

SYSTEMS

HARMONIE (AROME Physics) Experiment

ÆME

Benchmarking the Harmonie System (AROME Physics)

Jose A. Garcia-Moya. (AEMET, Spain)

HIRLAM All Staff Meeting, Krakow, Poland, 13-15 April 2010

Cray X1E Configuration

 16 physical nodes X1E 8 MSP each •1,2 GHz, 19,2 Gflops -64 bits- by MSP •32 logical nodes •31 application nodes + 1

support node •128 MSP / 512 SSP •512 GB memory

•2.304 Tflops theoretical peak performance for applications ·Cross-compiler based on a linux cluster

> Harmonie version 35h1.2 **NH Dynamics AROME** Physics 60 secs time step 1152 x 864 x 60

Horizontal resolution: 2.5 km. Sfc I/O every 15 minutes

Upper & Sfc I/O every 1 hour Building the model with Sami's makeup

12 hours forecast



FMI's Cray XT5 Configuration (thanks to Sami Saarinen and Niko Sokka)

•2 identical clusters, 17.3 TFlop/s peak for each, ca. 35TF total Hex-core AMD Opteron 2.2GHz Istanbul chip

•12 (= 2 x 6) cores in a shared memory node

•8.8 GFlop/s peak per core, 105.6 Gflop/s peak per node •164 nodes x 12 cores = 1968 cores per

each cluster

•16 GB shared memory per node (~1.3GB per core)



ECMWF HPCF Configuration

 Two identical clusters (cluster c1a and cluster c1b) each with 272 p6-575 standard compute nodes 264 standard nodes with 32 CPUs, 64 GB memory

•8 high memory compute nodes with 32 CPUs, 256 GB memory ~8500 physical processors in total

•9 p6-575 network-I/O nodes, 32 CPUs, 32 GB memory 0.6 Petabytes of disk space

AROME – Spanish Area

Integration area



Orography

Arome 2.5km Orografia (grid [m])



Extensive use of memory (only half of the PEs can be used for computations) Only 1 hour of integration at AEMET Cray X1e in 32 MSP's (128 SSP's) Huge penalization of I/O time steps



Tests at some linux clustes at the University of the

Balearic Islands

Details

- 1) Cores reserved mean than only half were used for computations
- 2) Wall-clock time for Cray X1e computed from 1 hour integration.
- 3) Different values at the horizontal axis due to different configuration of the nodes.
- 4) For details see table below.

Cores or PEs	Cores	c1a	XT5	Cray X1e
UI FLS	Reserveu			VIE
12	144		298	
80	160	211		90,98*
144	288	120	170	
160	320	105		
216	432		111	
240	480	73		
288	576	63	86	
320	640	63		
432	864		64	
480	960	41		
576	1152	38	53	
640	640	37		
640	1280	33	39	
864	864	32		
864	1728		39	
1728	1728	24	32	
	Cores or PEs 72 80 144 160 216 240 288 320 432 480 576 640 640 864 864 864 1728	Cores or PEs Cores Reserved 72 144 80 160 144 288 160 320 216 432 240 480 288 576 320 640 432 864 480 960 576 1152 640 640 640 1280 864 864 864 1728	Cores or PEs Cores Reserved C1a 72 144 7 80 160 211 144 288 120 160 320 105 216 432 7 240 480 73 288 576 63 320 640 63 432 864 1 480 960 41 576 1152 38 640 640 37 640 1280 33 864 864 32 864 1728 24	Cores or PEs Cores Reserved C1a XT5 72 144 298 80 160 211 144 288 120 170 160 320 105 111 246 432 111 144 288 576 63 86 320 640 63 111 240 480 73 111 240 480 73 111 240 480 63 86 320 640 63 111 240 3864 36 111 240 480 73 111 240 480 73 111 240 480 63 111 320 640 63 111 576 1152 38 53 640 1280 33 39 864 864 32 111 86

Author would like to acknowledge the useful advice of Sami Saarinen and the support of FMI and, in particular, Niko Sokka for the very fruitful visit paid to FMI and for allowing the tests at their Cray XT5.

Acknowledgments

Scalabilit

FUTURE

Benchmark based in Harmonie (AROME

Physics)

jgarciamoyaz@aemet.es

Jose A. Garcia-Moya

AAPL - AEMET