K_M = \frac{\nu^4}{C_\epsilon} L\chi_3(Ri_f) \sqrt{e_k}, \qquad K_H = C_3 \frac{\nu^4}{C_\epsilon} L\phi_3(Ri_f) \sqrt{e_k}$$

 $(e_p - \text{TPE}, K_{e_t} - \text{turbulent} \text{ exchange coefficient for TTE}, \tau_t - \text{dissipation time scale for TTE}, R_{i_f} = R_i K_H/K_M$  - flux Richardson number, C<sub>4</sub> - coefficient)  $(K_{H} - K_{H}) = K_{H} + K_{$ 

 $\square$ Scheme with prognostic TKE and TTE/TPE

└NWP implementation

Stability dependent adjustment for turbulent energy modelling - TTE:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de_t}{dt} &= -g \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \rho \, K_{e_t} \frac{\partial e_t}{\partial z} \right) + \underbrace{\frac{2}{\tau_t} \left( \widetilde{e_t} - \widehat{e_t} \right)}_{\overline{\tau_t}} \\ \widetilde{e_t} &= \frac{\tau_t}{2} \, I, \quad K_{e_t} = \frac{\nu^2}{C_\epsilon} L \sqrt{\widehat{e_k}} \\ \tau_t &= \tau_k \frac{C_4 \left( 1 + \widehat{\Pi} \right)}{C_4 + 2 \, C_3 \widehat{\Pi}}, \quad Ri_f = \frac{\widehat{\Pi}}{\frac{C_4}{2 \, C_3} + \widehat{\Pi}} \\ K_M &= \frac{\nu^4}{C_\epsilon} L \chi_3(Ri_f) \sqrt{\widehat{e_k^+}}, \quad K_H = C_3 \frac{\nu^4}{C_\epsilon} L \phi_3(Ri_f) \sqrt{\widehat{e_k^+}} \end{aligned}$$

full level variables, half level variables

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うへで

L Third Order Moments parametrisation

# Third Order Moments(TOMs) parametrisation

- based on Canuto et al., (2007)
- enables modelling of distant turbulent transport of heat caused by presence of semi-organised large eddies
- two step approach local (down-gradient term only) solution is a reference
- stable and accurate algorithm immune against singularities
- requires iterations to improve accuracy
- influence of time-tendency terms parametrized via scaling factor

L Third Order Moments parametrisation

# TOMs parametrisation:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w's'_{sL}} + A_t \frac{\partial \overline{w's'_{sL}}}{\partial t} &= -K_H \frac{\partial s_{sL}}{\partial z} + A_1^{s_{sL}} \frac{\partial \overline{w'^3}}{\partial z} + A_2^{s_{sL}} \frac{\partial \overline{w's'_{sL}}^2}{\partial z} + A_3 \frac{\partial \overline{w'^2s'_{sL}}}{\partial z} \\ \overline{w'q'_t} + A_t \frac{\partial \overline{w'q'_t}}{\partial t} &= -K_H \frac{\partial q_t}{\partial z} + A_1^{q_t} \frac{\partial \overline{w'^3}}{\partial z} + A_2^{q_t} \frac{\partial \overline{w'q'_t}^2}{\partial z} + A_3 \frac{\partial \overline{w'^2q'_t}}{\partial z} \\ \overline{w's'_{sL}}^2 &= -\tau_k \overline{w's'_{sL}} \frac{\partial \overline{w's'_{sL}}}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{w'q'_t}^2 = -\tau_k \overline{w'q'_t} \frac{\partial \overline{w'q'_t}}{\partial z} \\ \overline{w'^2s'_{sL}} &= -0.3\tau_k \overline{w'^2} \frac{\partial \overline{w's'_{sL}}}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{w'^2q'_t} = -0.3\tau_k \overline{w'^2} \frac{\partial \overline{w'q'_t}}{\partial z} \\ \overline{w'^3} &= -0.06\tau_k^2 \overline{w'^2} \left( E_{s_{sL}} \frac{\partial \overline{w's'_{sL}}}{\partial z} + E_{q_t,s_{sL}} \frac{\partial \overline{w'q'_t}}{\partial z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

 $(\overline{w'^2}$  - twice the vertical component of TKE,  $A_{1/2}^{s_{sl}}$ ,  $A_{1/2}^{q_l}$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_t$  - weights resulting from equations for  $\overline{w'^2}$ ,  $\overline{w'\theta'}$  and  $\overline{\theta'^2}$ )

L Third Order Moments parametrisation

# TOMS - two step solver

• local diffusion: 
$$\frac{\partial \theta^{\text{loc}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \left(-g\rho K_H \frac{\partial \theta^{\text{loc}}}{\partial z}\right)}{\partial p}$$

TOM s contribution computed in terms of :

• 
$$\delta s_{sL}^+ = s_{sL}^+ - s_{sL}^{\text{loc}}$$
  
•  $\delta q_t^+ = q_t^+ - q_t^{\text{loc}}$ 

#### ${}^{igsir}$ Code organisation



#### Scores

## TOUCANS+ACANEB2+update of microphysics

- period:03-23.1.2015, start: 0UTC
- black: ALARO0, red: ALARO1
- score: BIAS

#### Temperature 2m







#### -Scores

## TOUCANS+ACANEB2+update of microphysics

- period:03-23.1.2015, start: 0UTC
- black: ALARO0, red: ALARO1
- score: RMSE

#### Temperature 2m

Temperature cross section





#### Scores

## TOUCANS+ACANEB2+update of microphysics

- period:03-23.1.2015, start: 0UTC
- black: ALARO0, red: ALARO1
- score: BIAS

#### Relative humidity 2m







#### Scores

## TOUCANS+ACANEB2+update of microphysics

- period:03-23.1.2015, start: 0UTC
- black: ALARO0, red: ALARO1
- score: RMSE

#### Relative humidity 2m



Relative humidity cross section



#### Summary

# Summary:

- operation setup with TOUCANS scheme
  - stability dependency functions  $\chi_3$  and  $\phi_3$ - include anisotropy, no  $Ri_cr$
  - 2 prognostic turbulent energies TKE and TTE
  - moisture influence via definition of moist buoyancy term SCC influence
  - TOMs parametrisation
- in winter cases increases mixing in PBL prog.TTE + stab. functions

Summary

# Thank you for your attention!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

LSummary