



## First tests of SLAF and Stochastic Physics in GLAMEPS

## J. A. García-Moya & C. Santos NWP - Spanish Met Service - AEMET

Hirlam – Aladin All Staff Meeting Brussels – 7–10 April 2008





## Introduction

- Testing the framework of GLAMEPS
- Very crude implementation
- 15 days parallel test:
  - \* 2007100100 2007101500
- Area EPS71 and 10 members + control
- 72 hours forecast at 00 and 12 UTC
- Experiments:
  - \* EPS → Standard downscaling of ECMWF EPS.
  - $\bullet$  SLF → SLAF
  - ★ TEP  $\rightarrow$  TEPS (met.no)
  - STP → Stochastic Physics + EPS
  - STF → Stochastic Physics + SLAF
  - TEF → Stochastic Physics + TEPS (met.no)





## SLAF - Errors H+48

- \* SLAF (AN  $\pm$  K · ( AN FCHH ), k=cte. )
- Experiments:
  - Control
  - 1 and 2
  - 3 and 4
  - \* 5 and 6
  - 7 and 8
  - 9 and 10

- ANP = AN
  - ANP = AN  $\pm$  2.5 · (AN-FC12)
  - $ANP = AN \pm 2 \cdot (AN-FC24)$
  - ANP = AN  $\pm$  1.5  $\cdot$  (AN-FC36)
  - $ANP = AN \pm 1 \cdot (AN-FC48)$
  - ANP = AN  $\pm$  0.5  $\cdot$  (AN-FC60)





## Stochastic Physics

- ECMWF scheme
- Perturbing Physics tendencies
- Perturbations from +0.5 to +1.5
- Keeping the same value of the perturbation coefficient for 1 hour and at squares of 10 x 10 degrees latxlon



## Verification

NM)

P

- Verification exercise using synoptic observations
  - Calibration: with synoptic variables Z500, T500, Pmsl
  - Response to binary events: reliability and resolution of surface variables 10m surface wind and 6h accumulated precipitation
- Using GLAMEPS verification tool (Carlos Santos) on ecgate.

Brussels, 7-10 April 20

Hirlam - Aladin ASM





# Precip. EPS - STP - SLF - STF AEMet

#### Reliab. - 6 h Acc. Precip H+24 (1,5,10,20) mm



#### Reliab. - 6 h Acc. Precip H+48 (1,5,10,20) mm







# **Undersampling**

8

# Precip. EPS – SLF – TEP - TEF

### Reliab. - 6 h Acc. Precip H+24 (1,5,10,20) mm



#### Reliab. - 6 h Acc. Precip H+48 (1,5,10,20) mm



# Undersampling

#### R NM) ROC curves - 6 h Acc Precip (1 & 5 mm) S P Agencia Estatal de Meteorología Various ensembles (EPS, STP, SLF, STF 11/11 avg members)



Ε





Brussels, 7-10 April 20

Hirlam - Aladin ASM

STE 0 72

0.8 0.9



## Obs. EPS - STP - SLF - STF

## Reliability - 10 m wind (10,15,20) m/s



Brussels, 7-10 April 20

MM)

P

S

Hirlam - Aladin ASM

Agencia Estatal de Meteorología

# BERS - SLF - TEP - TEF

## **Reliability - 10 m wind (10,15,20) m/s**



Brussels, 7-10 April 20

Hirlam - Aladin ASM







- Very crude implementation of SLAF and Stochastic Physics schemes.
- SLAF based in 48 hours forecast errors.
- Stochastic Physics scheme like ECMWF one and perturbing Physics tendencies, no individual scheme ones.
- Short parallel test of 15 days just to test the implementation.
- No clear statistical significance of results.
- 🍀 But ...
  - SLAF is giving encouraging results and it needs only a single global model forecast for IC's & BC's.
  - Stochastic Physics doesn't increase spread in the short range (more research needed).
  - Nice results of just downscaling ECMWF EPS and TEPS for BC's and IC's







- Improve the implementation of SLAF in GLAMEPS.
- More experiments on Stochastic Physics to increase spread.
- Longer parallel test to get more significant results from the verification.
- 20 members ensemble.









# j.garciamoya@inm.es

Brussels, 7-10 April 20

Hirlam - Aladin ASM