Outcome of WG Dynamics

- "Group of four" created after Brac meeting
- Diverging views and concerns on scalability/efficiency/accuracy of dynamical core
- The Aladin PAC asked this group to find agreement and produce a working plan for adaptation to future computers.
- The spirit of the document produced is to have a smooth approach for code evolutions, keeping always the present solutions as fall back.

CONTEXT:

We already know that the main bottle-neck is the I/O not only for the spectral methods, but also for local methods.

Work is being carried on about easing this problem

- 1) Works keeping current model strategy (Spectr, SI, SL) Short term:
- submit projects for running our codes on advanced machines to estimate the scalability/efficiency
- This for the whole model and then with different "bricks" removed (Physics, I/O,...)
- Favour also comparisons of efficiency/scalability with adiabatic cores of other models using different strategies (COSMO, UM, WRF,...)

1) Works keeping current model strategy (Spectr, SI, SL)

Longer term:

- EE system in z-based coordinate with VFE (link with efficiency, accuracy and robustness)

- consider OOP, GPU, parallel languages or libraries evolutions.

- 2) <u>Works changing model strategy</u> (Spectr, SI, SL) Short term:
- compare SL and Eulerian in the present code at high resolutions
- keeping the current spectral solver but try computing derivatives with more local methods (FE, FV, FD high order)
- address problem of horizontal staggering for non-spectral methods (SL, high-order schemes).

- 2) Works changing model strategy (Spectr, SI, SL) Longer term:
- exploring grid-point method for SI scheme solvers (links also with robustness, accuracy).